TEAM KENTUCKY

319(h) NONPOINT SOURCE GRANT

Kentucky Division of Water

Watershed Plan Development Grant Rank Criteria

Applications must meet the following criteria to be scored by 319 Grant review team:

- Project plans to collect data, analyze data, and write a watershed-based plan that adequately meets EPA's 9-Elements of Watershed planning.
- Application includes required 40% match.
- Project can be completed within a maximum of a 3-year window
- Project is within the watershed/drainage area of the impaired reach or healthy watershed identified for protection.

Proposals meeting these criteria will be reviewed and evaluated on the criteria listed below, with a possible total score of **82** points.

Project Background

	Points Available	Points Obtained
Affected HUC 12s identified	0 2	
HUCs have NPS monitoring data of some kind	0 1	
Describes reason for concern or protection	0 1 2	
Majority of identified HUCs assessed	0 1	
319 Grant Report attached	0 2	
Narrative summarizes goals, objectives, and activities (GOA)	0 1 2	

Total for Project Background

Plan of Work and Measurable Impacts

	Points Available	Points Obtained
GOA are clear and concise	0 1 2 3	
Goals and activities would result in a completed project	0 1 2	
Measures of success are based on GOA	0 1 2	
Outcomes and deliverables are specific and measurable	0 1 2	
Outcomes and deliverables would impact beyond project timeframe	0 1 2	
Total for Plan of Work and Measurable Impacts		

Task and Timelines

	P		ints llabl	e	Points Obtained
Project has identified detailed milestones and timelines	0	1	2	3	
Timelines are reasonable	0	1	2	3	
Milestones are based off of GOA	0		2		
Max of 3 years	0		2		

Total for Task and Timelines:

Partnership and Capability

	Points Available	Points Obtained
Involves appropriate partners	0 1 2	Obtained
Letters of support included	0 2	
Has stakeholder support	0 1 2	
Partners and roles identified	0 2	
Project lead and key staff identified	0 2	
Lead and staff with sufficient technical experience	0 1 2	
Project plans to use other funds in project area	0 1	
Total for Partnership and Capability:		

Budget

	-	oints ailable	Points Obtained
Budget tables are accurate and complete	0	2	
Provides detailed budget narrative	0 1	2 3	
Demonstrates 40% match	0	2	
Match source is appropriate	0 1	2	
Project costs are reasonable	0 1	2	
Budget allocations per category are reasonable for project scale and type	0 1	2	
Total for Rudget			-

Total for Budget:

Location

	Points	Points
	Available	Obtained
Includes Special Use Waters (OSRW, Wild Rivers, etc.)	0 1	
Aquatic Habitat Priority Watershed	0 1	
Healthy Watershed	0 1	
DOW Priority Watershed	0 1	
Basin Team Priority	0 1	
Approved TMDL or TMDL alternative	0 1	
Source Water Protection Area	0 1	
Identifies, constructs or includes wetlands	0 1	
Includes reservoirs	0 1	
Hazard mitigation	0 1	

Total for Location

Watershed Plan Development

	-	Points vailable	Points Obtained
Identifies current WQ data and data gap	0	2	
If WQ monitoring is required, then DOW will conduct monitoring or a	0	2	
QAPP will be developed			
Proposed project includes appropriate parameters for water quality data	0	2	
(whether existing data or needs to be collected)			
Proposed project will identify protection areas	0 1		
Project will identify downstream impacts	0 1		
Project will identify drinking water sources	0 1		
Project will prioritize healthy stream or riparian habitat	0 1		
Proposed project will address floodplain connectivity	0 1		
Education and outreach component increases environmental literacy	0 1	2	

Total for Watershed Plan Development:

Project selections and budget allocations for each project category are made utilizing rank and review results, rank and review comments, NPS Program priorities, and budget considerations.

Total Points Obtained:	
Total Points Available:	82
Percentage:	%