Storage Tank Assessment Special Study Protocol (January 2018)

Overview:

The Storage Tank Assessment Special Study is designed to assess the impact of tank operations on water quality at a single storage tank and estimate average tank turnover time and mixing performance at all storage tanks in a distribution system. A continuous chlorine monitor is used to measure chlorine residual at the inlet/outlet of the "worst performing" storage tank, which is identified based on the water system's best judgement and the output of the tank turnover time and mixing estimates (if available at the time of the study). Tank level data and physical characteristics from all storage tanks in the system will be collected and entered into a spreadsheet that will be used to estimate average tank turnover time and mixing performance for each tank. The spreadsheet may also be used to evaluate potential strategies that may improve storage tank performance and improve water quality.

This special study can be used to accomplish various objectives:

- Identify the most critical, or worst performing, tanks in the system based on estimated tank operations (from the tank spreadsheet) and water quality (from the continuous monitor).
- Continuously monitor chlorine residual and water levels at tanks to assess the impact of tank operation on water quality.
- Determine whether if estimated average tank turnover time or mixing performance may be contributing to water quality problems in the distribution system.
- Provide data to support the identification and prioritization of efforts (operational changes or capital expenditures) to address water quality issues based on individual tank performance (if applicable).

The *Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet.xlsx* can be used to support this special study. This spreadsheet has several limitations (including certain types of tanks) and is the applicability of this spreadsheet should be determined for each individual tank. For more details, see the *Applications and Limitations* section on the *Introduction* worksheet in the *Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet.xlsx* file.

Hypothesis:

The study hypothesis will be system specific and depends on the study objective (discussed in the *Overview* section, above).

Resources:

- Required Personnel:
 - One to two (1-2) investigators
- Required Equipment:
 - One (1) continuous free chlorine monitor with data logger (recording at ten minute intervals or less) and necessary operation and maintenance materials (continuous free chlorine monitors may be installed at multiple tanks if available)
 - One (1) hose (length and diameter are site specific), two (2) hose clamps, and one (1) screwdriver (confirm type)
 - Electrical power source (AC outlet, batteries, or solar power)
 - One (1) colorimetric chlorine test kit with necessary instructions and DPD reagents for free chlorine analysis
 - One (1) computer with Microsoft Excel

- o Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet (Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet.xlsx)
- Tank level data (two to three weeks at ten minute intervals or less) from all storage tanks in the water system that is obtained from SCADA (preferred) *or* continuous pressure recorder(s)
- Optional Equipment:
 - One (1) large measuring cup to adjust flow rate through continuous free chlorine monitor(s)
 - One (1) hydrant adapter (confirm system thread and diameter; if installed at hydrant near tank)
 - One (1) chain (length is site specific) and one (1) lock (if installed at hydrant near tank) to secure the monitor.
 - One (1) continuous pressure recorder (if tank level data is not recorded by SCADA/telemetry; pressure recorders may be installed at multiple tanks if available)
 - Data logger software (if applicable)

Approach:

- 1. Data Collection:
 - a. *Tank Level Data* Tank level data will be needed from each tank that will be assessed. If SCADA is not available at any tanks of interest, a pressure recorder can be used to collect the necessary data. If the quantity of available pressure recorders is limited, prioritize their locations starting with the most critical (i.e., worst performing) tank based on input from the water system. Tank level data should be representative of normal operating conditions (e.g., without line breaks or fires), recorded over two to three weeks at ten minute intervals or less, and coincide with reliable continuous free chlorine data for a minimum of three days. The output of tank level data is generally in either tabular or graphical form and reported in depth (ft) or volume (percent full).
 - b. *Water Quality Data* Collect continuous free chlorine residual data from the most critical tank (i.e., "worst performing") for a minimum of three days at ten minute intervals or less. If additional monitors are available, prioritize their locations based on the water system's best judgement and/or output from the tank spreadsheet. Depending on the continuous chlorine monitoring technology, it may take several days for the monitor to stabilize and provide quality data after it is installed. In general, amperometric technologies may require multiple calibrations during first few days after installation. Additionally, some continuous monitors are capable of measuring multiple water quality parameters (e.g., pH, conductivity, temperature). These "secondary" parameters can provide additional information that can be used to asses storage tank performance, but these additional parameters are not required. An AC power source will be needed to power the monitor, unless it is equipped with a solar panel and/or battery. A chlorine test kit will also be needed to collect grab samples for monitor calibration. Refer to the manufacturer's instruction manual for proper installation and calibration procedures.
 - c. *Physical Characteristics* Determine the following physical characteristics for each tank:
 - i. Volume (MG)
 - ii. Shape (cylindrical, rectangular, hydropillar, or other)
 - iii. Tank diameter (ft) or sidewall length (ft)
 - iv. Inlet/outlet diameter (ft)
 - v. Maximum operating depth (ft)
 - vi. Inlet/outlet configuration ("fill-and-draw" or "flow-through")

While tank drawings are (ideally) the best place to obtain physical characteristics of storage tanks, they may not be available; if this is the case, use the water system's best judgement.

- 2. Tank Turnover and Mixing Performance Assessment:
 - a. Complete Section I of the Tank Summary worksheet (see Figure 1) in the Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet.xlsx with physical characteristics of each tank (up to nine). At this time, determine the applicability of the spreadsheet on each individual tank based on their physical characteristics. For more details, see the Applications and Limitations section on the Introduction worksheet.



Figure 1: Screenshot of Summary Worksheet in Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet.xlsx

- b. Complete *Tank* worksheet(s) for each individual storage tank (up to nine).
 - i. Interpret maximum and minimum tank levels of each cycle with corresponding time and date for all tanks. Tank level data may be found in tabular or graphical form and reported in depth (ft) or volume (MG, gal., or percent full). For more details on interpreting tank cycles, see the *Data Considerations* worksheet.
 - ii. Enter storage tank level data into the upper portion of *Section I* of the *Tank* worksheet(s) for each individual storage tank (*see Figure 2*). When entering the data into the spreadsheet, it does not matter if you start with a minimum or maximum tank level value; however, you must enter the data in sets/pairs (i.e., if you start with a min level you must also enter a paired max value). Tank level data may be entered in depth (ft) or volume

(gallons). For more details on converting tank level data from various formats, see *Data Considerations* worksheet.



Figure 2: Screenshot of Upper Portion of Section #1 of Tank #1 Worksheet in Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet.xlsx

- c. Review Assessment Summary on the lower portion of *Section I* on the *Tank* worksheet(s).
 - i. Turnover time is quantified in days: < 3 to 5 days is desired.
 - ii. Mixing performance is quantified as a ratio (estimated/desired): ≥ 1.0 is desired.

Fill pariod	Low/Min Louol	Highly Jan Lough	Viol at start	Vol addad	Volumo draum	Aus Task Val	5.6	uina o sloulatic				
r in period	Lownvilli Level	migriniviax Level	vorat start	voradded durie e Gillenale	during drain or al-	Ave Lank voi durie a Gillanda	0 otual	ang calculatio	JIIS Doa'd	Till Time	Draw Time	
			or nil cycle	during hil cycle	during drain cycle	during nii cycle	Volume Evolvande	Dimension-	Nolume Evolvande	Fill Lime	Draw Time	
	(6)	(9)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	Eraction (VEE)	iess ivlixing	Fraction (VEE)	(daug)	(dang)	
4	10.00	10.00	(MG)		(MG)	(MG)	0.12	1 1 Ime	0.00	(uays)	(uays)	
1	10.30	10.40	0.20	0.03	0.04	0.20	0.12	10.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	
2	10.00	10.40	0.24	0.03	0.04	0.20	0.11	10.20	0.20	0.21	0.20	
3	10.30	10.30	Cor	hadebo	At Out		0.12	10.20	0.20	0.23	0.21	
4	10.30	10.70		Edusiit	et vu	LJUL —	0.11	10.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	
0	17.40	10.00	0.20	0.03	0.02	0.27	0.12	10.20	0.20	0.38	0.21	
	17.00	10.00	0.20	0.03	0.04	0.20	0.09	10.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	
(0	10.00	10.20	0.20	0.02	0.02	0.27	0.03	10.20	0.20	0.30	0.17	
9	10.00	10.00	0.20	0.03	0.04	0.20	0.12	10.20	0.20	0.21	0.20	
10	16.40	18.20	0.25	0.03	0.03	0.26	0.12	10.20	0.20	0.33	0.21	
11	16.40	18.80	0.24	0.04	0.04	0.25	0.15	10.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	
12	16.40	18.10	0.24	0.02	0.03	0.25	0.10	10.20	0.28	0.25	0.23	
13	16.50	18.50	0.24	0.02	0.04	0.26	0.10	10.20	0.28	0.20	0.25	
14	16.40	18.10	0.24	0.00	0.02	0.25	0.10	10.20	0.28	0.25	0.25	
15	16.80	18.80	0.25	0.02	0.02	0.26	0.10	10.20	0.28	0.20	0.20	
Turnover Summary				Ave Min Vater L	Mixing Sumi	mary 16.8	Ŕ					
Aug Vol Drawn in One Drain Period		0.03	13 MG		Avg Actual VEF		0.11	15				
Ava Fill Time		0.27	daus		Ava VEE Needed	for Good Mixing	0.28					
Avg Draw Sprea	dshee	t Outp	but		Sprea	idshee	t Out	put	-	Mixiı	ng	
Avg Fill Rate		71 gpm			Needed for Good Mixing 4.7 R							
âna Draw Pata		00	anm		Mascurad/Dasir	nce natio	0.41		l Per	form	ance	
nyg Didwinate		00	gpo		Inlat Dismator M	euj adad for Good	0.71					
Avg Duration (Fill + Draw Time)		0.5	days		Mixing		5 inches		Ratio			
Avg Flow Rate into tank		0.06	MGD									
Avg Tank Vol		0.26	MG									
Turnover Time		4.6	days	Turn	over	Mixing is at an u	ndesirable level,	use Mizing	j Analysis			
						(Step 2) to deter	mine strategies	that will inc	rease mixing.			
				Tir Tir	me							

Figure 3: Screenshot of Lower Portion of Section 1 of Tank #1 Worksheet in Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet.xlsx

d. (*Optional*) Estimate the impact of operational changes (i.e., modifying minimum and/or maximum tank levels) and/or design changes (i.e., modifying inlet diameter) on turnover time and mixing using *Section II* on the *Tank* worksheet(s). It is strongly recommended that any operational and/or design changes should be based on water quality data, and not solely on the estimates generated by the spreadsheet.

Section II: Turnover	Time & Mixin	g Analysis					
Instructions: Five scena	rios are available	for experimenting	y with changing ta	nk operations to	improve turnover	time. E z perimer	nt with I
only the Min level, and of	ing the max level.	Mouny data sho	an in red. Do no	cuse clis analysis	ir the turnover th	ne equations are	e not ap
These five scenarios are	also available fo	r experimenting v i	ith reducing inlet	diameter to impro	ve mizina. Note t	hat improving th	he level
Modify data shown in red	. Do not use this	s analysis if the m	izing equations a	re not applicable (H/D>1)!	• •	
,							_
Tech diamates	No Changes	Scenario A	Scenario B	Scenario C	Scenario D	Scenario E	-1
l ank diameter	00	00	00	50	50	50	
Inlet Diameter	100	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	6
High/Max Level	18.71	17.00	liser	Innutio	18 71	18 71	- A
Low/Min Level	16.79	15.00	15.00	16,79	16,79	15.00	R
H/D ratio	0.37	0.34	0.37	0.36	0.37	0.37	•
Actual Level Change	1.92	2.00	3.71	1.21	1.92	3.71	fit
Dimensionless Mizing							
Time	10.20	10.20	10.20	10.20	10.20	10.20	
Desired Level Change							
Needed for Good	4.73	4.38	4.38	4.73	2.36	2.19	ft
Pressure Drop After							
Change in Min ¥ater							
Level		0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	psi
Fill rate/ Pumping rate	71	71	71	71	71	71	gpm
Draw rater consumer	0.0	Conne			Land and		
demand Aug fill time	0.27	Sore	aosne	ειυυ	EDUE-	0.52	gpm
Aug draw time	0.27	0.23	0.43	0.14	0.22	0.55	danc
Avg volume added	0.22	0.20	0.43	0.14	0.22	0.45	uays
durina fill	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.05	MG
Avg Duration (fill	0.50	0.52	0.96	0.31	0.49	0.96	days
Ave Flow Bate	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	MGD
Ave Tank Vol	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.25	MG
Mixing Performance							
Ratio	0.41	0.46	0.85	0.26	0.81	1.69	
Turnover Time	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	days

Figure 4: Screenshot of Section #2 of Tank #1 Worksheet in Storage Tank Assessment Spreadsheet.xlsx

- 3. Continuous Chlorine Residual Assessment:
 - a. Combine continuous free chlorine residual data and tank level data collected from the most critical tank, as shown in Figure 5. The combination of chlorine and tank level data may be used to determine the impact of tank operations on water quality. For example, the data shown in

Figure 5 suggests that the tank is poorly mixed because free chlorine residual changed by approximately 1.0 mg/L during each fill-and-draw cycle.



Figure 5: Example Continuous Free Chlorine and Tank Level Data

Duration of Study:

The duration of the storage tank assessment study varies depending on the availability of SCADA at all storage tanks and the continuous chlorine monitoring technology selected for the study. If a pressure recorder and/or a continuous chlorine monitor that requires an extended period of time to stabilize are used in the study, the duration of the study may be two weeks, or longer. If the continuous chlorine monitor requires a few hours to stabilize and a pressure recorder is not required, the duration of the study may be approximately four days. Additional logistics, such as travel time to the water system for equipment installation and/or calibration should be considered.

Expected Results:

The results of this special study will be system specific, however it is anticipated that the data will support the study objective (discussed in the *Overview* section)

Summary and Conclusions:

This will be system specific, and should be documented once the study is complete.

Full-Scale Implementation:

This will be system specific, and should be documented once the study is complete.