UT of Rolling Fork (at River Mile 94.6)

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Fact Sheet

Project Name: UT of Rolling Fork at RM 94.6

Location: Marion County, Kentucky

Scope/Size: UT of Rolling Fork Watershed 848 acres (1.33 mi²)
Stream Segment: River Mile 0.0 to 0.60

Land Type: forest, agricultural, barren/spoil

Type of Activity: acid drainage caused by highway construction

Pollutant(s): H⁺ ion mass, sulfuric acid

TMDL Issues: nonpoint sources

Water Quality Standard/Target: The pH shall not be less than six (6.0) or more than nine (9.0) and shall not fluctuate more than one and zero tenths (1.0) pH unit over a 24-hour period. This standard is found within regulation 401 KAR 5:031.

Data Sources: Kentucky Division of Water (KDOW) Data Collection
Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute

Control Measures: Kentucky nonpoint source TMDL implementation plan,
Kentucky Watershed Framework

Summary: UT of Rolling Fork was determined as not supporting the designated uses of primary and secondary contact recreation (swimming and wading) and warm water aquatic habitat (aquatic life). Therefore, the creek was placed on the 2002 and subsequent 303(d) lists for Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) development. The creek segment is characterized by a depressed pH, the result of leaching of the embankment (fill) material. In developing the TMDL for UT of Rolling Fork, pH readings and corresponding stream flow measurements were made at two different locations within the watershed, corresponding to the two tributaries. The most recent sampling supports the conclusion that Site 2 (sub-watershed for tributary #2) does not support acceptable pH levels. The watershed is impaired because of low pH at this site.
Most Recent Sampling Locations on UT of Rolling Fork

**TMDL Development:** Total maximum daily loads in grams H\(^+\) ions per day were computed based on the allowable minimum pH value of 6.0 for streams to meet the primary and secondary contact recreation and aquatic life uses. The TMDL was done for grams of ions (subsequently converted to lbs/day) because the units for pH do not allow for the computation of a quantitatively useful load or reduction amount.

In recognition of the inherent difficulties associated with imposition of a “no-exceedance” pH criterion on potentially intermittent streams, the Kentucky Division of Water has decided to use the lowest one year average discharge of the most recent 10-year flow record as the flow basis for setting the appropriate TMDL and associated loading reduction. Previous pH TMDLs has used a 3-year recurrence interval of the average flow as the critical flow. However, this flow resulted in a target discharge that frequently was significantly greater than any of the observed flows for the sites as collected over several years.
Thus use of a 3-year flow would require an extrapolation of the observed ion vs. flow model, well beyond the upper limit of the observed data. The selection of the 10-year frequency was based on a consideration of water quality standards (WQSs) (i.e. 7Q10). However, since many of these streams have a 7Q10 of zero, a greater duration was needed. The consensus of the KDOW was to use the 1-year duration. The use of an average annual flow as the basis for determining the TMDL provides a more appropriate mechanism for determining: (1) the total annual load; (2) the total annual reduction that would be derived from an annual summation of the daily TMDLs; and (3) the associated daily load reductions for the critical year using historical daily flows.

TMDL for UT of Rolling Fork: In developing a TMDL for UT of Rolling Fork, there are two possible strategies. Either a cumulative aggregate TMDL may be obtained for the downstream extent of the impaired portion of the watershed, or separate TMDLs (and associated load reductions) may be developed for each individual subbasin. As a result of the availability of sampling data at multiple sampling points, an individual TMDL was developed for Subbasin 2. The TMDL and associated load reductions for Subbasin 2 are shown below.

Summary of Flow Rate and TMDL for Subbasin 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subbasin</th>
<th>Upstream contributing area (mi²)</th>
<th>Incremental critical flow (cfs)</th>
<th>Incremental TMDL for a pH of 6.0 (lbs/day)</th>
<th>Predicted incremental load (lbs/day)</th>
<th>Load Reduction needed (lbs/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution of Load: Because there were no observed point source discharges during the study period, the existing hydrogen ion load for the watershed was defined entirely as a nonpoint source load as reflected in the above table. The table given below splits the TMDL (which is based on meeting the minimum WQS value for pH of 6.0) evenly between the Waste Load Allocation (WLA) and the Load Allocation (LA) as a means of defining a conservative approach for Subbasin 2.
Wasteload and Load Allocation for Subbasin 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incremental Critical Flow Rate (cfs)</th>
<th>TMDL for pH = 6.0 (lbs/day)</th>
<th>Wasteload Allocation (lbs/day)</th>
<th>Load Allocation (lbs/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subbasin 2</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Implementation/Remediation Strategy:** Remediation of pH-impaired streams, as a result of leaching from the pyritic fill material used in highway construction, is the responsibility of the entity that owns and maintains the highway. In the case of UT of Rolling Fork, the cause of impairment is the fill material that was used in the construction process of the upgrading/relocation of U.S. Highway 68/Kentucky Highway 55 just south of Lebanon in Marion County. The remediation of this stream is thus the responsibility of the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet that owns and maintains these highways. This is the second TMDL to be developed for a stream impaired by highway construction related activities and will be used in the future as guidelines for any other similar impairment in streams. Permanent mitigation measures may involve sealing the pyritic fill material in the road embankments from surface water infiltration with lime and topsoil. For the UT of Rolling Fork, remediation needs to be done on the embankment and possibly the exposed road cuts on either side of the embankment. Before the permanent mitigation is implemented, the stream can be treated with limestone to bring the stream to acceptable limits of pH (6.0 – 9.0).