

## 319(h) NONPOINT SOURCE GRANT

Kentucky Division of Water

# Statewide Education and Outreach Grant Rank Criteria

Applications must meet the following criteria to be scored by 319 Grant review team:

- The project contributes to implementation of the Kentucky Nonpoint Source Management Program to protect surface water or groundwater.
- Application includes required 40% match.
- Project can be completed within a maximum of a 3-year window

Proposals meeting these criteria will be reviewed and evaluated on the criteria listed below, with a possible total score of 77 points.

#### **Project Background**

	Points	Points
	Available	Obtained
Affected HUC 12s identified	0 2	
HUCs have NPS monitoring data of some kind	0 1	
Describes reason for concern or protection	0 1 2	
Majority of identified HUCs assessed	0 1	
319 Grant Report attached	0 2	
Narrative summarizes goals, objectives, and activities (GOA)	0 1 2	

#### **Total for Project Background**

#### Plan of Work and Measurable Impacts

	Points Available	Points Obtained
GOA are clear and concise	0 1 2 3	
Goals and activities would result in a completed project	0 1 2	
Measures of success are based on GOA	0 1 2	
Outcomes and deliverables are specific and measurable	0 1 2	
Outcomes and deliverables would impact beyond project timeframe	0 1 2	
Total for Plan of Work and Measurable Impacts		

### **Task and Timelines**

	P		ints ilabl	e	Points Obtained
Project has identified detailed milestones and timelines	0	1	2	3	
Timelines are reasonable	0	1	2	3	
Milestones are based off of GOA	0		2		
Max of 3 years	0		2		

#### **Total for Task and Timelines:**

#### Partnership and Capability

	Points Available	Points Obtained
Involves appropriate partners	0 1 2	Obtained
Letters of support included	0 2	
Has stakeholder support	0 1 2	
Partners and roles identified	0 2	
Project lead and key staff identified	0 2	
Lead and staff with sufficient technical experience	0 1 2	
Project plans to use other funds in project area	0 1	
Total for Partnership and Capability:		

#### Budget

	Point Availal	•	Points Obtained
Budget tables are accurate and complete	0 2		
Provides detailed budget narrative	0 1 2	3	
Demonstrates 40% match	0 2		
Match source is appropriate	0 1 2		
Project costs are reasonable	0 1 2		
Budget allocations per category are reasonable for project scale and type	0 1 2		
Total for Budget:			

#### Location

	Points	Points
	Available	Obtained
Includes Special Use Waters (OSRW, Wild Rivers, etc.)	0 1	
Aquatic Habitat Priority Watershed	0 1	
Healthy Watershed	0 1	
DOW Priority Watershed	0 1	
Basin Team Priority	0 1	
Approved TMDL or TMDL alternative	0 1	
Source Water Protection Area	0 1	
Identifies, constructs or includes wetlands	0 1	
Includes reservoirs	0 1	
Hazard mitigation	0 1	

#### **Total for Location**

#### **Education and Outreach**

		Points vailable	Points Obtained
Project identifies an NPS education gap	0	2	
Project addresses filling the education gap	0	2	
Implements pre-/post-surveys	0	2	
Educational activities aimed at behavior change	0	2	

#### **Total for Education and Outreach:**

Project selections and budget allocations for each project category are made utilizing rank and review results, rank and review comments, NPS Program priorities, and budget considerations.

<b>Total Points Obtained:</b>		
<b>Total Points Available:</b>	77	
Percentage:		<b>%</b>