Low Gradient Habitat Assessment Datasheet v3.1 Page 1																				
Station Visit Information																				
Locale Name:					Project:					Trip:):			County:						
Station ID:				Loc. Desc.:									Visit Date:							
Field Lead:	F			Primary Bioregio	Primary Bioregion:					Secondary Bioregion:						Visit Sta	rt Time:			
Team:				Dioregioni			Stream Berm			t Per Stream Type				Visit Finish						
STA					STATI		T VERIFIC	CATION			WAJ.	inj.				WEAT	THER			
K-WADE	(-WADE Target Poin		int Field GPS Locatio			on Nav. to Target Point Within GPS Error?		Target (Target On		GPS Fina	GPS Final		K-WADE Station Update		Scouring Last 14	g Rain In Days	Y	I	N
Lat:					Correct Stream?			Error (m)			Staff:	itaff:		Now: Ci	rcle 1	HR CS	SR CO	IS SSH		
Long:						Y	Ν	Y	N				Date:			Past 24h	nr:	HR CS	SR CO	IS SSH
	Stre	eam Shad	ling			STREAM	/I FLOW (Circle 1)			INSTR	EAM FEA	TURES			RIFFLE/	RUN/POO	OL SEQ		
Leafed C	Dut?		YN			r y I	Pooled	l Low		Avgerage Wetted Width (m):		# of riff	les in read	:h				
General Shading	iral ing Full Part		ial None 🔥			Seasonal No		ormal		Maximum Depth (m):		# of run		ns in reach						
(Circle 1)	(Circle 1)							FIUUU		Reach L	ach Length (m):				Dis in reach		(01			
LOCAL W		DCAL WA	ATERSHED FEATURES		ES (Major	6 (Major Land Us		e: Check all that are preser		nt)	Dredgi		g: F D N		Channel	ial or Not	C/NONE	D	N	
Deep M	ep Mining		Commercia		rcial			Silviculture			Dreugh		·B·			/EGETATIO		• •		
Oil Wells	il Wells		Industrial		al			Urban Runoff					Herb		s Gr	asses	# of Stra	ta:		
Land Disposal			Row Cr		ops			Storm Sewers				Dom. V	eg. Type:	Shru	bs	Trees		_		
Resident	Hal			Forest			P		Permitted			Dom To								
Kesidential Forest Outfalls Dom. Taxa:																				
-			Bridge	_			HYDRAU		UCTURES	Спеска	that are	present					_			
Dams:			Abutme	ents:			Fords:			Islands:			Waterfal	s:			Berms:			
Terrer			D 0	_		D 0			FIELD ME	TER DAT	4	Cro. Com	4			Dischaus	-			
(oC):			(mg/l):			Sat:			рн (SU):			sp. Con (μS/cm)	a):			CFS Un	e Icert.			
									FIELD A	CTIVITIES										
Activity	Complet	d? Collectors		ors			Collection Information (C		heck all t	that apply and/or enter/		enter/circl	r/circle necessary inform		mation)					
Algae:							QualMHC:		-	Visual F	orm:	R4MULTI:		:		Other:				
Fish:							Equip:		BPEF	Seine	Seine Barge EF Seco		nds:		Seine Minutes:					
Habitat:							Habitat data other than R				BP?									
Invertebrate:							1m ₂ riffle + MH:			MACS 20-Jab:		Other:								
Multihabs Sampled Y/N or # Jabs		ed	Undercuts/Roots: Bedrock/Hardpan			Snags/Woody Dedris: Cobble/Gravel:			_	Leaf Packs: Silt/Sand/Fine Gravel:				Emerge Wood S	nt Veg: ample:		Suppler	nental		
Chemistry:						H ₂ SO ₄ Lot #:						HNO ₃ Lot #:								
Multi-Probe:					Inst. ID:							Cal. Date:								
Discharge:							Inst. ID:			В			Beam Check:							
Other:							Other Desc:					· · · ·								
SU				BSTRATE	CHARAG	CTERIZATI	ON						Site Not Sampled			bled				
Substrate Category			% Riffle:				% Run:			% Pool:	é Pool:		Reach Total		(Reason) - Ple			ease		
Silt/Clay (<0.06 mm)																	Add	Com	me	nts
Sanu (0.06 – 2 mm)														Land	Owne en/Im	r Dei nou	nial nded			
Cobble (64 – 256 mm)														Site Not Found			d			
Boulders (>256 mm)															Unsafe					
Bedrock/Hardpan Clay											Other (ry See Co	mm	ents)						
Reach Location								Weather IS = Intermittent			= Heavy F rmittent S	Rain SR = Steady Rain Showers CS = Clear Sunny								
Initial D	ata										choices:	Data	CO = C	loudy Ove	ercast S	6H = Sr	ow	Sleet		
Review	ata By:						Review	Date:						Entered:						

Low Gradient Habitat Assessment Datasheet v3.1Page 2												
Habitat	Condition Category											
Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor								
5CURE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0								
Available Cover	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new and transient).	30-50% mix of stable nabitat; well- suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.								
2. Pool Substrate												
Characterization Score	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.								
Pool Variability												
Score	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small- shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.								
4. Sediment Deposition			Moderate deposition of new gravel,	Heavy denosits of fine material								
Score	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	increased bar development; 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.								
5. Channel Flow Status												
Score	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.								
6. Channel Alteration		Some channelization present, usually	Channelization may be extensive:	Banks shored with gabion or cement.								
Score	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr.) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.								
7. Channel Sinuosity	The bends in the stream increase the stream											
Scoro	length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 2 to 3 times longer than if it was in a straight line	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 2 to 1 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.								
Left/Right Bank	10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
8 Bank Stability	10 5	5 7 5	, , ,	2 1 0								
LB RB	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5- 30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.								
9. Vegetative Protection	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and	70-90% of the streambank surfaces										
LB RB	immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.								
10. Riparian Vegetative												
Zone Width LB RB	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.								
	Notes/Comments:											
Total Score:												
General Notes:												
Sediment Notes:												