Water Resources Board Meeting
September 29, 2016
1:00 PM EDT
Training Room C
300 Sower Blvd
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

1. Call Meeting to Order and Roll Call of Board Members

2. Introduction of Guests

3. Approve Minutes of August 29, 2016


5. Presentation by Chuck Taylor, Head, Water Resources Section, Kentucky Geological Survey – Kentucky Groundwater Data and Research Needs- the KGS Perspective

6. Presentation by Peter Goodmann, Director, DOW and Bill Caldwell, Scientist, DOW – A Kentucky Water Resources Plan

7. Action Items and Reports
   a. Projects Workgroup Report

8. Open Discussion for Board Members

9. Public Comment Period

10. Next Meeting 1:00 PM – October 31, 2016 Training Room C
Board Members in Attendance: Earl Bush (County Judge Executives); Steve Coleman (KY Farm Bureau); Lloyd Cress, Jr. (KY League of Cities); John Dix (KRWA); Peter Goodmann (Proxy, EEC, Director DOW); Kevin Jeffries (Soil and Water Conservation Districts); Tom McKee (LRC); Kevin Rogers (KY Chamber of Commerce); Lance Terrell (Proxy, KDA); Steve Workman (Proxy, UK)

Board Members Absent: Dr. Nancy Cox (UK); Ryan Quarles (Commissioner Dept. of Agriculture); Charles Snively (EEC Secretary);

Others in Attendance: Lowell Aitchley (LRC); Jory Becker (DOW); Joe Cain (KY Farm Bureau); Bill Caldwell (KDOH); Annette Dupont-Ewing (KMUA); Carey Johnson (DOW); Samantha Kaiser (DOW); Jim Kipp (KWRRI); Gary Larimore (KRWA); Haley McCoy (EEC); Kate Shanks (KY Chamber of Commerce); Chuck Taylor (KGS)

The meeting began at 1:05 p.m.

Call Meeting to Order and Roll Call of Board Members

Peter Goodmann called the meeting to order and led the roll call of Board members.

Introduction of Guests

Guests introduced themselves.

Approve Minutes of August 29, 2016

No changes were made to the meeting minutes from August.


Mr. Taylor gave a Power Point presentation regarding groundwater aquifers. He discussed several different types of aquifers and how porosity and permeability factors in storage capacity and flow rate. Characteristic of an ideal aquifer include the extraction rate and quantity of water needed for use; water quality suitable for intended uses; highly porous, permeable, and mostly homogenous geological material; geographic extensiveness; good saturated thickness and below elevation for base-level drainage; and enough recharge and groundwater storage to main sustanability. Kentucky is a topographically and geologically diverse state, which makes its aquifers equally as diverse. Due to different flow rates and paths of groundwater, this affects groundwater recharge, residence time, and quality. Kentucky’s six aquifer systems by physiographic region are Jackson Purchase, Western Coal Field, Ohio River Alluvium, Mississippian Plateau Karst, Inner Bluegrass Karst, and Eastern Coal Field. Many aquifers are highly productive, but water quality may not be suitable. The diversity and complexity of fractured and karst aquifers contribute to difficulty in mapping aquifers, quantifying their hydrologic properties, and assessing groundwater availability.
All groundwater data collected in Kentucky is accessible by the Groundwater Data Repository (GWDR). KGS identified four priority groundwater data and research needs: (1) Kentucky needs a statewide long-term groundwater observation network (KGON). KGS is currently working to rebuild the KGON, but long-term maintenance, expansion, or enhancement of the network and data-collection activities will require additional outside funding and partnership. (2) More quantitative data on aquifer yield and hydraulic properties. KGS has preliminary data from the Jackson Purchase Area, but additional well monitoring and data collection is needed. (3) Aquifer delineation and mapping, improved groundwater availability assessment, and resource development and management. Currently DOW and KGS are working on a proposal for a pilot-scale project for aquifers used by permitted groundwater suppliers. A publicly-accessible aquifer test archive database will be accessible in the future through a KGS website currently being built. (4) Development of improved groundwater management tools. This may involve the creation of groundwater flow models or well-hydraulic response simulation tools that can help predict groundwater availability and sustainability. This objective will depend on data from previous items discussed.

Due to time constraints, the presentation by Peter Goodmann, Director, DOW, and Bill Caldwell, Scientist, DOW— A Kentucky Water Resources Plan will be given at the next meeting.

Action Items and Reports

There were no additional details to discuss. The sub-committee will meet prior to the next meeting to prioritize projects.

Open Discussion for Board Members

The Board again indicated interest in a presentation on funding sources, and to discuss the differences between State Cost Share Funds (SCS) and State Revolving Funds (SRF). A member from the Army Corps of Engineers will be invited to a future meeting. During that same meeting the Board would also like to discuss jurisdiction of the waters of the Commonwealth. The Board would like to discuss how the Water Resources Development Act will affect Kentuckians if the bill passes.

Public Comment Period

No public comments were made.

Next Meeting 1:00 PM – October 31, 2016 Training Room C

The meeting adjourned at 3:22 p.m.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency/Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim Kipp</td>
<td>KWRTI</td>
<td>kippel.uly.edu</td>
<td>859-257-1832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowell Atchley</td>
<td>LRE</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lowell.atchley@lre.ky.gov">lowell.atchley@lre.ky.gov</a></td>
<td>502-810-5246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusty Cross</td>
<td>KCC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rusty.cross@finsmore.com">rusty.cross@finsmore.com</a></td>
<td>502-352-4612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Cain</td>
<td>KY Farm Bureau</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joe.caain@ky.gov">joe.caain@ky.gov</a></td>
<td>502-495-7738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Taylor</td>
<td>KDA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:charles.taylor@ulky.edu">charles.taylor@ulky.edu</a></td>
<td>859-323-0522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lance Terrell (for</td>
<td>KY Rural Water</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lance.terrell@ky.gov">lance.terrell@ky.gov</a></td>
<td>502-604-0629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Larimore</td>
<td>KCC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:g.larimore@kewa.org">g.larimore@kewa.org</a></td>
<td>270-845-3291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Shanks</td>
<td>Ky Chamber</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kshanks@kychamber.com">kshanks@kychamber.com</a></td>
<td>502-695-4700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jory Becker</td>
<td>DOW</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jory.becker@ky.gov">jory.becker@ky.gov</a></td>
<td>502-782-6887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Dunntwiny</td>
<td>KMUA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:a.dunntwiny@gmail.com">a.dunntwiny@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>502-223-7063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey Johnson</td>
<td>DOW</td>
<td><a href="mailto:casey.johnson@ky.gov">casey.johnson@ky.gov</a></td>
<td>502-782-5990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Goodman</td>
<td>DOW</td>
<td><a href="mailto:peter.goodman@ky.gov">peter.goodman@ky.gov</a></td>
<td>502-782-6956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Kaiser</td>
<td>DOW</td>
<td><a href="mailto:samantha.kaiser@ky.gov">samantha.kaiser@ky.gov</a></td>
<td>502-782-6995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Agency/Organization</td>
<td>Email Address</td>
<td>Phone number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Coleman</td>
<td>Farm Bureau</td>
<td><a href="mailto:snoi1951@yahoo.com">snoi1951@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>502-223-4194, 502-353-2939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Jeffries</td>
<td>KHCDO</td>
<td><a href="mailto:KEVIN.JEFF@Bellsouth.net">KEVIN.JEFF@Bellsouth.net</a></td>
<td>270-495-3491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Dix</td>
<td>KAWA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:JOHN@WARRENWATER.COM">JOHN@WARRENWATER.COM</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl Bash</td>
<td>Bracken C. Judge/Ex</td>
<td><a href="mailto:branch.judge@windstream.net">branch.judge@windstream.net</a></td>
<td>606-735-2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Lamberge</td>
<td>KY Rural Water</td>
<td><a href="mailto:G.Lamberge@KAWA.ORG">G.Lamberge@KAWA.ORG</a></td>
<td>270-843-2791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusty Cress</td>
<td>KLC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:RCress@digitalmore.com">RCress@digitalmore.com</a></td>
<td>502-332-4612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Ragan</td>
<td>KY MMCOM</td>
<td><a href="mailto:KEVIN.RIGERS@AMWATER.COM">KEVIN.RIGERS@AMWATER.COM</a></td>
<td>859-550-3786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Whitten</td>
<td>LK</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Steve.whitten@ky.gov">Steve.whitten@ky.gov</a></td>
<td>859-218-7479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lance Terrell</td>
<td>KDA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lance.terrell@ky.gov">lance.terrell@ky.gov</a></td>
<td>859-604-9629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Weese</td>
<td>LRC</td>
<td>Tom <a href="mailto:Weese@LRC.KY.90V">Weese@LRC.KY.90V</a></td>
<td>859-237-5879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Board Members in Attendance: Earl Bush (County Judge Executives); Steve Coleman (KY Farm Bureau); Lloyd Cress, Jr. (KY League of Cities); John Dix (KRWA); Peter Goodmann (Proxy, EEC, Director DOW); Kevin Jeffries (Soil and Water Conservation Districts); Tom McKee (LRC); Kevin Rogers (KY Chamber of Commerce); Lance Terrell (Proxy, KDA); Steve Workman (Proxy, UK)

Board Members Absent: Dr. Nancy Cox (UK); Ryan Quarles (Commissioner Dept. of Agriculture); Charles Snavely (EEC Secretary);

Others in Attendance:  Lowell Atchley (LRC); Jory Becker (DOW); Joe Cain (KY Farm Bureau); Bill Caldwell (KDOE); Annette Dupoint-Ewing (KMUA); Carey Johnson (DOW); Samantha Kaiser (DOW); Jim Kipp (KWRRRI); Gary Larimore (KRWA); Haley McCoy (EEC); Kate Shanks (KY Chamber of Commerce); Chuck Taylor (KGS)

The meeting began at 1:05 p.m.

Call Meeting to Order and Roll Call of Board Members

Peter Goodmann called the meeting to order and led the roll call of Board members.

Introduction of Guests

Guests introduced themselves.

Approve Minutes of August 29, 2016

No changes were made to the meeting minutes from August.


Mr. Taylor gave a Power Point presentation regarding groundwater aquifers. He discussed several different types of aquifers and how porosity and permeability factors in storage capacity and flow rate. Characteristic of an ideal aquifer include the extraction rate and quantity of water needed for use; water quality suitable for intended uses; highly porous, permeable, and mostly homogenous geological material; geographic extensiveness; good saturated thickness and below elevation for base-level drainage; and enough recharge and groundwater storage to main sustainability. Kentucky is a topographically and geologically diverse state, which makes its aquifers equally as diverse. Due to different flow rates and paths of groundwater, this affects groundwater recharge, residence time, and quality. Kentucky’s six aquifer systems by physiographic region are Jackson Purchase, Western Coal Field, Ohio River Alluvium, Mississippian Plateau Karst, Inner Bluegrass Karst, and Eastern Coal Field. Many aquifers are highly productive, but water quality may not be suitable. The diversity and complexity of fractured and karst aquifers contribute to difficulty in mapping aquifers, quantifying their hydrologic properties, and assessing groundwater availability.
All groundwater data collected in Kentucky is accessible by the Groundwater Data Repository (GWDR). KGS identified four priority groundwater data and research needs: (1) Kentucky needs a statewide long-term groundwater observation network (KGON). KGS is currently working to rebuild the KGON, but long-term maintenance, expansion, or enhancement of the network and data-collection activities will require additional outside funding and partnership. (2) More quantitative data on aquifer yield and hydraulic properties. KGS has preliminary data from the Jackson Purchase Area, but additional well monitoring and data collection is needed. (3) Aquifer delineation and mapping, improved groundwater availability assessment, and resource development and management. Currently DOW and KGS are working on a proposal for a pilot-scale project for aquifers used by permitted groundwater suppliers. A publicly-accessible aquifer test archive database will be accessible in the future through a KGS website currently being built. (4) Development of improved groundwater management tools. This may involve the creation of groundwater flow models or well-hydraulic response simulation tools that can help predict groundwater availability and sustainability. This objective will depend on data from previous items discussed.

Due to time constraints, the presentation by Peter Goodmann, Director, DOW, and Bill Caldwell, Scientist, DOW– *A Kentucky Water Resources Plan* will be given at the next meeting.

**Action Items and Reports**

There were no additional details to discuss. The sub-committee will meet prior to the next meeting to prioritize projects.

**Open Discussion for Board Members**

The Board again indicated interest in a presentation on funding sources, and to discuss the differences between State Cost Share Funds (SCS) and State Revolving Funds (SRF). A member from the Army Corps of Engineers will be invited to a future meeting. During that same meeting the Board would also like to discuss jurisdiction of the waters of the Commonwealth. The Board would like to discuss how the Water Resources Development Act will affect Kentuckians if the bill passes.

**Public Comment Period**

No public comments were made.

**Next Meeting 1:00 PM – October 31, 2016 Training Room C**

The meeting adjourned at 3:22 p.m.
Overview of Kentucky Aquifers—Framework for Understanding Groundwater Availability & Priority Groundwater Data and Research Needs—KGS Perspective

Charles J. Taylor, Head
Water Resources Section
Kentucky Geological Survey
University of Kentucky
Classical Definition of An Aquifer

A geological formation that is sufficiently permeable to transmit ground water and yield economically sufficient quantities of water to wells and springs.

“Aquifers come in many shapes and sizes but they are really a contained underground repository of water” –Steve Phillips, USGS, Sacramento
A Few More Aquifer Definitions:

**Confining unit (Aquitard)**- A body of impermeable or distinctly less permeable material that restricts flow into or out an adjacent aquifer.

**Unconfined aquifer** - An aquifer having a water table surface, open to atmospheric pressure.

**Confined aquifer** - An aquifer bounded above and below by impermeable or lower permeability beds, with water under artesian or confining pressure (greater than atmospheric pressure).

Hydraulic head—is the height that water will rise in a well due to natural conditions in the aquifer, particularly the kinetic and potential energy of groundwater at that location. Groundwater moves from areas of higher hydraulic head to areas of lower hydraulic head.
Porosity and Permeability Are the Primary Factors Controlling Groundwater Occurrence & Availability
A Look At Fracture and Solution Permeability In limestone Well in Elizabethtown, Ky
Characteristics of an “Ideal” or Good Aquifer

- Made of Highly Porous, Permeable, and Mostly Homogenous Geological Materials
- Good Saturated Thickness; Below Elevation for Base-Level Drainage
- Gets Enough Recharge and Stores Enough Groundwater to Maintain Sustainability
- Water Can Be Extracted at a Rate/Quantity Needed for Uses
- Water Quality Is Suitable for Intended Uses
- Made of Highly Porous, Permeable, and Mostly Homogenous Geological Materials
- Geographically Extensive
In Reality Aquifers are Zones Within Complex Groundwater Flow Systems and Characteristics Vary

Groundwater Availability depends on What Parts of the System a Well Penetrates, the Capture Zone or Contributing Area of the Well (or Spring) and What are the Local and Regional Hydrogeologic Factors That Control Groundwater Recharge, Storage, and Flow.
Above drainage, aquifers are more likely to be geographically and hydrologically isolated, and have limited recharge area.
Groundwater Moving at Different Rates and Along Different Flow Paths Affects Groundwater Recharge, Residence Time, and Groundwater Quality

Recharge may occur at Different Time Scales

Less Recharge is Available to Deeper Aquifers

If Withdrawal Rates are Greater than Recharge Rates, Aquifer May be Depleted (True Regardless of Depth)

GW Flow Paths and Residence Times Also Affect Vulnerability of Aquifer (and Wells) to Contamination.

Longer time of Rock Interaction Between Water and Rock Results in Increased Dissolved Mineral Content
Water Levels and Response to Precip Recharge Varies In Wells Depending on Aquifer Hydraulic/Hydrogeologic Conditions

A: Fractured Sandstone aquifer—rapid recharge, slower drainage.

B: Karst Limestone aquifer—rapid recharge and drainage ("flashy" response).

(Modified from Brown, 1966)
Kentucky Aquifers

Kentucky is a Topographically and Geologically Diverse State.
• Aquifers are equally diverse.

Geological Materials that Serve as Aquifers include:
• Unconsolidated Sand and Gravel Deposits.
• Fractured Sandstones, Shales or Siltstones, and Coal.
• Fractured and Karstic Limestone and Dolostone.

• Porosity and Permeability Varies Greatly Among these Aquifer Materials.
• Hence, Groundwater Storage, Flow, and Availability Varies Greatly Depending on the Occurrence and “Arrangements” of these Aquifer Materials.

• Natural Groundwater Quality is Also Highly Variable.
Geologic Map of Kentucky

- **Precambrian; >570 mya;** (igneous and metamorphic rock)
- **Cambrian; 510-570 mya;** dolomite, sandstone and shale
- **Ordovician; 440-510 mya;** limestone, dolomite, shale
- **Silurian; 410-440 mya;** dolomite and limestone
- **Devonian; 360-410 mya;** shale and limestone
- **Mississippian; 325-360 mya;** shale and limestone
- **Pennsylvanian; 290-325 mya;** shale, sandstone and coal
- **Cretaceous; 85-95 mya;** gravel and sand
- **Tertiary and Cretaceous; 60-70 mya;** sand and clay
- **Tertiary; 30 mya;** clay and sand
- **Quaternary and Tertiary; 1-5 mya;** gravel and sand
- **Quaternary; 2 mya;** sand, clay, gravel
- **Precambrian; >570 mya;** (igneous and metamorphic rock)
Principle Aquifers of the United States—
USGS HA 730-K Seg.10 (Lloyd and Lyke, 1995)

- Surficial aquifers
- Appalachian Plateaus aquifers
- Interior Low Plateaus aquifers
- Mississippian Embayment
Ohio River Alluvial Aquifer

Highly productive unconsolidated sand and gravel deposits along Ohio and lower Green River.

Thicker deposits of alluvium along many Ky streams serve as important local aquifers.
Riverbank Infiltration and Pumping-Induced Recharge from Streams

A) River or recharge pond
Water table
Sand and gravel aquifer

B) River or recharge pond
Pumping well
Sand and gravel aquifer

Horizontal-Collector or Ranney Well Construction

Louisville Water Company Pilot-Scale Horizontal Collector Well

Part of Much Larger Mississippian Embayment Regional Aquifer System (MERAS)
Two of the Major Aquifer Zones in the JPA
Purchase Area Aquifers Are Among State’s Most Productive and Are of Interest for High-Yield Irrigation Wells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquifer</th>
<th>Thickness (ft)</th>
<th>Hydraulic Conductivity (gpd/ft²)</th>
<th>Transmissivity (gpd/ft)</th>
<th>Specific Capacity (gpm/ft)</th>
<th>Well Yields (gpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi River Valley Alluvial Aquifer</td>
<td>0-100¹</td>
<td>2,000⁶</td>
<td>170,000⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 1000²,³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-200²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Claiborne Aquifer</td>
<td>0-300¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 300²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Claiborne Aquifer</td>
<td>0-200¹</td>
<td>2,000⁵</td>
<td>300,000⁵</td>
<td>54⁵</td>
<td>&gt; 1000²,³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-400²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Claiborne-Upper Wilcox Aquifer</td>
<td>0-400¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Wilcox Aquifer</td>
<td>0-200¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 100²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Wilcox Aquifer</td>
<td>0-200¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12⁵</td>
<td>&lt; 100²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNairy-Nacatoch Aquifer</td>
<td>0-400¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-27⁴</td>
<td>&gt; 1000²,³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Lloyd and Lyke, 1995  ⁶Boswell and others, 1965  ²Davis and others, 1971  ³Boswell and others, 1968 (Data used from Dyer, Tennessee.)  ⁴Boswell and others, 1965  ⁵Hosman and others, 1968  ³Davis and others, 1973
**Interior Low Plateaus Mississippian aquifers**

Karst limestone aquifers, capped in places with fractured sandstones.

---

**Table 1.** Yields of wells completed in the Mississippian aquifers commonly range from 2 to 50 gallons per minute and locally exceed 1,000 gallons per minute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Common range</th>
<th>May exceed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>5 to 25</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>2 to 25</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>2 to 10</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>5 to 50</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

**Figure 78.** Rocks of Mississippian age underlie a large part of the interior Low Plateaus Province in Segment 10. The principal aquifers in these rocks primarily are in the Upper Mississippian limestones.

**EXPLANATION**
- **Mississippian aquifers**
- **Upper Mississippian rocks**—Generally confining units but may contain local aquifers
- **Lower Mississippian rocks**—Generally confining units but may contain local aquifers

Diagram modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:2,000,000, 1972.
Cross-section of Mammoth Cave Area Limestone and Sandstone Aquifers

Right: Diagram to illustrate change in depth of fresh water circulation and water quality in limestone bedrock.
Hydrogeologic Setting and Features Typical of Mississippian Low Plateau Karst
“Spring flows were ranked by minimum annual discharge, which ranged from 0.15-0.68 m$^3$/s.” (2,378 – 10,780 gpm)

--Ray and Blair, 2005
Interior Low Plateaus
Ordovician-Silurian-Devonian aquifers

**EXPLANATION**

Direction of ground-water movement:

![Diagram showing water movement through geological layers](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State and aquifer</th>
<th>Well depth below land surface (feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Common range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky (Ordovician limestone and dolomite)</td>
<td>50 to 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee (Ordovician limestone and dolomite)</td>
<td>50 to 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Knox Group)</td>
<td>700 to 1,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 94. Aquifers in carbonate rocks of Devonian, Silurian, and Ordovician ages underlie large parts of central Kentucky and central Tennessee in the Interior Low Plateaus Province in Segment 10. Most Upper Ordovician rocks are confining units, but some locally yield small quantities of water.*

(Data source: U.S. Geological Survey, 1985)
For comparison with Mississippian karst, springs in the IBK typically range about 0.02 - 0.33 m³/s (269-5,386 gpm).
KY Karst
Aquifers Are
Highly
Compartmentalized Into
Subsurface
Basins Similar
to Surface Streams

Portion of the
Campbellsville 30x60’
quadrangle karst atlas map
showing multiple karst
basins.

Dye-tracer tests are used
to delineate subsurface
flow paths and basin
boundaries.

Karst Atlas maps are available
for much of Kentucky and can
be downloaded as .PDF files
from the KGS website:
http://www.uky.edu/KGS/water/
research/kaatlasm.htm
Pennsylvanian Clastic Aquifers—Western Coal Field

**Figure 73.** Sandstones that form the principal aquifers in the Pennsylvanian rocks underlie northwestern Kentucky and adjacent parts of Indiana and Illinois.

**EXPLANATION**
- Pennsylvania aquifers
  - Generally sandstone
  - Generally shale
- Rough Creek Fault System—Approximately located
- A—A' Line of hydrogeologic section

**Figure 74.** Pennsylvanian rocks are offset by faults in some places and are folded in other places. The depth to water with a dissolved-solids concentration of 1,000 milligrams per liter averages less than 500 feet but can be as great as 1,000 feet. The line of the section is shown in figure 73.

**EXPLANATION**
- Pennsylvania rocks that contain freshwater
- Estimated line of dissolved-solids concentration equal to 1,000 milligrams per liter
- Fault—Arrows show relative vertical movement
Pennsylvanian Channel Sandstone aquifers in WKy Coal Field

Generalized stratigraphic column showing relation of channel sandstone aquifers to other bedrock units. (Modified from Davis and others, 1974) (Illustrations courtesy of Glynn Beck, KGS).
Appalachian Plateaus—Eastern Coal Field
Typical Eastern Coal Field Stress-Relief Fractured Aquifer System

Above drainage, coal beds and fractured sandstones may be significant perched aquifers.

Fractures and interlayered rocks of varying permeability control downward migration of groundwater.
Distribution of Water Wells in Kentucky Gives Us A Clue as To Groundwater Availability and Suitability of Aquifers

Sources: KGS Groundwater Data Repository; KDOW Certified Water Well Drillers Well Construction Records
Distribution and Withdrawals from Public Groundwater Suppliers

Regulated Groundwater Withdrawals > 0.010 MGD
Withdrawals Amounts, MGD
- 0.000000 - 0.005000
- 0.050001 - 0.050000

Physiographic Regions
REGION
- Cumberland Escarpment
- Eastern Coal Field
- Eastern Pennyroyal
- Inner Bluegrass
- Knobs
- Outer Bluegrass
- Purchase
- Western Coal Field
- Western Pennyroyal

Courtesy of Bill Caldwell, KDOW
Summary

- Kentucky is a topographically and geologically diverse state.
- Consequently, our aquifers and their hydrologic characteristics are equally diverse.
- Groundwater is available in almost all of the state—depending on requirements/plans for use.
- The most productive granular aquifers are located in thicker and more permeable sand and gravel deposits along the Ohio River and in JPA-Mississippian Embayment.
- The most productive consolidated (bedrock) aquifers are located in the Mississippian karst.
- However, highly productive wells can be obtained in many bedrock aquifers depending on local hydrogeologic conditions and fracture or karst permeability.
- The diversity in aquifer types and the dominance of complex fractured/karst aquifers contributes to difficulty in mapping aquifers, quantifying their hydrologic properties, and assessing groundwater availability in many parts of the state.
Questions and Comments
Part 2:
Priority Groundwater Data and Research Needs—
KGS Perspective

Charles J. Taylor, Head
Water Resources Section
Kentucky Geological Survey
University of Kentucky
KGS Role in Groundwater Monitoring and Studies

Mission:
- We are a Research and Public Service Unit within UK.
- KGS Mission is to Characterize and Provide Information about Ky’s Geological, Mineral, Energy, and Water Resources.
- No Regulatory Responsibilities or Functions.

Legislative Mandates:
- KRS 151.035 “Official Repository for Groundwater Information.
- KRS 151.625 “Establishment of Long-Term Groundwater Monitoring Network”.
- KRS 151.113 Kentucky Water Resources Board (source of “technical assistance”).
All Groundwater Data Collected In Kentucky is Stored and Accessed By the Groundwater Data Repository (GWDR).

- Initiated in 1990 by legislative mandate (KRS 151:035) and maintained by KGS.
- Currently:
  - Over 92,000 water well records.
  - Approximately 5,100 spring records.
  - About 60,000 groundwater-quality analyses.
- Over 15 contributing agencies, including KDOW, USGS, and EPA Storet.
- Largest single source of data: Kentucky certified water-well driller records from KDOW.
Priority Groundwater Data and Research Needs

- Statewide Long-term Groundwater Observation Network.
- Aquifer Delineation and Mapping.
- More Quantitative Data on Aquifer Yield and Hydraulic Properties.
- Development of Improved Groundwater Management Tools.
Priority Groundwater Data and Research Needs

Statewide Long-term Groundwater Observation Network

- Continuous monitoring of water levels in a suitable network of observations wells is needed to build a database capable of identifying and tracking trends in groundwater levels and assessing changes in groundwater recharge, storage, and discharge (withdrawals).

- Calculations of meaningful statistical parameters such as mean, maximum, and minimum groundwater levels will require at least 5 years of data.
Need for a Ky Groundwater-Level Observation Network

• Previously a statewide network of up to 64 wells was operated jointly by USGS and KGS from the mid-1950s to the early-1990s.

• Continual decreases in Federal and state funding steadily eroded the network over the years, eventually leaving only one well being continuously monitored in Kentucky by USGS as part of a national groundwater network.

• In recent decades, comparatively more effort has been given to the collection of groundwater-quality data, largely driven by the needs of state and federal regulators.

• Information about current groundwater conditions is unavailable in most parts of the state, and it is not uncommon for available water-level data to be 25 years or more out-of-date.

Source: http://groundwaterwatch.usgs.gov/
In 2015 KGS Started Work Rebuilding A Statewide Kentucky Groundwater Observation Network (KGON)

- Helps meet critical need for continuously updated groundwater-level data and re-establishment of a statewide long-term groundwater monitoring network.

- Helps fulfill KGS legislative mandate to establish a network “…for the purpose of characterizing the quality, quantity, and distribution of Kentucky’s groundwater resources.”

- “…in areas of demonstrated need.”.

- Wells serve as fixed monitoring sites representative of specific aquifers or aquifer types (e.g. karst, fractured sedimentary rock, etc.).

- “…support research efforts that develop models for groundwater systems…”, and “…to determine and monitor trends…”.
Capitalization

- KGS: App. $75K one-time internal funding contributed to establish initial network of up to 15 observation wells in critical areas and cover 12 mo. operations costs (implementation during 2015-16).

- Annual O&M costs (app. $30K) are presently anticipated to be covered by KGS for first 3 years; unanticipated cost increases, funding cuts, or resource re-allocation decisions could potentially affect this.

- Long-term maintenance, expansion or enhancement of network and data-collection activities, will require additional outside funding and partnerships.
Equipment Installation At the Network’s 1st Observation Well

Monitoring a fractured-karstic limestone aquifer at Kentucky Horse Park, Scott Co.

Clockwise from upper left:

1. Preparation of anchor point (datum) for pressure transducer.
2. Measuring out transducer data cable length.
3. Inserting transducer and cable into well.
4. Final field check of transducer and telemetry equipment.
Status of KGS KY Observation Well Network (KGON) Sites As Of August 10, 2016

- Continuously-Monitored Observation Well (Data downloaded daily).
- Continuously-Monitored Observation Well (Data manually downloaded at 6-8 week intervals).
- Existing Well Being Evaluated for KGON.
- Priority Area for New Observation Well.

Groundwater Monitoring Sites
Maintained By Other Agencies:

- KDOX-ITAC Periodic Groundwater-Quality Sampling Sites
- USGS National Climate-Response Network Well

Map Courtesy of Rob Blair, KDOW, 2014
✓ KGS Drilled and Instrumented Two New Observation Well Clusters, and established a Third Observation Well at Benton.

✓ Collecting Natural Gamma Logs, and other Geophysical Data, to Improve Identification of Subsurface Aquifer Boundaries and Confining Units.

✓ Collected Additional GWL Measurements and Water Well Data, and Conducted Specific Capacity Tests of Irrigation Wells at Clarks River Wildlife Refuge near Benton.
KGS Hickman Co. Observation Well Cluster

near Clinton, KY

SWL/TD:
HICKMAN #2  81/180 FBLS
HICKMAN #1  84/380 FBLS
Location of the Hickman Observation Cluster Relative to Some High-Yield Water Wells

~ 1 mi.
Preliminary JPA Hickman Well Cluster Hydrograph Data
KGS MSU Observation Well Cluster

at Murray, Calloway Co., KY

SWL/TD

MSU #2  45/150 FBLS
MSU #1  150/350 FBLS
Preliminary JPA Murray Well Cluster Hydrograph Data
KGS Using Nationally Recommended Approach to Build a Synergistic Program for Groundwater Monitoring & Assessment

Groundwater Monitoring Network (Groundwater Level and Quality Data)

Surveillance (Synoptic) Sites
For single or periodic measurement of water levels and groundwater quality at many locations (Snapshot-in-Time) data to complement Trend Sites data.

Special Studies
Targeted groundwater investigations conducted to better map and quantify aquifer properties.

Trend Sites (Continuous sites)
For continuous tracking of temporal changes (short and long term) at specially targeted locations. Subnetworks recommended for unstressed and impacted aquifers.

Contributors: KDOW, USGS, other UK Departments (Earth and Environmental Sciences, Agriculture) and Ky Colleges
Additional Data Collection Activities Being Conducted by KGS to Support the KY Groundwater Observation Network:

- Well/borehole geophysical logging
- Aquifer tests
- Synoptic water-level measurements from additional wells. Limited groundwater quality sampling.
Priority Groundwater Data and Research Needs

Aquifer Delineation and Mapping

- Needed for Improved Groundwater Availability Assessment, and Resource Development and Management.

- Involves Collecting and Synthesizing Data From Multiple Sources including Geological Mapping Data (Stratigraphy and Structure), Geophysical Logs and Well Construction Records Obtained for Water, Oil, and Gas Wells.

- Also Requires Data on Aquifer Hydraulic Properties Obtained from Well Tests.

Present Aquifer Delineation Activity in western Jackson Purchase Area—

- Water well inventory and gamma-ray logging of selected irrigation and domestic wells.
- Digitizing scanned gamma-ray logs from Phillips Coal Company boreholes (ca. 1976).

Modified from Lloyd and Lyke, 1995
Gamma-Ray Logs of JPA Wells Raise Questions about Variations in Extent and Thickness of Aquifer Zones and Confining Units

Confining Unit identified by distinctive “kick-out” in log signature.

These Questions May Have Important Implications for Groundwater Monitoring and Groundwater and Surface Water Resources Management in the Area.
More Quantitative Data on Aquifer Yield and Hydraulic Properties—Example: Elizabethtown municipal well field

KGS is actively working with KY Rural Water and others to identify water wells for testing.
KGS Is Creating an Public-Accessible Aquifer Test Archive and Webpage Site
Priority Groundwater Data and Research Needs

Development of Improved Groundwater Management Tools

• To Be Determined


• This Objective Requires Access to Sufficient High-Quality Hydrogeological Data, and Proper Conceptualization of the Aquifer. Therefore Its Eventual Realization Depends on the Previous Priority Items We’ve Discussed.
Questions and Discussion

For More Information Contact:
Chuck Taylor
Water Resources Section
Kentucky Geological Survey
University of Kentucky
Email: charles.taylor@uky.edu
Phone: 859-323-0523
Looking Ahead to a Kentucky Water Resources Plan

Water Resources Board

October 31, 2016

Department for Environmental Protection
Energy and Environment Cabinet

To Protect and Enhance Kentucky’s Environment
“A GOAL WITHOUT A PLAN IS A WISH”

Anonymous Radio Personality
The AWP brings data, science, and public input together to define water demands, water supplies, issues and potential solutions to meet our future needs.

States’ plans are unique but share common features that are the foundation for water planning.
I. WATER AVAILABILITY

Regional Water Inventories

Annual and Seasonal “Surplus/Deficit”

- Existing withdrawal demand
- Instream Flow demands
II. DEMAND FORECASTING

Population-driven Demands
Agricultural Demands
Energy Sector Demands
Industrial Demands
GAP ANALYSIS

Where does available supply not meet current demand?

Where will available supply not meet future demand?

Why does the GAP exist?

What are potential solutions?

“HOT SPOT” ANALYSIS

Which GAPS are most critical?
PLAN DEVELOPMENT

REGIONAL FOCUS

STAKEHOLDER DRIVEN

What issues are seen as priorities at the local/regional level?

What needs to be in the plan?

**Inform the development of a statewide water resources plan.**
Appoint two working committees

• Technical Data Committee

• Plan Development Roadmap Committee
Water Resources Development
What do we need to know?

PUBLIC AWARENESS, EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

FARM AND COMMUNITY DECISION-SUPPORT SYSTEMS AND TOOLS
-- DROUGHT EARLY WARNING
-- IRRIGATION MANAGEMENT
-- RURAL WATER RESILIENCY

VULNERABILITY MITIGATION PLANNING
ADDRESSING KNOWLEDGE GAPS

“why monitor if you don’t fix the problem?”

WATER AVAILABILITY

MONITORING / RESEARCH / DATA COLLECTION

WATER DEMANDS
Applicant: Kentucky Climate Center, WKU

WKU-1: The Kentucky Mesonet Station Acquisition and Installation

WKU-2: Kentucky Mesonet Soil Monitoring

WKU-3: Kentucky Mesonet Precipitation Monitoring

WKU-4: Summaries, Forecasts and Outlooks
Applicant: US Geological Survey

USGS-1: Agricultural and Drought Data Management and Integration Application

USGS-2: Streamflow Gaging Stations in Critical Areas with Existing Data Gaps

USGS-3: Water Quality Monitoring Stations to Better Quantify Nutrient Loading
Applicant: Kentucky Geological Survey

KGS-1: Kentucky Groundwater Observation Network

KGS-2: A Groundwater Withdrawal Assessment Tool for the Jackson Purchase Region
Applicant: Dr. Steve Higgins/University of Kentucky

UK-1: Stormwater Management, Water Harvesting and the LEAF Program