2004 303(d) LIST OF WATERS

FOR KENTUCKY

Environmental and Public Protection Cabinet

Kentucky Division of Water

September 2005



2004 303(d) LIST OF WATERS

FOR KENTUCKY

KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF WATER

Frankfort, Kentucky

This report has been approved for release:

David W. Morgan, Director Division of Water

15/05

Date 7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1	Narrative	1
Section 1.1	Preface	1
Section 1.2	Background	1
Section 1.3	Public Review and Participation	1
Section 1.4	Introduction	1
Section 1.5	Assessment Methodology	3
Section 1.5.1	Streams and Rivers	3
Section 1.5.1.1	Aquatic Life Use Support	3
Section 1.5.1.2	Primary Contact Recreation (Swimming) Use Support	
Section 1.5.1.3	Fish Consumption Use Support	4
Section 1.5.1.4	Drinking Water Use Support	5
Section 1.5.2	Lakes and Reservoirs	5
Section 1.5.2.1	Introduction	5
Section 1.5.2.2	Methods	6
Section 1.5.2.3	Assessment of Trophic State and Use Support	6
Section 1.5.3	Causes and Sources	
Section 1.5.4	Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs	
Section 1.6	Listing Methodology	
Section 1.7	TMDL Development	
Section 1.7.1	Progress in Delisting Waters and Developing TMDLs	
Section 1.7.2	Priority Watersheds for TMDL Development	
Section 1.8	Summary	10
Section 2	303(d) Listings	11
Section 2.1	Approved Delistings	
Section 2.1.1	Kentucky River Basin Unit	
Section 2.1.2	Salt/Licking River Basin Unit	
Section 2.1.3	Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit	
Section 2.1.4	Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit	
Section 2.1.5	Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit	48
Section 2.1.6	Ohio River Main Stem	48
Section 2.1.7	Lakes and Reservoirs	49
Section 2.2	Delisting Requests	50
Section 2.2.1	Kentucky River Basin Unit	
Section 2.2.1.1	1 st Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.1.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.2	Salt/Licking River Basin Unit	
Section 2.2.2.1	1 st Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.2.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.3	Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit	
Section 2.2.3.1	1 st Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.3.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.4	Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit	

Table of Contents (cont)

Section 2.2.4.1	1 st Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.4.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.5	Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit	
Section 2.2.6	Ohio River Main Stem	
Section 2.2.6.1	1 st Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.6.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
Section 2.2.7	Lakes and Reservoirs	
Section 2.3	Approved TMDLs	
Section 2.3.1	Kentucky River Basin Unit	
Section 2.3.2	Salt/Licking River Basin Unit	
Section 2.3.3	Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit	
Section 2.3.4	Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit	
Section 2.3.5	Big Sandy/Little Sandy River Basin Unit	
Section 2.3.6	Ohio River Main Stem	
Section 2.3.7	Lakes and Reservoirs	61
Section 2.4	TMDLs Under Development	
Section 2.4.1	Kentucky River Basin Unit	
Section 2.4.2	Salt/Licking River Basin Unit	
Section 2.4.3	Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit	
Section 2.4.4	Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit	
Section 2.4.5	Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit	74
Section 2.4.6	Ohio River Main Stem	74
Section 2.4.7	Lakes and Reservoirs	74
Section 2.5	2004 303(d) Listings	
Section 2.5.1	Kentucky River Basin Unit	75
Section 2.5.1.1	1 st Priority Listings	75
Section 2.5.1.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
Section 2.5.1.3	Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs	
Section 2.5.2	Salt/Licking River Basin Unit	
Section 2.5.2.1	1 st Priority Listings	101
	Licking River Basin	101
	Ohio River Basin	
	Salt River Basin	
Section 2.5.2.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
	Licking River Basin	
	Ohio River Basin	
	Salt River Basin	
Section 2.5.2.3	Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs	
Section 2.5.3	Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin	
Section 2.5.3.1	1 st Priority Listings	
	Lower Cumberland River Basin	
	Mississippi River Basin	133

Table of Contents (cont)

	Ohio River Basin	
	Tennessee River Basin	
	Upper Cumberland River Basin	
Section 2.5.3.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
	Lower Cumberland River Basin	
	Mississippi River Basin	153
	Ohio River Basin	
	Tennessee River Basin	
	Upper Cumberland River Basin	
Section 2.5.3.3	Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs	
Section 2.5.4	Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit	
Section 2.5.4.1	1 st Priority Listings	
	Green River Basin	
	Ohio River Basin	
	Tradewater River Basin	
Section 2.5.4.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
	Green River Basin	
	Ohio River Basin	199
	Tradewater River Basin	199
Section 2.5.4.3	Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs	
Section 2.5.5	Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit	
Section 2.5.5.1	1 st Priority Listings	
	Big Sandy River Basin	
	Little Sandy River Basin	
	Tygarts Creek Basin	
Section 2.5.5.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
	Big Sandy River Basin	
	Little Sandy River Basin	
	Tygarts Creek Basin	
Section 2.5.5.3	Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs	
Section 2.5.6	Ohio River Main Stem	
Section 2.5.6.1	1 st Priority Listings	
Section 2.5.6.2	2 nd Priority Listings	
Section 2.5.7	Lakes and Reservoirs	
Section 2.5.7.1	1 st Priority	
Section 2.5.7.2	2 nd Priority	

List of Tables

Table 1-1	Biological Criteria for Assessment of Warm Water Aquatic	
	Habitat Use Support	4
Table 1-2	Criteria for Assessing Use Support in Lakes and Reservoirs	7
Table 1-3	Waters Proposed for Data Collection in Salt/Licking River	
	Basin Unit, 2004-05	10
Table 2-1	Alphabetic Listing of 2004 303(d)-Listed Streams for Kentucky	12
Table 2-2	Alphabetic Listing of 2004 303(d)-Listed Lakes for Kentucky	41

SECTION 1 - NARRATIVE

Section 1.1 PREFACE

The Kentucky Division of Water (DOW) is required to review, modify as necessary, and submit the Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303(d) list to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) as required by 40 CFR 130.7(b)(4).

This document presents the additions, deletions, and modifications to the 2002 Kentucky 303(d) list and recommendations for Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) development.

Section 1.2 BACKGROUND

CWA Section 303(d) requires states to identify waters that do not meet applicable water quality standards after the application of technology based controls. As defined in the CWA and federal regulations, water quality standards include the designated uses of a water body, the adopted water quality criteria and an antidegradation policy. As defined in Kentucky Regulations, water quality standards are beneficial uses to be made of a waterbody and the established water quality objectives.

The section 303(d) list must include a description of the pollutants causing the violation of the water quality standards (40 CFR 130.7(b)(iii)(4) and a priority ranking of the water quality limited segments, taking into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of the waters. A TMDL is the sum of the individual wasteload allocations for point sources, load allocations for nonpoint sources and natural background. Federal regulation defines a "water quality limited segment" as "any segment [of a water body] where it is known that water quality does not meet applicable water quality standards, and/or is not expected to meet applicable water quality standards, even after application of technology-based effluent limitations required by CWA section 301(b) or 306.

States are required to review the section 303(d) list in even-numbered years, make changes as necessary and submit the list to USEPA for approval.

Section 1.3 PUBLIC REVIEW AND PARTICIPATION

A draft copy of this report was submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and released for 45-day public comment on March 5, 2004. The press release indicated that the report could be viewed on the DOW web page or that a printed copy of the report could be obtained by contacting the DOW. Comments received by close of business April 19, 2004 will receive a formal response.

Section 1.4 INTRODUCTION

Kentucky adopted the Watershed Management Framework as a comprehensive means of monitoring to determine use support, assessments, TMDL development, and eventual remediation. The initial 5-year watershed cycle, begun in 1997, focused heavily on assessment monitoring. The objective was to increase the spatial extent of water quality assessment throughout the state. Monitoring in the watershed management units progressed as follows:

Kentucky River Unit	April 1998 to March 1999
Salt/Licking River Unit	April 1999 to March 2000
Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Unit	April 2000 to March 2001
Green/Tradewater River Unit	April 2001 to March 2002
Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts Unit	April 2002 to March 2003

Environmental monitoring for the first five-year watershed cycle was completed in 2002 with work in the Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts basin management unit. The first cycle of monitoring focused on obtaining, for the first time, a snapshot of conditions of Kentucky's waters, especially wadeable streams. Most local, state, and federal agencies in Kentucky with monitoring responsibilities cooperated in the watershed monitoring program. Some agencies simply provided their data and carried on monitoring as usual. For other agencies, sampling programs and sometimes even sampling methods were revised for best fit with the watershed monitoring plan.

The Kentucky Division of Water (DOW) has much of the responsibility for monitoring Kentucky's waters. Monitoring programs include:

- 1) biological, water quality, and bacteriological sampling at 70 long-term sites statewide;
- 2) water quality and bacteriological monitoring at rotating watershed locations;
- 3) a reference reach biological program to determine least-impaired conditions;
- 4) nutrient and trophic status determination of publicly owned reservoirs;
- 5) fish tissue sampling;
- 6) a random, statistically based biological survey of wadeable streams;
- 7) monitoring of nonpoint pollution sources and results of best management practices implementation; and
- 8) monitoring for total maximum daily load (TMDL) development.

In the first five-year watershed cycle, the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (KDFWR) district fisheries biologists sampled fish in nearly 500 fourth order streams on which little data previously existed. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) monitored most of their large reservoirs and many inlet and outlet stream locations. The U.S. Forest Service (USFS) conducted biological monitoring in several streams in the Daniel Boone National Forest. Local governments of Louisville and Lexington, Kentucky's two largest cities, provided water quality, bacteriological, and biological data from their metropolitan areas. The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) continued to collect biological data from streams in the Tennessee River basin. Other contributing agencies included Kentucky State Nature Preserves Commission (KSNPC), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), and several state universities. The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO) is responsible for all monitoring on the Ohio River mainstem and also has stations on the downstream reaches of several major tributaries.

Biological monitoring was done mostly in fourth order streams, and water quality monitoring was done primarily in fifth order and larger streams. Bacteriological monitoring was conducted at most water quality sampling locations and at numerous other sites by Section 319 grant contractors, Watershed Watch (WW) volunteers, and DOW as follow-up on streams with high bacteria levels indicated by WW data.

For the DOW, the primary utility of the data is for determination of designated use support as defined by the state's water quality standards regulations. Use support assessments were made for aquatic life, primary contact recreation (swimming), fish consumption, and domestic water supply. Often the stream segments were assessed for one use, especially aquatic life use or primary contact recreation use, but sometimes segments were assessed for two or more uses.

Assessments were performed on 348 sites in the Kentucky River basin unit, 297 in the Salt/Licking unit, 454 in the Cumberland/Tennessee/Mississippi unit, and 331 in the Green/ Tradewater unit. Although the data have been collected, assessments have not yet been completed for the Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts basin management unit. The numbers of assessments for the last unit is expected to be in the range of the first four. Results were presented in the 2000 and 2002 Kentucky Reports to Congress on Water Quality [305(b) reports].

As expected, the watershed monitoring detected numerous problems, but it also identified many waters of high quality. Most impaired waters are placed on 303(d) lists for TMDL development. Impaired waters from the first three basin management units were placed on Kentucky's 2002 303(d) list, which was approved by Region 4 EPA on April 15, 2003. There were 949 waterbody/pollutant combinations on Kentucky's 2002 303(d) list.

Results of the intensive watershed monitoring were reported in the 2000 305(b) report for the Kentucky River unit and in the 2002 305(b) report for the Salt/Licking and Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland units. The 2002 303(d) report contained these results and older (pre-watershed framework) assessments from the last two watershed units. The second five-year monitoring cycle began in 2003, returning to the Kentucky River unit, this time focusing on TMDL development (see Section 1.7.2) instead of assessment monitoring.

Section 1.5 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

Section 1.5.1 Streams and Rivers

Monitored data were derived from site-specific surveys and were generally no more than five years old. In some instances where conditions were believed to have remained mostly unchanged, monitored data collected prior to 1995 were still considered valid, and waters described by these data were categorized as monitored. There are few evaluated waters remaining in the assessment database. All efforts in the watershed initiative are to gather defensible, monitored data. However, there were some monitoring data more than five years old, strong anecdotal information, and extrapolation of discharge data that resulted in evaluated assessments.

Section 1.5.1.1 Aquatic Life Use Support

Water Quality Data. Chemical data collected by the DOW, MSD, and others were assessed according to EPA guidance (U.S. EPA 1997). Water quality data were compared to criteria contained in Kentucky Water Quality Standards Regulations (401 KAR 5:031). The segment fully supported aquatic life use when criteria for dissolved oxygen, un-ionized ammonia, temperature, and pH were not met in 10 percent or less of the samples collected. Partial support was indicated if any one criterion for these parameters was not met in 11-25 percent of the samples. A segment was not supporting if any one of these criteria was not met more than 25 percent of the time.

Data for mercury, cadmium, copper, iron, lead, and zinc were analyzed for violations of acute and chronic criteria listed in state water quality standards regulations. The segment fully supported WAH use if all criteria were met at stations with quarterly or less frequent sampling or if only one violation occurred at stations with monthly sampling. Partial support was indicated if any one criterion was not met more than once but in less than 10 percent of the samples. The segment was not supporting if criteria were exceeded in greater than 10 percent of the samples. The assessment criteria are closely linked to the way state and federal water quality criteria were developed. Aquatic life are considered to be protected if, on the average, the acute criteria are not exceeded more than once every three years.

Biological Data. Several community structure function metrics were analyzed for each assemblage (algae, macroinvertebrates, and fish). As outlined in Table 1-1 below, the metric scores were used to determine biotic integrity and aquatic life use support for each stream reach monitored. Expectations for metric values are dependent on stream size, ecoregion, and habitat quality. Bioassessments integrate data from the biological community, habitat, physical environment, water quality, and professional judgment of aquatic biologists.

Biological data sometimes were judged to be indeterminate. This occurred on several occasions in the Salt/Licking unit in 1999 when only one assemblage (usually fish, the assemblage probably most affected by the drought) was sampled during the extreme drought conditions of that year. On other occasions the data were considered inadequate or the results borderline, and it was felt that re-sampling would be more appropriate than making a use support decision with existing data. These streams will be sampled again in the next watershed cycle.

Table 1-1. Biological Criteria for Assessment of Warm Water Aquatic Habitat Use Support ^a				
Assemblage	Assemblage Fully Supporting		Not Supporting	
Algae	Diatom Bioassessment Index (DBI) Classification of excellent or good, biomass similar to reference/control or STORET mean.	increased biomass (if	of poor, biomass	
Macroinvertebrate	Macroinvertebrate Bioassessment Index (MBI) excellent or good, high EPT, sensitive species present.	1	MBI classification of poor, EPT low, TNI of tolerant taxa very high. Most functional groups missing from community.	
Fish	Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) excellent or good, presence of rare, endangered or species of special concern.	IBI fair.	IBI poor, very poor, or no fish.	

^a Acronyms used in this table are: EPT = Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera; RA = Relative Abundance; TNI = Total Number of Individuals

Federally Threatened and Endangered Species. Waters with federally threatened or endangered species in November 1975 have an existing "use" of Outstanding State Resource Water, and the loss or significant decline of one of these populations constitutes a use impairment.

Section 1.5.1.2 Primary Contact Recreation (Swimming) Use Support

Fecal coliform and pH data were used to indicate the degree of support for swimming use. The use was fully supported if the fecal coliform bacteria criterion of 400 colonies per milliliter was not met in less than 20 percent of the samples, partially supported if the criterion was not met in 20-33 percent of the samples, and not supported if the criterion was not met in more than 33 percent of the samples. Streams with pH less than 6.0 or greater than 9.0 units in more than 10 percent of the samples were considered to not support swimming use.

Section 1.5.1.3 Fish Consumption Use Support

Fish consumption is a category that, in conjunction with aquatic life use, assesses attainment of the fishable goal of the Clean Water Act. Assessment of the fishable goal was separated into these two

categories in 1992 because a fish consumption advisory does not preclude attainment of the aquatic life use and vice versa. Separating fish consumption and aquatic life use support gives a clearer picture of actual water quality conditions.

Kentucky revised its methodology for issuing fish consumption advisories in 1998 to a risk-based approach patterned after the Great Lakes Initiative. The risk-based approach generally is more conservative than the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) action levels that were used previously. For example, the FDA action level for mercury is 1.0 ppm but the risk-based number for issuing an advisory is as low as 0.12 ppm.

As a result of this change in methodology, a statewide advisory was issued in April 2000 for children under six and women of childbearing age to not consume more than one meal a week of any fish from Kentucky waters because of mercury. However, EPA (2001a) issued a draft mercury water quality criterion expressed as a methylmercury concentration in fish tissue of 0.3 ppm. Therefore, for purposes of 305(b) and 303(d) reporting, waters were not considered impaired unless fish exhibited mercury tissue concentrations of at least 0.3 ppm. In other words, the fish tissue concentration triggering the statewide advisory (0.12 ppm) was considered more stringent than water quality standards.

Other than the statewide advisory for mercury explained above, the following criteria were used to assess support for the fish consumption use:

- Fully supporting no fish advisories or bans in effect
- Partially supporting "restricted consumption" fish advisory or ban in effect for general population or a subpopulation that potentially could be at a greater cancer risk (e.g. pregnant women, children). Restricted consumption is defined as limits on the number of meals consumed per unit time for one or more fish species
- Not supporting "no consumption" fish advisory or ban in effect for general population or a subpopulation that potentially could be at greater risk, for one or more fish species, or a commercial fishing ban in effect

Section 1.5.1.4 Drinking Water Use Support

Drinking water use support was determined in several ways. First, compliance with maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) in finished water was determined by the annual average of quarterly samples. Drinking water use assessments in reservoirs were supplemented by surveys of drinking water operators on any taste and odor problems and use of biocides. The routine application of a biocide or use of carbon filtration were reasons for assessing a water as not fully supporting the domestic water supply use. Instream water quality data generally were not available to assess drinking water use.

- Section 1.5.2 Lakes and Reservoirs
- Section 1.5.2.1 Introduction

Since the initiation of the rotating basin approach in 1998, the state's significant publicly owned reservoirs are monitored over a five-year cycle instead of the previous seven- to eight-year cycle. During this two-year reporting period, 31 reservoirs in the Green and Tradewater river basins and 8 reservoirs in the Big Sandy, Little Sandy, and Tygarts river basins were monitored for trophic state and use support. Most of the natural lakes in the state are shallow floodplain lakes and are found in the Mississippi River Basin.

Designated uses in lakes consist of Warm Water Aquatic Habitat (WAH) (sometimes in conjunction with Cold Water Aquatic Habitat [CAH] in lakes with a two-story fishery) and Primary and Secondary Contact Recreation (PCR and SCR). Many of the reservoirs also have a Domestic Water Supply (DWS) use.

Section 1.5.2.2 Methods

Sampling was conducted seasonally three times during the growing season, typically in late April to early May, July, and late September to early October. Composite nutrient and chlorophyll *a* samples were collected from the photic zone (surface to one percent of light penetration), and dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, and specific conductivity measurements were obtained from profiles of the water column in the deepest part of the lake. Samples were taken in the area immediately upstream of the dam and at other locations on the main lake and major tributary embayments depending on the size and configuration of each reservoir. Trophic data also were provided by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers on reservoirs in the Green and Tradewater river basins for the period 2001 – 2002.

Section 1.5.2.3 Assessment of Trophic State and Use Support

Trophic status was assessed in lakes by using the Carlson Trophic State Index (TSI) for chlorophyll *a*. This method is convenient because it allows lakes to be ranked numerically according to increasing eutrophy, and it also provides for a distinction between oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic, and hypereutrophic lakes. The growing season (April – October) averaged TSI value was used to rank each lake. Areas of lakes that exhibited trophic gradients or embayment differences often were analyzed separately. Use support in lakes was determined by criteria listed in Table 1-2.

Section 1.5.3 Causes and Sources

Causes and sources are categorized by codes given in national guidance. Causes for primary contact recreation, fish consumption, and water supply usually were easily identified. However, most waters not supporting aquatic life use were identified by biological monitoring, and causes were determined by the observations and judgment of the field biologists. All causes may not be evident in the field, and there may be other causes contributing to use impairment that are not listed. Sources of all types of use impairments are even more difficult to determine and should be considered as "probable" sources at the 305(b) stage. Sources are more fully identified once the impaired waters are 303(d)-listed, TMDL sampling is conducted, and a more comprehensive look is taken at activities and land uses within the watershed.

Table 1-2. C	riteria for Assessing Use Suppor	t in Lakes and Reservoirs	
<u>Category</u> Not Supporting:	Warm Water <u>Aquatic Habitat</u> (At least two of the following criteria)	Secondary Contact Water Recreation	Domestic <u>Water Supply</u> (At least one of the following criteria)
	Fish kills caused by poor water quality	Widespread excess macrophyte/macro- scopic algal growth	Chronic taste and odor complaints caused by algae
	Severe hypolimnetic oxygen depletion	Chronic nuisance algal blooms	Chronic treatment problems caused by poor water quality
	Dissolved oxygen average less than 4 mg/l in the epilimnion		Exceeds drinking water MCL
Partially Supporting: (At least one of the following criteria)	Dissolved oxygen average less than 5 mg/l in the epilimnion	Localized or seasonally excessive macrophyte/macroscopic algal growth	Occasional taste and odor complaints caused by algae
	Severe hypolimnetic oxygen depletion	Occasional nuisance algal blooms	Occasional treatment problems caused by poor water quality
	Other specific cause (i.e. low pH)	High suspended sediment concentrations during the recreation season	
Fully Supporting:	None of the above	None of the above	None of the above

Section 1.5.4 Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs

Stream segments immediately downstream from dischargers in significant noncompliance with their permit limits may be shown as impaired in 305(b) reports. These determinations are based on best professional judgement, taking several factors such as discharge and receiving stream attributes into consideration. In these cases, no in-stream data exist to confirm that impairments exist. The assumed impairments are permit compliance issues and do not require development of TMDLs because adequate TMDLs (discharge permit limits) are already in place. Other instances of impaired waters not requiring TMDLs are when the causes are considered pollution, not pollutants, such as flow alternations below major reservoirs.

Section 1.6 LISTING METHODOLOGY

The approved 2002 303(d) lists were the starting point for the 2004 303(d) lists. Assessment information from recent watershed monitoring in the Tradewater/Green River Unit, which was contained in the 2003 305(b) electronic data submittal to EPA in April 2003, is included in this 2004 303(d) report. Assessments from the fifth and last watershed management unit, the Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts, were not yet available for this 303(d) report, although they will be included in the 2004 305(b) report. Some new information is also available from ORSANCO on the Ohio River main stem and is included in this report.

A monitoring meeting was held several months prior to the initiation of data collection in each watershed management unit. These meetings were designed to bring institutional groups together that were or could potentially be involved in data collection efforts within the watershed unit. It was also designed to make these groups aware of the DOW's regulatory obligations. In this manner, all of the parties were aware of data collection and monitoring efforts throughout that watershed unit. The information was subsequently compiled for 305(b) assessment and reporting and 303(d) reporting purposes.

The 305(b) Reports use all available information on stream and lake water quality that has reliable quality assurance and quality control. Citizen data were used as a screening tool to define stream segments that may have potential water quality problems. However, the DOW did not use citizen data independently for listing and assessment purposes. As resources allowed, additional monitoring was done by DOW on those stream segments that had priority with the WW groups and the highest potential for water quality problems. It is anticipated that volunteer data with the proper QA/QC will be used directly in use assessments in the near future.

Stream segments identified as being in nonsupport of one or more designated uses are classified as 1st Priority in this 303(d) Report. Stream segments identified as being in partial support of one or more designated uses (but not nonsupport of any use) are classified as 2nd Priority in this 303(d) Report. Waters with federally threatened or endangered species in November 1975 have an existing use of Outstanding Resource Water, and the loss or significant decline of one of these populations constitutes a use impairment. Stream segments in this category are listed as 1st Priority. Waters were further prioritized based on the use impairment and extent of public concern.

Section 1.7 TMDL DEVELOPMENT

Section 1.7.1 Progress in Delisting Waters and Developing TMDLs

Kentucky has approved delistings for 105 waterbody/pollutant combinations and delisting requests for another 57 combinations in this report. With those delistings, and combined with the number of approved TMDLs, Kentucky is slightly ahead of the TMDL development schedule that has been agreed to by Kentucky and EPA Region 4. According to that schedule, Kentucky is to develop 19 TMDLs in 2003 and 22 TMDLs in 2004, for a total of 41 TMDLs. Kentucky also has a significant number (57) of TMDLs currently under development.

Kentucky, like other states, is on a schedule to complete TMDLs for 303(d)-listed waters in the next 10 - 15 years. To assist in completing this significant workload, EPA has provided additional Section 106 and 319(h) funds that has allowed DOW to hire several new personnel and continue contracting of TMDL development.

Section 1.7.2 Priority Watersheds for TMDL Development

Monitoring in the Second Five-Year Watershed Cycle. Whereas the purpose of the monitoring in the first watershed cycle was to obtain baseline data statewide, monitoring in the second cycle is focusing on impaired watersheds. This work began in 2003 in the Kentucky River Basin. Impaired streams were selected by agreeing on certain priority watersheds identified by the Watershed Steering Committee.

The work will be carried out as described below:

- 1. Select watersheds with predominantly first priority biological impairments in the watershed management unit.
- 2. Identify preliminary sampling locations for rapid biological assessment using existing biological and habitat data with GIS coverages, particularly in subwatersheds suspected of contributing most significantly to impairment.
- 3. Review and discuss general sampling locations.
- 4. Review regulatory data and compliance information for KPDES, Surface Mining, Forestry, and other data (concurrently with Step 5).
- 5. Drive the watershed to evaluate site representativeness, access and safety.
- 6. Select and obtain GPS coordinates for final sampling locations.
- 7. Collect habitat and rapid biological assessment data (as early as mid-March May 2004).
- 8. Identify sites where chemical quality data are to be collected based on the reconnaissance and rapid biological assessment information.
- 9. Have an outside review of the chemical sampling design.
- 10. Collect chemical water quality and flow data at the selected locations for one year.
- 11. Develop TMDLs for impaired watersheds.

Kentucky River Basin Management Unit. Eight watersheds were chosen for monitoring in 2003 to support TMDL development. Numerous sites were sampled biologically and for water quality in the Benson Creek, Boone Creek, Hickman Creek, Lower Howard Creek, McConnell Run, Potter Fork, Swift Camp Creek, and Tate Creek watersheds (see pp. 65 to 71 in the "TMDLs Under Development-Kentucky River Basin Unit").

Salt/Licking River Basin Management Unit. Ten impaired waterbodies in the Salt/Licking river basins, comprising 44 waterbody/pollutant combinations, have been tentatively selected for TMDL monitoring in 2004 (Table 1-3). Most had one or more of the following pollutants listed as the cause of impairment: nutrients, organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen, siltation, pathogens, and flow and habitat alterations. Additional waters where data collection and water quality modeling are currently being done have also been targeted for TMDL development (see table below) and comprise five impaired waterbodies and 17 waterbody/pollutant combinations. Because most of the impaired watersheds were determined by means of biological monitoring (some in combination with bacteriological monitoring) in the downstream reaches of fourth order watersheds, it is necessary to conduct further biological and water quality studies in upstream sub-watersheds to determine sources (and possibly additional causes) of the impaired use. Biological work is done by EPA's Rapid Bioassessment Protocols.

The biological screening work will be conducted once in 2004 and will take place from approximately mid-March through May 2004 because many of the streams to be sampled will be small (first, second, and third order) and may be dry by mid-summer. Water quality sampling will follow the biological work and can begin as soon as appropriate water quality sampling locations have been identified. This monitoring will be conducted once per month for 11 months in 2004-2005.

Table 1-3. Waters Proposed for Da	Table 1-3. Waters Proposed for Data Collection in Salt/Licking River Basin Unit, 2004-05				
Stream Segment	River Miles	Pollutants of Concern			
		Nutrients, Siltation, OE/Low DO,			
Strodes Cr of Stoner Cr	2.7 to 19.3	Pathogens			
Hinkston Cr of S Fk Licking River	51.5 to 65.9	Nutrients, Siltation, OE/Low DO			
		Siltation, Habitat Alterations, Flow			
Elk Fork of Licking River	0.0 to 4.9	Alterations			
		Siltation, Turbidity, Habitat Alterations,			
Elk Fork of Licking River	4.9 to 10.5	Flow Alterations			
		Siltation, Turbidity, Habitat Alterations,			
Elk Fork of Licking River	12.6 to 14.7	Flow Alterations			
		Nutrients, OE/Low DO, Ammonia,			
Fern Cr/Northern Ditch of Pond Cr	0.0 to 7.5	Pathogens			
Fern Cr/Northern Ditch of Pond Cr	7.5 to 12.8	Nutrients, OE/Low DO, Pathogens			
(Blue) Spring Ditch of N. Ditch	0.0 to 2.7	Pathogens			
		Nutrients, Siltation, OE/Low DO, Habitat			
Woolper Cr of Ohio River		Alterations, Pathogens			
Allen Fork of Woolper Creek	2.0 to 4.6	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterations			
		Nutrients, Siltation, OE/Low DO,			
Sinking Cr of Ohio River	8.9 to 15.6	Pathogens			
Hardins Cr of Sinking Creek	0.0 to 5.0	Nutrients, Siltation, OE/Low DO			
Hardy Creek of Little KY River	0.0 to 1.4	Nutrients, OE/Low DO, Habitat Alterations			
		Organic Enrichment/Low DO (OE/low			
Beargrass Creek	0.0 to 1.5	DO), Pathogens [*]			
Middle Fork Beargrass Creek	0.0 to 2.3	OE/Low DO, Pathogens			
Middle Fork Beargrass Creek	2.3 to 15.2	Pathogens			
South Fork Beargrass Creek	0.0 to 14.6	OE/Low DO, Pathogens			
Muddy Fork of Beargrass Creek	0.0 to 6.9	Pathogens			
		Nutrients, Siltation, OE/low DO, Pathogens,			
Banklick Creek of Licking River	0-8.2	Habitat Alterations			
		Nutrients, OE/low DO, Pathogens, Habitat			
Banklick Creek of Licking River	8.2-19.0	Alterations			

DOW will conduct this monitoring except for the following: Banklick Creek watershed water quality monitoring will be performed by Northern Kentucky Sanitation District Number 1; Beargrass Creek watershed monitoring will be performed by Louisville MSD. DOW is discussing working cooperatively on the Fern Creek/Northern Ditch and Spring Ditch monitoring with MSD.

Section 1.8 SUMMARY

Kentucky is currently producing TMDLs in accordance with the schedule agreed to by EPA and Kentucky. However, Kentucky will need to significantly increase the capacity for developing TMDLs in the very near future by developing internal capability and mechanisms to fund contractors.

SECTION 2 – 303(d) Lists

A master list of 303(d) waters, giving only the stream name and current status and sorted alphabetically, is presented in Table 2-1. A similar table for lakes and reservoirs is given in Table 2-2. This is followed by subchapters, arranged by river basin management unit, with more detail of approved delistings, delisting requests, approved TMDLs, TMDLs under development and, finally, the 2004 list of waters. The latter includes those impaired waters with delisting requests and TMDLs already approved and under development. Waters with approved delistings are not contained in the 2004 list. Stream segments determined to be impaired but not requiring TMDLs follow each basin unit's listed waters.

Note: In the 303(d) listings, there may be multiple 303(d)-listed streams for any entry shown here. This occurs if the different stream segments are in the same county, have the same priority status, but have different pollutants of concern. The number in parentheses under 'Status' denotes the number of pollutants of concern. If no number is indicated, one pollutant is assumed.

River Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Adams Fork of Rough River	Ohio	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Allen Fork of Woolper Creek	Boone	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Allison Creek of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking
Allison Creek of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Under Development (2)	Salt/Licking
Angle Creek of Little Cypress Creek	Marshall	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Arnolds Creek of Ten Mile Creek	Grant	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Bacon Creek of Nolin River	Hart/Larue	1 st Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Bailey Creek of Clover Fork	Harlan	TMDL Approved	TN/MS/Cumberland
Balls Fork of Troublesome Creek	Knott	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Banklick Creek of Licking River	Kenton	1 st Priority (5)	Salt/Licking
Banta's Fork of Salt River of Six Mile Creek	Henry	2 nd Priority (2)	Kentucky
Barren River of Green River	Allan/Monroe	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Barren River of Green River	Warren	Delisted (1)	Green/Tradewater
Barren River of Green River	Warren	Delisting Requested (1)	Green/Tradewater
Bat East Creek of Pond Creek	Muhlenberg	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Baughman Fork of Boone Creek	Fayette	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Bayou Creek of Ohio River	Livingston	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Bayou Creek of Ohio River	McCracken	1 st Priority (3)-Delisting	TN/MS/Cumberland
		Requested (2)	
Bayou de Chien of Mississippi River	Graves/Hickman	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Bear Creek of Green River	Edmonson	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Bear Creek of Green River	Grayson	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater

River Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Bear Creek of South Fork Cumberland River	McCreary	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Bear Creek of Tennessee River (Kentucky Lake)	Marshall	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Bear Run of Clover Creek	Breckinridge	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Beargrass Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Beaver Creek of Levisa Fork	Floyd	1 st Priority (2)	Tygarts/Sandy
Beaver Creek of Licking River	Menifee	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Becks Creek of Jellico Creek	Whitley	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Bee Creek of Clarks River	Calloway	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Beech Creek of Pond Creek	Muhlenburg	TMDL Under Development (1)	Green/Tradewater
Beech Fork of Rolling Fork	Nelson/Washington	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Beechy Creek of Blood River	Calloway	Delisted	TN/MS/Cumberland
Bennetts Fork of Yellow Creek Bypass	Bell	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Benson Creek of Kentucky River	Franklin	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Big Bone Creek of Ohio River	Boone	2 nd Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Big Caney Creek of Quicksand Creek	Breathitt	2 nd Priority (4)	Kentucky
Big Creek of Russell Creek	Adair	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Big Indian Creek of Cumberland River	Knox	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Big Lily Creek of Cumberland River (Lake Cumberland)	Russell	Delisted	TN/MS/Cumberland
Big Pitman Creek of Green River	Taylor	2 nd Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Big Pitman Creek of Green River	Green	2 nd Priority (1)	Green/Tradewater
Big Reedy Creek of Green River	Butler/Edmonson	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Big Renox Creek of Cumberland River	Cumberland	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Big Sandy River of Ohio River	Lawrence	2 nd Priority (2)	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Big South Fork of Rolling Fork	Marion	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Big Twin Creek of Kentucky River	Owen	2 nd Priority (2)	Kentucky
Big Willard Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Perry	1 st Priority (4)	Kentucky

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Billy Creek of Valley Creek	Hardin	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Blackford Creek of Ohio River	Daviess/Hancock	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Blacks Creek of Hinkston Creek	Bourbon	2^{nd} Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Blizzard Pond of West Fork Clarks River	McCracken	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
(Blue) Spring Ditch of Northern Ditch	Jefferson	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Boone Creek of Hinkston Creek	Bourbon	2^{nd} Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Boone Creek of Kentucky River	Fayette/Clark	TMDL Under Development (3)	Kentucky
Briary Creek of Buck Creek	Pulaski	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Brier Creek of Pond River	Muhlenburg	TMDL Approved (1)	Green/Tradewater
Brooks Run of Floyds Fork	Bullitt	TMDL Under Development (3) 1 st Priority (page 118)	Salt/Licking
Brush Creek of Cumberland River	Vnov	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Brush Creek of Green River	Knox	2^{nd} Priority	Green/Tradewater
Brush Creek of Obion Creek	Casey Graves	2^{nd} Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Brush Creek of Obion Creek		2^{nd} Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Brush Creek of Red River	Hickman Powell	2^{priority} (4) 2^{nd} Priority	
Brush Creek of Red River	Powell	2 Priority	Kentucky
Brush Creek of Roundstone Creek	Rockcastle	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Brush Creek of Twelve Mile Creek	Campbell	1 st Priority (Remediation	Salt/Licking
	_	Underway)	-
Brush Fork of Long Falls Creek	McLean/Daviess	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Buck Creek of Buck Fork of Pond River	Christian	2^{nd} Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Buck Creek of Clear Fork	Whitley	Delisted (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Buck Creek of Cumberland River	Pulaski	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Buck Creek of Green River	McLean	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Buck Fork of Pond River	Christian/Todd	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater

River Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Buck Run of Eagle Creek	Owen	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Buckhorn Creek of Rolling Fork	Marion	Delisted	Salt/Licking
Buckhorn Creek of Troublesome Creek	Breathitt	1 st Priority (5)	Kentucky
Bucks Branch of Jellico Creek	Whitley/McCreary	Delisting Requested	TN/MS/Cumberland
Buffalo Creek of Tradewater River	Hopkins	2 nd Priority (5)	Green/Tradewater
Bull Creek of Collins Fork	Knox	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Bull Creek of Slover Creek	Webster	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Bullitt Lick Creek of Salt River	Bullitt	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Burnett Fork of North Fork Panther Creek	Daviess	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Burning Fork of Licking River	Magoffin	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Butchers Branch of Blackford Creek	Hancock	TMDL Under Development	Green/Tradewater
Butler Fork of Russell Creek	Adair	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Cabin Creek of Ohio River	Mason/Lewis	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Caldwell Creek of Terrapin Creek	Graves	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Calhoun Creek of Green River	Casey	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Camp Creek of West Fork Clarks River	McCracken	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cane Branch of Middle Fork (Beaver Creek)	McCreary	TMDL Under Development	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cane Creek of Bayou de Chien	Hickman	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cane Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt	TMDL Approved	Kentucky
Cane Creek of Red River	Powell	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Cane Creek of Shawnee Creek	Ballard	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cane Run of Caney Creek	Hopkins	TMDL Approved	Green/Tradewater
Cane Run of North Elkhorn Creek	Scott/Fayette	TMDL Under Development (1)	Kentucky
Cane Run of North Elkhorn Creek	Scott	1 st Priority (2)	Kentucky
Cane Run of South Fork Panther Creek	Daviess	2 nd Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Caney Creek of Donaldson Creek	Caldwell	1 st Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Caney Creek of Licking River	Morgan	2 nd Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Caney Creek of Pond Creek	Muhlenburg	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Caney Creek of Tradewater River	Hopkins	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Caney Fork of Craborchard Creek	Webster	2 nd Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Carr Fork of North Kentucky River	Perry	TMDL Approved	Kentucky
Carr Fork of North Kentucky River	Perry	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Cartwright Creek of Beech Fork	Washington	2 nd Priority (6)	Salt/Licking
Casey Creek of Green River	Adair	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Casey Creek of Highland Creek	Union	1 st Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Casey Creek of Little River	Trigg	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cash Creek of Green River	Henderson	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Cassidy Creek of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Approved	Salt/Licking
Castleberry Creek of Tradewater River	Christian	2 nd Priority (5)	Green/Tradewater
Catron Creek of Martins Fork	Harlan	TMDL Approved	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cedar Creek of Floyds Fork	Jefferson/Bullitt	Delisted	Salt/Licking
Cedar Creek of Kentucky River	Owen	2 nd Priority (3)	Kentucky
Central Creek of Truman Creek	Carlisle	Delisted (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Central Creek of Truman Creek	Carlisle	1 st Priority (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Champion Creek of Island Creek	McCracken	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Chaplain River of Beech Fork	Mercer	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Chenoweth Run of Floyds Fork	Jefferson	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking

River Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Chenoweth Run of Floyds Fork	Jefferson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Chestnut Creek of Clarks River	Marshall	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Christy Creek of Triplett Creek	Rowan	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Clanton Creek of Humphrey Creek	Ballard	1 st Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Clarks River of Tennessee River	McCracken	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Clarks River of Tennessee River	Calloway	Delisted (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Clarks River of Tennessee River	Calloway	1 st Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Clarks River of Tennessee River	Calloway	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Clarks Run of Dix River	Boyle	1 st Priority (2)	Kentucky
Clarks Run of Dix River	Boyle	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Claylick Creek of Cumberland River	Crittenden/Livingston	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Claylick Creek of Green River	Warren	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Claylick Creek of South Fork Little Barren River	Metcalfe	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Clayton Creek of Clarks River	Calloway	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Clayton Creek of Clarks River	Calloway	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Clear Creek of Bullskin Creek	Shelby	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Clear Creek of Rolling Fork	Hardin	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Clear Creek of Tradewater River	Hopkins	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Clover Creek of Ohio River	Breckinridge	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Clover Fork of Cumberland River	Harlan	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Clover Fork of Cumberland River	Harlan	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cloverlick Creek of Poor Fork	Harlan	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cloverlick Creek of Poor Fork	Harlan	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Collins Fork of Goose Creek	Clay	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Cooley Creek of Mayfield Creek	Graves	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland

River Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Cooper Run of Stoner Creek	Bourbon	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Cope Fork of Frozen Creek	Breathitt	2 nd Priority (3)	Kentucky
Copper Creek of Dix River	Lincoln/Rockcastle	Delisted (partial-1)	Kentucky
Copper Creek of Dix River	Lincoln/Rockcastle	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Copper Creek of Richland Creek	Hopkins	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Copperas Creek of Cany Creek	Hopkins	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Copperas Fork of Cooper Creek	McCreary	TMDL Under Development	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cox Creek of Salt River	Nelson/Bullitt	2 nd Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Cox's Run of Nolin River	Hardin/Larue	2^{nd} Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Craborchard Creek of Drakes Creek	Hopkins	TMDL Approved	Green/Tradewater
Craborchard Creek of Drakes Creek	Hopkins	1 st Priority (3) TMDL Approved(1)	Green/Tradewater
Craborchard Creek of Tradewater River	Webster	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Craintown Branch of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt Licking
Craintown Branch of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Under Development (2)	Salt/Licking
Cranks Creek of Martins Fork	Harlan	TMDL Not Required	TN/MS/Cumberland
Crocus Creek of Cumberland River	Cumberland/Adair	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Crooked Creek of Licking River	Nicholas	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Crooked Creek of Ohio River	Crittenden	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Crooked Creek of Ohio River	Crittenden	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Crooked Creek of Panther Creek	Daviess	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Crooked Creek of Rolling Fork	Bullitt	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Crooked Creek of Roundstone Creek	Rockcastle	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cumberland River of Ohio River	Bell	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cumberland River of Ohio River	Bell	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
		-	

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Cumberland River of Ohio River	Harlan	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cumberland River of Ohio River	Harlan	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Curry's Fork of Floyds Fork	Oldham	1 st Priority (5)	Salt/Licking
Cypress Creek of Pond River	Muhlenburg	TMDL Under Development	Green/Tradewater
Cypress Creek of Pond River	Muhlenburg	2 nd Priority-TMDL Under Development	Green/Tradewater
Cypress Creek of Tennessee River	Marshall	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cypress Creek of Tradewater River	Union	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Damon Creek of West Fork Clarks River	Calloway	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Daniels Creek of Rock Lick Creek	Breckinridge	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Deer Creek of Green River	Webster	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Deer Creek of Ohio River	Livingston/Crittenden	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Deserter Creek of South Fork Panther Creek	Daviess	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Dix River of Kentucky River	Garrard	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Doe Run of Ohio River	Meade	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Donaldson Creek of Cumberland River	Trigg	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Dorsey Run of Sinks of Nolin River	Hardin/Larue	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Doty Creek of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking
Doty Creek of Fleming Creek	Fleming	1 st Priority-TMDL Under	Salt/Licking
		Development	
Drakes Creek of Barren River	Warren	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Drakes Creek of Pond River	Hopkins	Delisted (1)	Green/Tradewater
Drakes Creek of Pond River	Hopkins	Delisted (1)	Green/Tradewater
Drakes Creek of Pond River	Hopkins	TMDL Under Development	Green/Tradewater
Dry Creek of Casey Creek	Adair/Casey	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Dry Creek of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)	Trigg	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Dry Creek of Eddy Creek	Caldwell	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Dry Creek of Ohio River	Gallatin	2 nd Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Dry Creek of Ohio River	Boone/Kenton	2 nd Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Dry Creek of Triplett Creek	Rowan	2 nd Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Dry Fork Creek of Noah's Spring Branch	Christian	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Dry Run of North Elkhorn Creek	Scott	2^{nd} Priority (2)	Kentucky
Eagle Creek of the Kentucky River	Carroll/Owen/Gallatin	Delisted (2)	Kentucky
Eagle Creek of the Kentucky River	Grant	Delisted (2)	Kentucky
Eagle Creek of the Kentucky River	Grant/Owen/Gallatin	TMDL Under Development (1), Delisted (1)	Kentucky
Eagle Creek of the Kentucky River	Grant/Owen	2 nd Priority (2)	Kentucky
East Branch of West Fork of Pond River	Christian	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
East Fork Little Sandy River	Boyd	TMDL Approved	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
East Fork of Beech Fork	Washington	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
East Fork of Deer Creek	Webster	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
East Fork of Lynn Camp Creek	Knox/Whitley	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
East Fork Otter Creek of Kentucky River	Madison	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
East Hickman Creek of Hickman Creek	Fayette	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Eddy Creek of Cumberland River (Lake Barkely)	Lyon	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Eddy Creek of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)	Caldwell	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Elijahs Creek of Ohio River	Boone	TMDL Approved	Salt/Licking
Elk Creek of Eagle Creek	Owen	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Elk Creek of Pond River	Hopkins	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Elk Fork of Licking River	Morgan	1 st Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Elk Fork of Licking River	Morgan	2^{nd} Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Elk Fork of Red River	Todd	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Elk Pond Creek of Pond River	Muhlenberg	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
	÷	▼ 1 1	

<u>River Name</u>	County	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Elk Spring Creek of Beaver Creek	Wayne	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Elkhorn Creek of Kentucky River	Franklin	1 st Priority (2), TMDL Under	Kentucky
		Development (1)	
Ewing Creek of Cumberland River	Harlan	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Ferguson Creek of Cumberland River	Livingston	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Ferguson Creek of Cumberland River	Livingston	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Fern Creek/Northern Ditch of Pond Creek	Jefferson	1 st Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Ferris Fork Creek of Marrowbone Creek	Cumberland	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Flat Creek of Kentucky River	Franklin	2 nd Priority (2)	Kentucky
Flat Creek of Licking River	Bath	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Flat Creek of Pond River	Hopkins	TMDL Under Development (1)	Green/Tradewater
Flat Run of Stoner Creek	Bourbon	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Fleming Creek of Licking River	Fleming/Nicholas	TMDL Approved(1)	Salt/Licking
Fleming Creek of Licking River	Fleming/Nicholas	TMDL Under Development (2)	Salt/Licking
Floyds Fork of Salt River	Jefferson/Bullitt	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking
Floyds Fork of Salt River	Jefferson	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Ford Ditch of Rhodes Creek	Daviess	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Fourmile Creek of Ohio River	Campbell	Delisted (2)	Salt/Licking
Fourmile Creek of Ohio River	Campbell	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Fox Creek of Licking River	Fleming	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Fox Creek of Licking River	Fleming	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Gilbert Creek of Mayfield Creek	Graves	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Gillies Ditch of Rhodes Creek	Daviess	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Gilmore Creek of Craborchard Creek	Lincoln/Pulaski	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Glens Fork of Russell Creek	Adair	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Goodin Creek of Cumberland River	Knox	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland

River Name	County	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Goose Creek of Benson Creek	Shelby	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Goose Creek of Locust Creek	Bracken	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Goose Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Goose Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson	2 nd Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Goose Creek of South Fork Kentucky River	Clay	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Goose Creek of Wilson Creek	Graves	2 nd Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Goose Pond Ditch/Wardens Slough	Union	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Grapevine Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Perry	1 st Priority (4)	Kentucky
Grassy Creek of Rough River	Ohio	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Grassy Lick Creek of Hinkston Creek	Montgomery	2 nd Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Grassy Run of Eagle Creek	Grant	2 nd Priority-Delisting Requested	Kentucky
Greasy Creek of Cumberland River	Bell	TMDL Approved	TN/MS/Cumberland
Green River of Ohio River	Hart/Edmonson/Green	1 st Priority –Delisting Requested	Green/Tradewater
Green River of Ohio River	McLean/Butler/Ohio	2 nd Priority-Delisting Requested	Green/Tradewater
Green River of Ohio River	Hart	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Griers Creek of Kentucky River	Woodford	2 nd Priority (3)	Kentucky
Groves Creek of Green River	Webster/Henderson	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Guess Creek of Tennessee River	Livingston	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Guist Creek of Brashears Creek	Shelby	2 nd Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Gunpowder Creek of Ohio River	Boone	TMDL Approved	Salt/Licking
Gunpowder Creek of Ohio River	Boone	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Gunpowder Creek of Ohio River	Boone	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Hammon's Fork of Collins Fork	Knox	2 nd Priority (3)	Kentucky
Hanging Fork of Dix River	Lincoln	1 st Priority	Kentucky

River Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Hardins Creek of Sinking Creek	Breckinridge	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Hardwick Creek of Red River	Powell	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Hardy Creek of Little Kentucky River	Trimble	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Harrods Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson/Oldham	Delisted	Salt/Licking
Harrods Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson/Oldham	TMDL Approved	Salt/Licking
Hatchell Branch of Eagle Creek	McCreary	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Hatton Creek of Red River	Powell	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Havana Creek of Deer Creek	Webster	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Hawes Fork of Quicksand Creek	Breathitt	1 st Priority (4)	Kentucky
Hazel Creek of Wetland Ponds (Axe Lake)	Ballard	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Hell Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Lee	2 nd Priority (2)	Kentucky
Hickman Creek of Kentucky River	Jessamine	TMDL Under Development	Kentucky
Hickory Creek of Cumberland	Livingston	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Highland Creek of Ohio River	Union	1 st Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Hinkston Creek of South Fork Licking River	Bourbon	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Hinkston Creek of South Fork Licking River	Bourbon	2 nd Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Hinkston Creek of South Fork Licking River	Montgomery	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Hinkston Creek of South Fork Licking River	Montgomery	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Hite Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Hood Creek of Kentucky River	Boyd	1 st Priority (2)	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Holly Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Wolfe	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Horse Creek of Goose Creek	Clay	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Houston Creek of Stoner Creek	Bourbon	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Houston Creek of Stoner Creek	Bourbon	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Humphrey Creek of Ohio River	Ballard	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Hunting Creek of Quicksand Creek	Breathitt	1 st Priority (3)	Kentucky

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Hurricane Creek of Obion Creek	Carlisle	2 nd Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Hurricane Creek of Tradewater River	Hopkins	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Indian Creek of Buck Creek	Pulaski	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Indian Creek of Green River	Butler	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Island Creek of Tennessee River	McCracken	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Island Creek of Tennessee River	McCracken	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Issacs Creek of Pond River	Millport	1 st Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Jarrels Creek of Pond River	Muhlenberg	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Jarrett Fork of Caney Creek	Grayson	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Jenny Hollow Branch of Horse Branch	Ohio	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Jenneys Branch of Laurel Creek	McCreary	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Jeptha Creek of Guist Creek	Shelby	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Joes Branch of North Fork Panther Creek	Daviess	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Joes Run of North Fork Panther Creek	Daviess	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Johnson Creek of Licking River	Magoffin	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Johnson Creek of Licking River	Robertson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Jonathan Creek of Tennessee River (Kentucky Lake)	Calloway/Marshall	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Jones Creek of North Rolling Fork	Marion	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Judy Creek of Red River	Powell	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Kenady Creek of Muddy Fork	Trigg	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Kentucky River of Ohio River	Madison/Fayette/ Jessamine/Clark	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Kentucky River of Ohio River	Carroll/Henry/Owen	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Kentucky River of Ohio River	Madison/Fayette/ Jessamine/Clark	2 nd Priority-Delisting Requested	Kentucky
Kentucky River of Ohio River	Madison/Estill/Clark	2 nd Priority-Delisting Requested	Kentucky

River Name	County	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Kentucky River of Ohio River	Franklin/Jessamine/ Woodford/Mercer/ Anderson	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Knob Creek of Blackamore Creek	Graves	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Knoblick Creek of Deer Creek	Webster	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Knox Creek of Tug Fork	Pike	2 nd Priority (2)	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Lacey Creek of Red River	Wolfe	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Lambs Creek of Clear Creek	Hopkins	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Laurel Creek of Goose Creek	Clay	Delisted (4)	Kentucky
Laurel Creek of Goose Creek	Clay	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Laurel Fork of Clear Fork	Whitley	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Laurel River of Cumberland River	Laurel	TMDL Not Required	TN/MS/Cumberland
Laurel River of Cumberland River	Laurel	TMDL Not Required	TN/MS/Cumberland
Laurel River of Cumberland River	Laurel	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Left Fork Island Creek of Island Creek	Owsley	TMDL Not Required	Kentucky River
Left Fork Millstone Creek of Millstone Creek	Letcher	1 st Priority (2)	Kentucky River
Left Fork Straight Creek of Straight Creek	Bell	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Left Fork Straight Creek of Straight Creek	Bell	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Left Fork White Oak Creek of Licking River	Morgan/Magoffin	2 nd Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Levisa Fork of Big Sandy River	Lawrence	1 st Priority (2)	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Levisa Fork of Big Sandy River	Johnson/Floyd	1 st Priority	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Levisa Fork of Big Sandy River	Pike	1 st Priority (2)	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Lewis Creek of Green River	Ohio	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Lick Creek of Clear Creek	Hopkins	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Lick Creek of Eagle Creek	Carroll	2 nd Priority-Delisting Requested (2)	Kentucky

River Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Lick Creek of Green River	Henderson	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Lick Run Creek of Ohio River	Breckinridge	2 nd Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Licking River of Ohio River	Morgan	Delisted	Salt/Licking
Licking River of Ohio River	Campbell/Kenton	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Licking River of Ohio River	Magoffin	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Licking River of Ohio River	Magoffin	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Lindy Creek of Lynn Camp Creek	Hart	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Line Fork of Defeated Creek	Letcher	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Little Barren River of Green River	Green/Hart	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Little Bayou Creek of Bayou Creek	McCracken	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Bayou Creek of Bayou Creek	McCracken	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Bayou de Chien of Bayou de Chien	Fulton	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Bayou de Chien of Bayou de Chien	Hickman/Fulton	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Beaverdam Creek of Green River	Warren	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Little Clear Creek of Clear Creek	Bell	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Creek of Obion Creek	Carlisle	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Cypress Creek of Cypress Creek	Marshall	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Cypress Creek of Obion Creek	Graves	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Cypress Creek of Pond River	Muhlenberg	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Little Goose Creek of Goose Creek	Jefferson	Delisted (1)	Salt/Licking
Little Goose Creek of Goose Creek	Jefferson	1 st Priority (1)	Salt/Licking
Little Kentucky River of Ohio River	Henry	2 nd Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Little Laurel River of Laurel River	Laurel	1 st Priority (5)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Mud Creek of Bayou de Chien	Fulton	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Muddy Creek of Green River	Butler	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater

River Name	<u>County</u>	Status	Watershed Unit
Little Muddy Creek of Green River	Butler	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Little Pitman Creek of Pitman Creek	Taylor/Green	Delisted (1)	Green/Tradewater
Little Pitman Creek of Pitman Creek	Taylor/Green	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Little Poplar Creek of Cumberland River	Knox	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little River of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)	Trigg	Delisted (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little River of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)	Trigg	1 st Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little River of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)	Trigg	2 nd Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little River of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)	Christian	1 st Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little River of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)	Trigg/Christian	2^{nd} Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Sandy River of Ohio River	Greenup/Carter	2 nd Priority	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Little South Fork of South Fork Cumberland River	Wayne/McCreary	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Little Stoner Creek of Stoner Creek	Clark	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Livingston Creek of Cumberland River	Crittenden/Lyon	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Livingston Creek of Cumberland River	Crittenden/Lyon	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Locust Creek of Licking River	Fleming	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Locust Creek of Ohio River	Bracken	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Logan Run of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking
Logan Run of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Under Development (1)	Salt/Licking
Long Falls Creek of Green River	McLean	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Long Fork of Buckhorn Creek	Breathitt	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Long Lick Creek of Rough River	Breckinridge	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Long Lick Creek of Salt River	Bullitt	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Long Pond Branch of Muddy Fork Little River	Trigg	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Long Run of Floyds Fork	Jefferson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Looney Creek of Poor Fork	Harlan	TMDL Approved	TN/MS/Cumberland
Lost Creek of Troublesome Creek	Breathitt	1 st Priority (4)	Kentucky
Lotts Creek of North Kentucky River	Perry	1 st Priority (4)	Kentucky

<u>River Name</u> Lower Branch of North Fork Licking River	<u>County</u> Christian	<u>Status</u> 2 nd Priority	Watershed Unit TN/MS/Cumberland
Lower Buffalo Creek of South Fork Kentucky River	Owsley	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Lower Howard Creek of Kentucky	Clark	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Lulbegrud Creek of Red River	Clark/Powell	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Lynn Camp Creek of Laurel River	Laurel/Knox/Whitley	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Lynn Camp Creek of Laurel River	Knox/Whitley	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Lynn Fork of Craborchard Creek	Webster	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Lytles Fork of Eagle Creek	Scott County	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Marrowbone Creek of Cumberland Creek	Cumberland	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Marsh Creek of Cumberland River	McCreary	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Martins Fork of Clover Fork	Harlan	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Martins Fork of Clover Fork	Harlan	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Martins Fork of Clover Fork	Harlan	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Massac Creek of Ohio River	McCracken	Delisted (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Massac Creek of Ohio River	McCracken	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mayfield Creek of Mississippi River	Carlisle	1 st Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mayfield Creek of Mississippi River	Graves	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mayfield Creek of Mississippi River	Calloway	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mayfield Creek of Mississippi River	Carlisle/Ballard	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mayfield Creek of Mississippi River	Carlisle	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mayfield Creek of Mississippi River	McCracken	2^{nd} Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mayfield Creek of Mississippi River	Graves	2^{nd} Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
McConnell Run of North Elkhorn Creek	Scott	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
McGrady Creek of Caney Creek	Ohio	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Meadow Creek of Cumberland River	Whitley/Knox	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Meadow Creek of South Fork Kentucky River	Owsley	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Middle Fork Beargrass Creek of Beargrass Creek	Jefferson	Delisted (1)	Salt/Licking
			e

<u>River Name</u>	County	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Middle Fork Beargrass Creek of Beargrass Creek	Jefferson	1 st Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Middle Fork Clarks River of Clarks River	Calloway	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Middle Fork Clarks River of Clarks River	Calloway	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Middle Fork Creek of Clarks River	Marshall	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Middle Fork Kentucky River	Leslie	Delisted (3)	Kentucky
Middle Fork Licking River of Licking River	Magoffin	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Middle Fork of Richland Creek	Knox	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mill Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson	1 st Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Mill Creek of Salt River	Hardin	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Mill Creek of Smith Creek	Ohio	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Mill Creek Branch of Mill Creek	Hardin	TMDL Not Required	Salt/Licking
Mill Creek Cutoff of Ohio River	Jefferson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Mitchell Creek of Sinking Creek	Laurel	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Moseby Branch of Eagle Creek	Owen	TMDL Not Required	Kentucky
Mud Creek of Bayou de Chien	Fulton	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mud Creek of Clear Fork	Whitley	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Mud River of Green River	Muhlenberg/Butler	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Mud River of Green River	Muhlenberg/Butler/ Logan	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Mud River of Green River	Logan	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Muddy Creek of Caney Creek	Ohio	2^{nd} Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Muddy Creek of Green River	Butler	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Muddy Creek of Green River	Butler	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Muddy Creek of Kentucky River	Madison	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Muddy Creek of Rough River	Ohio	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Muddy Creek of Rough River	Ohio	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Muddy Fork Little River of Little River	Trigg	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland

River Name

Muddy Fork of Beargrass Creek Mussin Branch of Moore Creek Narge Creek of Pond River Newcombe Creek of Little Sandy River Nolin River of Green River

North Benson Creek of Benson Creek North Branch of South Fork Panther Creek North Elkhorn Creek of Elkhorn Creek North Fork Barnett Creek of Barnett Creek North Fork Kentucky River and Tributaries

North Fork Kentucky River of Kentucky River North Fork Licking River of Licking River North Fork Little River of Little River

North Fork Little River of Little River North Fork North Benson Creek North Fork Panther Creek of Panther Creek North Fork Panther Creek of Panther Creek Obion Creek of Mississippi River

Obion Creek of Mississippi River Obion Creek of Mississippi River Obion Creek of Mississippi River Ohio River Ohio River Ohio River

<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Jefferson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Marion	TMDL Under Development (1)	Salt/Licking
Hopkins	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Elliot	TMDL Approved (1)	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Hart/Hardin/Grayson	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Franklin	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Hancock/Ohio	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Fayette	1 st Priority (2)	Kentucky
Ohio	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Breathitt/Lee/Letcher/	TMDL Approved (1)	Kentucky
Perry/Wolfe		
Letcher	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Bracken/Mason	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Christian	1 st Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Christian	2 nd Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Franklin	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Daviess	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Daviess	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Hickman/Graves	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Graves	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Fulton	1 st Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Hickman	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Kentucky/Ohio/Indiana	Delisted (1)	Not applicable
Kentucky/Ohio/Indiana	1 st Priority; multiple segments	Not applicable
Kentucky/Ohio/Indiana	2 nd Priority; multiple segments	Not applicable

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Old Panther Creek of Panther Creek	Daviess	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Opossum Creek of Obion Creek	Graves	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Otter Creek of Kentucky River	Madison	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Otter Creek of Ohio River	Meade	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Otter Creek of Pond River	Hopkins	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Paint Lick Creek of Kentucky River	Garrard/Madison	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Panther Creek of Green River	Daviess	1 st Priority (5)	Green/Tradewater
Pennsylvania Run of Floyds Fork	Jefferson/Bullitt	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Pettys Fork of Russell Creek	Adair	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Phillips Creek of Licking River	Campbell	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Pigeon Creek of Muddy Creek	Ohio	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Pigeon Roost Creek of Tradewater River	Crittenden	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Pitman Creek of Cumberland River	Pulaski	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Pleasant Grove Creek of Red River	Logan	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Pleasant Run of Drakes Creek	Hopkins	TMDL Approved	Green/Tradewater
Plum Creek of Pond Creek	Muhlenburg	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Plum Creek of Red River	Powell	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Polls Creek of Cutshin Creek	Leslie	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Pond Creek of Clear Creek	Hopkins	2 nd Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Pond Creek of Green River	Muhlenburg	1 st Priority (3), TMDL Under Development (1)	Green/Tradewater
Pond Creek of Green River	Muhlenburg	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Pond Creek of Green River	Muhlenburg	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Pond Creek of Ohio River	Oldham	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Pond Creek of Salt River	Jefferson	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
			··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

<u>River Name</u>	County	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Pond Drain of Cypress Creek	McLean	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Pond River of Green River	McLean/Muhlenburg/ Hopkins/Christian	2 nd Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Poor Fork of Cumberland River	Harlan	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Poor Fork of Cumberland River	Harlan	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Pope Lick Creek of Floyds Fork	Jefferson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Poplar Creek of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking
Poplar Grove Branch of Big Brush Creek	Taylor/Green	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Potter Fork of Boone Fork	Letcher	TMDL Under Development (1)	Kentucky
Prickly Ash of Slate Creek	Bath	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Puckett Creek of Cumberland River	Harlan/Bell	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Puncheon Camp Creek of Licking River	Magoffin	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Puncheon Camp Creek of Middle Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Quicksand Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt	1 st Priority (5)	Kentucky
Quicksand Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Raccoon Creek of South Fork Rockcastle River	Laurel	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Rattlesnake Creek of Eagle Creek	Grant	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Red Bird River of South Fork Kentucky River	Clay	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Red River of Cumberland River	Logan	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Red River of Cumberland River	Simpson	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Red River of Kentucky River	Clark/Estill/Powell	Delisted (1)	Kentucky
Red River of Kentucky River	Menifee/Wolfe	Delisted (2)	Kentucky
Reeves Branch of Sugar Creek	Marshall	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Render Creek of Lewis Creek	Ohio	1 st Priority (3), TMDL Under Development (1)	Green/Tradewater
Renfro Creek of Roundstone Creek	Rockcastle	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Rhodes Creek of Green River	Daviess	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
		~	

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	Status	Watershed Unit
Rhodes Creek of Panther Creek	Daviess	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Richland Creek of Clear Creek	Hopkins	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Richland Creek of Cumberland River	Knox	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Richland Creek of Cumberland River	Knox	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Richland Creek of Cumberland River	Livingston	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
	Litingston	1 11101109	
Richland Creek of Eagle Creek	Owen	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Richland Slough of Green River	Henderson/Daviess	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Road Run of Cartwright Creek	Washington	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Roaring Paunch Creek of South Fork Cumberland River	McCreary	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Rock Creek of South Fork Cumberland River	McCreary	TMDL Under Development (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
		-	
Rock Creek of South Fork Cumberland River	McCreary	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Rockhouse Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Letcher	1 st Priority (5)	Kentucky
Rolling Fork of Salt River	Bullitt/Harden/Nelson	Delisted	Salt/Licking
Roundstone Creek of Rockcastle River	Rockcastle	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Running Slough of Obion River (Reelfoot Lake)	Fulton	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Rush Creek of Crooked Creek	Crittenden	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Russell Creek of Green River	Adair	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Ryans Creek of Jellico Creek	McCreary/Whitley	TMDL Under Development (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Ryans Creek of Jellico Creek	McCreary/Whitley	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Salt Lick Creek of Gasper River	Warren	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
		and District	0.1.7.1.1
Salt Lick Creek of Licking River	Bath	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Salt River of Ohio River	Bullitt	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Salt River of Ohio River	Anderson	1 st Priority, Delisting Requested	Salt/Licking
Sam Branch of Fishing Creek	Pulaski	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Sand Lick Creek of Pond Creek	Muhlenberg	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater

River Name	County	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Sand Lick Fork of South Fork Red River	Powell	Delisted	Kentucky
Sandy Creek of Cumberland River	Livingston	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Sawdridge Creek of Cedar Creek	Owen	2^{nd} Priority (3)	Kentucky
Scrubgrass Creek of Cassidy Creek	Nicholas	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Sexton Creek of Goose Creek	Clay	2 nd Priority (2)	Kentucky
Shawnee Creek of Mississippi River	Ballard	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Shawnee Creek Slough of Mississippi River	Ballard	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Silver Creek of Kentucky River	Madison	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Silver Creek of Kentucky River	Madison	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Sims Fork of Left Fork Straight Creek	Bell	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Sinking Creek of Ohio River	Breckinridge	1 st Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Sinking Fork of Little River	Christian	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Sinking Fork of Little River	Trigg	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Skegg Creek of Rockcastle River	Rockcastle	2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Skinframe Creek of Livingston Creek	Lyon	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Skinner Creek of Casey Creek	Trigg	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Slate Creek of Licking River	Bath	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Sleepy Run of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Approved	Salt/Licking
Slop Ditch of Southern Ditch	Jefferson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Snag Creek of Ohio River	Bracken	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
South Elkhorn Creek of Elkhorn Creek	Scott/Woodford	Delisted (2)	Kentucky
South Elkhorn Creek of Elkhorn Creek	Scott/Woodford	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
South Elkhorn Creek of Elkhorn Creek	Woodford	1 st Priority	Kentucky
South Elkhorn Creek of Elkhorn Creek	Fayette	2 nd Priority, TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
South Fork Bayou de Chien of Bayou de Chien	Graves	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
South Fork Beargrass Creek of Beargrass Creek	Jefferson	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
South Fork Beaver Creek of Beaver Creek	Barren	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
South Fork Gunpowder Creek	Boone	1 st Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
South Fork Licking River	Pendleton/Harrison	Delisted (2)	Salt/Licking
South Fork Little River of Little River	Christian	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
South Fork Red River of Middle Fork Red River	Powell	Delisted	Kentucky
South Fork Panther Creek of Panther Creek	Daviess	1 st Priority (5)	Green/Tradewater
South Fork Quicksand Creek of Quicksand Creek	Breathitt	2 nd Priority (2)	Kentucky
South Fork Rockcastle River of Rockcastle River	Laurel	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
South Fork Rockcastle River of Rockcastle River	Laurel	2 nd Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Southern Ditch of Pond Creek	Jefferson	Delisted	Salt/Licking
Southern Ditch of Pond Creek	Jefferson	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Spring Creek of Livingston Creek	Lyon	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Spring Creek of West Fork Clarks River	Graves	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Spring (Blue Spring) Ditch of Northern Ditch	Jefferson	1 st Priority (2)	Salt/Licking
Spring Fork of Quicksand Creek	Breathitt	1 st Priority (4)	Kentucky
Sputzman Creek of Green River	Henderson	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Station Camp Creek of Kentucky River	Estill	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Stevens Creek of Eagle Creek	Owen	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Stinking Creek of Cumberland River	Knox	2 nd Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Stoner Creek of South Fork Licking River	Bourbon	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Stony Creek of Licking River	Nicholas	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Stony Fork of Bennetts Fork	Bell	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Stony Fork of Straight Creek	Bell	1 st Priority (3)	TN/MS/Cumberland

River Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Straight Creek of Cumberland River	Harlan/Bell	TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Straight Creek of Cumberland River	Harlan/Bell	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Straight Creek of Cumberland River	Bell	1 st Priority (2), TMDL Approved (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Straight Creek of Elk Fork	Morgan	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Strodes Creek of Stoner Creek	Bourbon	1 st Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Stump Cave Branch of South Fork Red River	Powell	TMDL Approved	Kentucky
Sugar Creek of Clear Creek	Hopkins	TMDL Approved	Green/Tradewater
Sugar Creek of Cumberland River	Livingston	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Sugar Creek of Muddy Fork Little River	Christian	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
Sugg Creek of Cypress Creek	Union	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
Sulphur Creek of Drennon Creek	Henry	1 st Priority (3)	Kentucky
Sunfish Creek of Bear Creek	Grayson/Edmonson	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Sweepstakes Branch of South Fork Panther Creek	Daviess	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Swift Camp Creek of Red River	Wolfe	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Sycamore Branch of Bear Creek	Edmonson	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Tate Creek of Kentucky River	Madison	TMDL Under Development (2)	Kentucky
Taylor Fork of Bear Creek	Grayson	1 st Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
Ten Mile Creek of Eagle Creek	Grant	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Tennessee River of Ohio River	Marshall	TMDL Not Required	TN/MS/Cumberland
Three Forks Creek of Eagle Creek	Grant/Owen	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Three Lick Fork of Muddy Creek	Ohio	1 st Priority (4)	Green/Tradewater
Threemile Creek of Licking River	Campbell	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
Town Branch of Fleming Creek	Fleming	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking
Town Branch of Mud River	Logan	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Town Branch of South Elkhorn Creek	Fayette	1 st Priority (3), TMDL Under Development(2)	Kentucky

River Name	County	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Town Branch of South Elkhorn Creek	Fayette	1 st Priority (3)	Kentucky
Townsend Creek of South Fork Licking River	Harrison/Bourbon	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Trace Fork of Licking River	Magoffin	2 nd Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Tradewater River of Ohio River	Union	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Tradewater River of Ohio River	Hopkins/Caldwell	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Triplett Creek of Licking River	Rowan	1 st Priority (4)	Salt/Licking
Troublesome Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt/Perry/Knott	TMDL Approved (1)	Kentucky
Troublesome Creek Of North Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt/Perry/Knott	1 st Priority (5)	Kentucky
Tug Fork of Big Sandy River	Lawrence	1 st Priority	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Tug Fork of Big Sandy River	Martin/Lawrence	1 st Priority (3)	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Two Mile Creek of Eagle Creek	Owen	TMDL Not Required	Kentucky
Tygarts Creek of Ohio River	Greenup	2 nd Priority	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Tyson Branch of Tradewater River	Caldwell	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Upper Branch of North Fork Little River	Christian	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Upper Devil Creek of North Fork Kentucky River	Wolfe	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Upper Howard Creek of Kentucky River	Clark	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Upper Twin Creek of Middle Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
UT of Baughman Fork of Boone Creek (River Mile 2.6)	Fayette	TMDL Approved (2)	Kentucky
UT of Brooks Run (River Mile 4.1)	Bullitt	TMDL Under Development(3)	Salt/Licking
UT of Butler Branch (River Mile 1.3)	Adair	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
UT of Cane Run (River Mile 6.05)	Scott/Fayette	1 st Priority	Kentucky
UT of Clear Creek (River Mile 24.4)	Hopkins	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
UT of Cool Springs Creek (River Mile 2.6)	Adair	1 st Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
UT of Cypress Creek (River Mile 28.4)	Muhlenberg	2 nd Priority (2)	Green/Tradewater
UT of Elk Creek (River Mile 8.8)	Hopkins	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
UT of Flat Creek(River Mile 1.9)	Hopkins	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
UT of Fleming Creek (River Mile 4.28)	Fleming	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking
UT of Jennys Branch (River Mile 3.4)	McCreary	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
UT of Little Laurel River (River Mile 15.8)	Laurel	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
UT of Massac Creek(River Mile 5.2)	McCracken	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
UT of Massac Creek(River Mile 7.0)	McCracken	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
UT of Mayfield Creek (River Mile 24.0)	McCracken	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
UT of Mayfield Creek (River Mile 25.6)	Graves	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
UT of N Br of Lulbegrud Cr. (River Mile 2.6)	Montgomery	1 st Priority	Kentucky River
UT of Obion Creek (River Mile 16.3)	Hickman	1 st Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland
UT of Old Beaver Dam Slough (River Mile 0.4)	Marshall	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
UT of Pond Creek (River Mile 1.5) of Ohio River	Oldham	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
UT of Pond Creek (River Mile 8.8) of Green River	Muhlenberg	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
UT of Rolling Fork (River Mile 94.6)	Marion	TMDL Under Development (1)	Salt/Licking
UT of South Fork Russell Creek (River Mile 4.85)	Green	TMDL Approved (1)	Green/Tradewater
UT of Swift Camp Cr. (River Mile 11.7) UT of Unnamed Ditch (River Mile 0.2) of Slover Creek (RM 3.4)	Wolfe Webster	TMDL Under Development (2) 1 st Priority (3)	Kentucky Green/Tradewater
UT of West Fork Lewis Creek (River Mile 1.4)	Ohio	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
UT of Wiggington Creek (River Mile 3.5)	Logan	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Valley Creek of Nolin River	Hardin	1 st Priority (5)	Green/Tradewater
Ward Creek of Flynn Creek	Caldwell	1 st Priority	Green/Tradewater
Weirs Creek of Clear Creek	Hopkins	1 st Priority (3)	Green/Tradewater
West Fork of Clarks River	Graves	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
West Fork of Clarks River	Graves	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
West Fork of Clarks River	Marshall	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
West Fork of Clarks River West Fork of Clarks River (old channel) West Fork Drakes Creek of Drakes Creek West Fork Mill Creek of Mill Creek	Calloway Graves/Marshall Warren/Simpson Carroll	2 nd Priority 2 nd Priority 2 nd Priority 2 nd Priority (2)	TN/MS/Cumberland TN/MS/Cumberland Green/Tradewater Kentucky
West Fork of Pond River West Fork of Pond River West Hickman Creek of Hickman Creek	Christian Christian Jessamine	1 st Priority 2 nd Priority 2 nd Priority, TMDL Under Development (2)	Green/Tradewater Green/Tradewater Kentucky
West Hickman Creek of Hickman Creek	Jessamine/Fayette	2 nd Priority, TMDL Under Development (3)	Kentucky
Wetwoods Creek of Southern Ditch	Jefferson	1 st Priority (3)	Salt/Licking
White Lick Creek of Paint Lick Creek White Oak Creek of Tygarts Creek White Oak Creek of Rock Creek	Garrard Greenup McCreary	2 nd Priority 1 st Priority 1 st Priority (3), TMDL Under Development	Kentucky River Tygarts/Sandy TN/MS/Cumberland
White Oak Creek of Sinking Creek Whitley Branch of Little Laurel River	Laurel Laurel	1 st Priority (2) Delisted (1)	TN/MS/Cumberland TN/MS/Cumberland
Whitley Branch of Little Laurel River Wildcat Branch of Cumberland River Williams Creek of Elk Fork Wilson Run of Fleming Creek Wolf Branch Ditch of Rhodes Creek	Laurel Pulaski Morgan Fleming Daviess	1 st Priority (3) TMDL Under Development (1) 1 st Priority TMDL Approved (1) 2 nd Priority (4)	TN/MS/Cumberland TN/MS/Cumberland Salt/Licking Salt/Licking Green/Tradewater
Wolf Creek of Clear Fork Wolf Creek of Tradewater River Wolf Lick Creek of Mud River Wolf Run of Town Branch Wolf Run of Town Branch	Whitley Crittenden Logan Fayette Fayette	1 st Priority 1 st Priority 2 nd Priority (2) TMDL Under Development(2) 1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland Green/Tradewater Green/Tradewater Kentucky Kentucky

<u>River Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Woolper Creek of Ohio River Wooten Creek of Cutshin Creek Yellow Creek of Cumberland River Yellow Creek of Cumberland River Yocum Creek of Clover Fork	Boone Leslie Bell Bell Harlan	1 st Priority (5) 2 nd Priority Delisted (3) 2 nd Priority (4) TMDL Approved	Salt/Licking Kentucky TN/MS/Cumberland TN/MS/Cumberland TN/MS/Cumberland

Table 2-2. Alphabetic Listing of 2004 303(d)-Listed Lakes for Kentucky

Lake Name	<u>County</u>	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Barren River Lake	Allan/Barren	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Briggs Lake	Logan	Delisted	Green/Tradewater
Buckhorn Lake	Perry	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Campbellsville City Lake	Taylor	2 nd Priority (1), Delisted (1)	Green/Tradewater
Caneyville Reservoir	Grayson	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Carr Fork Lake	Knott	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Corbin City Reservoir	Laurel	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Cave Run Lake	Bath/Rowan/Morgan/Menifee	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Cranks Creek Lake	Harlan	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Dewey Lake	Floyd	2 nd Priority	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Doe Run Lake	Kenton	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Elmer Davis Lake	Owen	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
General Butler State Park Lake	Carroll	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Grapevine Lake	Hopkins	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Grayson Lake	Carter/Elliot	2 nd Priority	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Green River Lake	Taylor/Adair	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Greenbriar Lake	Montgomery	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Guist Creek Lake	Shelby	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Hematite Lake	Trigg	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Herrington Lake	Garrard/Boyle/Mercer	TMDL Under Development (1)	Kentucky
Honker Lake	Trigg	Delisted	TN/MS/Cumberland
Jericho Lake	Henry	1 st Priority	Salt/Licking
Kincaid Lake	Henry	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Lake Cumberland	Clinton/Pulaski/Russell/Wayne	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Lake George	Crittenden	Delisted	Green/Tradewater
e			

Lake Name	County	<u>Status</u>	Watershed Unit
Lake Pewee	Hopkins	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Lake Shelby	Shelby	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Lake Washburn	Ohio	Delisted	Green/Tradewater
Loch Mary Lake	Hopkins	Delisted	Green/Tradewater
Luzerne Lake	Muhlenburg	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Marion County Sportman Lake	Marion	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
McNeely Lake	Jefferson	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Metcalfe County Lake	Metcalfe	Delisted	Green/Tradewater
Metropolis Lake	McCracken	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Paintsville Reservoir	Johnson/Morgan	2 nd Priority	Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts
Panbowl Lake	Breathitt	1 st Priority	Kentucky
Reformatory Lake	Oldham	Delisted	Salt/Licking
Rough River Lake	Breckinridge/Grayson	2 nd Priority (1), Delisted (1)	Green/Tradewater
Salem Lake	Larue	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Sand Lick Creek Lake	Fleming	2 nd Priority	Salt/Licking
Scenic Lake	Henderson	2 nd Priority	Green/Tradewater
Spa Lake	Logan	2 nd Priority (3), Delisted (1)	Green/Tradewater
Stanford Reservoir	Lincoln	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Swan Pond	Ballard	1 st Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland
Sympson Lake	Nelson	Delisted	Salt/Licking
Taylorsville Lake	Spencer	TMDL Approved (1)	Salt/Licking
Wilgreen Lake	Madison	2 nd Priority	Kentucky
Wood Creek Lake	Laurel	2 nd Priority	TN/MS/Cumberland

Section 2.1 Approved Delistings

Note: The specific stream/pollutant combinations listed below are only for the designated uses and pollutants of concern for which delisting requests were approved by EPA Region 4.

Section 2.1.1 Kentucky River Basin Unit

Copper Creek of Dix River		Lincoln/Rockcastle Counties	
From River Mile 1.5 to 11.8	8	Segment Length:	10.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

In the 1998 303(d) Report Copper Creek was listed as 2^{nd} Priority, RM 0.0 to 11.8 for siltation based on 1994 data. The sampling locations were at RM 0.1 and 2.3. The assessment was carried to the headwaters. A more complete assessment of Copper Creek is now available and is reflected in this listing. The latest assessment shows that RM 0.0 to 1.5 is partially supporting aquatic life because of siltation from agriculture. RM 1.5 to 7.6 is fully supporting of aquatic life based on data from RM 2.3 and 4.4. The reach from RM 7.6 to 11.8 is considered to be Not Assessed.

Eagle Creek of Kentucky R	iver	Carroll/Gallatin/Owen	Counties
From River Mile 0.0 to 14.4	4	Segment Length:	14.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

This reach from River Mile 0.0 to 14.4 (14.4 miles), was shown as impaired for pathogens in the 1998 303(d) Report. More recent and detailed watershed monitoring determined that this segment should not be considered as assessed for pathogens. The latest aquatic life use assessment information is that 0.0 to 10.2 fully supports the aquatic life use, and 10.2 to 14.4 is not assessed for aquatic life use. The latter segment was previously listed as impaired for aquatic life.

Eagle Creek of Kentucky R	<u>liver</u>	Grant County	
From River Mile 27.3 to 34	4.5	Segment Length:	7.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

The reach from River Mile 27.3 to 38.8 (9.5 miles) was shown as impaired in the 1998 303(d) Report. More recent and detailed watershed monitoring determined that this reach should not be considered as assessed.

Eagle Creek of Kentucky R	liver	Grant County	
From River Mile 34.5 to 38	3.8	Segment Length:	4.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support, Aquati	ic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

The reach from River Mile 27.3 to 38.8 (9.5 miles) was shown as impaired in the 1998 303(d) Report. More recent monitoring data indicated that this reach should be considered as not assessed for both uses.

Laurel Creek of Goose Cre From River Mile 2.5 to 5.4		Clay County Segment Length: 2.9	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimn	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Ammonia (Unionized), Suspended Enrichment/Low DO	Solids, Pathogens, and Organic	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package	Plants - Small Flows)	
Middle Fork Kentucky Riv	<u>er</u>	Leslie County	
From River Mile 71.9 to 74	4.8	Segment Length: 2.9	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swi	mming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Suspended Solids, Pathogens, and	Organic Enrichment/Low DO	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources		
Red River of Kentucky Riv	ver_	Estill/Clark/Powell Counties	
From River Mile 9.5 to 41.	1	Segment Length: 31.6	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		

Municipal Point Sources, Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems – Septic Tanks and/or Straight Pipes) Citizen data indicated that a problem might still exist on this segment of the main stem of the Red River.

Therefore, the stream segment will be monitored further.

Suspected Sources:

Red River of Kentucky Riv		Menifee/Wolfe Counties
From River Mile 59.9 to 94		Segment Length: 34.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation	
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture, Land Disposal, Habitat	Modification (Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of H	Riparian Vegetation and Bank
	Modification/Destabilization, Unkn	own Source
Sand Lick Fork of South Fo	ork Red River	Powell County
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0		Segment Length: 5.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Petroleum Ac	tivities)
South Elkhorn Creek of Elk	horn Creek	Scott/Woodford Counties
From River Mile 16.4 to 34	.0	Segment Length: 17.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Pesti	cides
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm S	
South Fork Red River of M	iddle Fork Red River	Powell County
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.		Segment Length: 10.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	6 6
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Petroleum Ac	tivities)
I		

Section 2.1.2 Salt/Licking River Basin Unit

Buckhorn Creek of Rolling From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>Fork</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin Low pH Construction (Highway/Road/Bridg		2.3
Cedar Creek of Floyds Ford From River Mile 0.0 to 15.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	5 3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Municipal Point Sources, Urban Ru	Jefferson/Bullitt Counti Segment Length: noff/Storm Sewers, Land	15.3
Fourmile Creek of Ohio Ri From River Mile 8.4 to 9.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	ver Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers	Campbell County Segment Length:	1.0
Harrods Creek of the Ohio From River Mile 3.2 to 4.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>River</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Organic Enrichment/Low DO Municipal Point Sources (Package 1	Jefferson/Oldham Cour Segment Length: Plants – Small Flows)	nties 0.8
Licking River of Ohio River From River Mile 226.4 to 2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Morgan County Segment Length:	12.9
Little Goose Creek of Goos From River Mile 0.0 to 8.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	e Creek Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Organic Enrichment/Low DO Municipal Point Sources, Urban Ru	Jefferson County Segment Length: moff/Storm Sewers, Land	8.7 1 Disposal
Middle Fork Beargrass Cre From River Mile 2.3 to 15.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	ek of Beargrass Creek	Jefferson County Segment Length: Point Sources, Urban Ru	12.9 unoff/Storm

Rolling Fork of Salt River From River Mile 0.0 to 20. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	1 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Agriculture	Bullitt/Hardin/Nelson G Segment Length:	Counties 20.1
South Fork Licking River From River Mile 11.5 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	7.1 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Siltation Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	Pendleton/Harrison Co Segment Length:	15.6
Southern Ditch of Pond Cra		Jefferson County	lated Sources)
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Organic Enrichment/Low DO	Segment Length:	5.5
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban Ru	noff/Storm Sewers, Land	d Disposal
Section 2.1.3 Tenne	ssee/Mississippi/Cumberland River	Basin Unit	
Beechy Creek of Blood Riv From River Mile 0.0 to 2.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Calloway County Segment Length:	2.9
Big Lily Creek of Cumberl From River Mile 4.7 to 9.1	and River (Lake Cumberland)	Russell County Segment Length:	4.4
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Fish Organic Enrichment/Low DO Unknown		
A major point source disch	arge was moved from this creek in 19	993.	
Buck Creek of Clear Fork From River Mile 1.4 to 2.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Resource Extraction	Whitley County Segment Length: r than Flow), Turbidity	1.4
<u>Central Creek of Truman C</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 0.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Chlorine Municipal Point Sources	Carlisle County Segment Length:	0.4

Clarks River of Tennessee	River	Calloway County	
From River Mile 48.4 to 50	0.9	Segment Length:	2.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Silta	tion, Nutrients, Pathoger	ıs
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agricultu	re (Crop-related Sources)

Little River of Cumberland From River Mile 23.6 to 33	•	Trigg County Segment Length:	9.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		
Massac Creek of Ohio Rive		McCracken County	10.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.		Segment Length:	10.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Nutr	rients	
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Sources (Package	Plant - Small Flows)	
Whitley Branch of Little L	aurel River	Laurel County	
Whitley Branch of Little L From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0		Laurel County Segment Length:	1.0
		•	1.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0		•	1.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Length:	1.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Chlorine Municipal Point Sources (Major M	Segment Length: unicipal Point Sources)	1.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Yellow Creek of Cumberla	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Chlorine Municipal Point Sources (Major M nd River	Segment Length: unicipal Point Sources) Bell County	1.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Chlorine Municipal Point Sources (Major M nd River	Segment Length: unicipal Point Sources)	1.0 9.6
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Yellow Creek of Cumberla	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Chlorine Municipal Point Sources (Major M nd River	Segment Length: unicipal Point Sources) Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Yellow Creek of Cumberla</u> From River Mile 8.9 to 18.	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Chlorine Municipal Point Sources (Major M nd River 5	Segment Length: unicipal Point Sources) Bell County Segment Length:	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Yellow Creek of Cumberla</u> From River Mile 8.9 to 18. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Chlorine Municipal Point Sources (Major M nd River 5 Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Length: unicipal Point Sources) Bell County Segment Length:	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Yellow Creek of Cumberla</u> From River Mile 8.9 to 18. Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Chlorine Municipal Point Sources (Major M nd River 5 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterat	Segment Length: unicipal Point Sources) Bell County Segment Length:	

Section 2.1.4 Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit

Barren River of Green Rive	er	Warren County	
From River Mile 29.4 to 43	3.6	Segment Length:	14.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agrie	culture	

This listing was from the 1998 303(d) Report. The listing was an error. Fecal coliform data collected at RM 37.6 during 1996 and 1997 indicated that there was no impairment of the swimming use. The sampling site was subsequently moved far downstream, so no additional information was collected at the site for 1998 – 2000. Some additional fecal coliform data were collected throughout the reach (2001) and indicate that there is no impairment of the swimming use from RM 35.0 to 43.6 and partial support of the swimming use from RM 29.4 to 35.0. Therefore a request to delist the segment from RM 35.0 to 43.6 was submitted to EPA Region 4 with the 2002 303(d) Report and was approved.

Drakes Creek of Pond River		Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.5		Segment Length:	8.5
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	PCBs		
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources		

This listing was from the 1998 303(d) Report. The listing of fish consumption because of PCBs was an error. Drakes Creek in Hopkins County has not been assessed for fish consumption use. A request to delist the stream for PCBs was submitted to EPA Region 4 with the 2002 303(d) Report and was approved. The streams that should have been listed for PCBs are Drakes Creek and West Fork Drakes Creek in Warren and Simpson counties.

Drakes Creek of Pond Rive	<u>er</u>	Hopkins County	
From River Mile 8.5 to 21.	3	Segment Length:	12.8
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	PCBs		
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources		

This listing was from the 1998 303(d) Report. The listing of fish consumption because of PCBs was an error. Drakes Creek in Hopkins County has not been assessed for fish consumption use. A request to delist the stream for PCBs was submitted to EPA Region 4 with the 2002 303(d) Report and was approved. The streams that should have been listed for PCBs are Drakes Creek and West Fork Drakes Creek in Warren and Simpson counties.

Little Pitman Creek of Big	Pitman Creek	Taylor/Green Counties	
From River Mile 5.9 to 10.	1	Segment Length:	4.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Copper)		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Major M	unicipal Point Sources)	

This listing was from the 1998 303(d) Report. Metals data indicate that copper values are now being met by the Campbellsville WWTP and that instream values of copper meet water quality standards. A request to delist the stream for metals (copper) was submitted to EPA Region 4 with the 2002 303(d) Report and was approved.

Section 2.1.5 Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit

None.

Section 2.1.6 Ohio River Main Stem

Ohio River		All Counties Along the	Ohio River in
From River Mile 317.1 to 9	081.0	Kentucky	
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	Segment Length:	663.9
Pollutant(s):	Chlordane		

This listing was from the 1998 303(d) Report. The entire reach of the Ohio River in Kentucky is listed as partially impaired for Priority Organics (chlordane, PCBs, and dioxin). The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Action Level for chlordane in fish tissue was used (0.30 parts per million - ppm) as the criteria. More recently, Kentucky has adopted the Great Lakes Protocols, which call for the use of risk-based assessments. However, no cancer-risk factor has been delineated for use by Kentucky in the risk-based approach. As a result, Kentucky defers to the FDA Action Level value of 0.30 ppm of chlordane in fish tissue as the criteria for fish consumption for chlordane.

ORSANCO has chlordane data for fish tissue from the Ohio River for the period 1988 to 1999. The data show that levels of chlordane have decreased.

As the entire length of the Ohio River along the Kentucky border is included on the most recent 303(d) list because of PCBs and dioxin, delisting for chlordane will not result in the removal of the fish consumption advisory.

Section 2.1.7 Lakes and Reservoirs

Briggs Lake Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients Lake Fertilization	Logan County Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 18
Campbellsville City Lake Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients	Taylor County Green/Tradewater Unit Acres: 63
Honker Lake Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients	Trigg County Tenn./Miss./Cumberland River Unit Acres: 190
Lake George Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients	Crittenden County Green/Tradewater Unit Acres: 53
Lake Washburn Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients	Ohio County Green/Tradewater Unit Acres: 26
Loch Mary Lake Impaired Use(s):	Drinking Water Supply (Nonsupport)	Hopkins County Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 135
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Manganese), Other Inorgan	nics (Noncarbonate Hardness)
Metcalfe County Lake Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients	Metcalfe County Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 22
Impaired Use(s):		Green/Tradewater River Unit
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): <u>Reformatory Lake</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Nutrients Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients Agriculture Drinking Water Supply	Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 22 Oldham County Salt/Licking River Unit Acres: 54 Breckinridge/Grayson Counties Green/Tradewater River Unit
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): <u>Reformatory Lake</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Rough River Lake</u>	Nutrients Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients Agriculture	Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 22 Oldham County Salt/Licking River Unit Acres: 54 Breckinridge/Grayson Counties
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): <u>Reformatory Lake</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Rough River Lake</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Nutrients Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients Agriculture Drinking Water Supply (Partial Support) Nutrients	Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 22 Oldham County Salt/Licking River Unit Acres: 54 Breckinridge/Grayson Counties Green/Tradewater River Unit
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): <u>Reformatory Lake</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Rough River Lake</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): This listing was from the 1	Nutrients Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients Agriculture Drinking Water Supply (Partial Support) Nutrients	Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 22 Oldham County Salt/Licking River Unit Acres: 54 Breckinridge/Grayson Counties Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 5,100
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): <u>Reformatory Lake</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Rough River Lake</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): This listing was from the 1 drinking water supply use. <u>Spa Lake</u> Impaired Use(s):	Nutrients Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients Agriculture Drinking Water Supply (Partial Support) Nutrients 998 303(d) Report and was an error. Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 22 Oldham County Salt/Licking River Unit Acres: 54 Breckinridge/Grayson Counties Green/Tradewater River Unit Acres: 5,100 The lake has always fully supported the Logan County Green/Tradewater Unit

Section 2.2 Delisting Requests

Section 2.2.1 Kentucky River Basin Unit

Note: The specific stream/pollutant combinations listed below are only for the designated uses and pollutants of concern for which a delisting request has been made to EPA Region 4.

Section 2.2.1.1 1st Priority Listings

None.

Section 2.2.1.2 2nd Priority Listings

Grassy Run of Eagle Creek		Grant County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.4		Segment Length:	6.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. A reevaluation of the assessment was made based on new metrics and the new assessment indicates that the stream fully supports the aquatic life use. Because the stream fully supports the aquatic life use, it should be delisted.

Kentucky River of Ohio Ri	ver	Madison, Fayette, Jes	samine, Clark
From River Mile 118.2 to 1	.39.0	Counties	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)	Segment Length:	20.8
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

This listing is based on data from a site that is no longer sampled and the previous sampling location was determined to not be appropriate for assessing this reach. Data were collected in 1998 at the new site and no impairment was identified.

Kentucky River of Ohio River		Madison/Estill/Clark	Counties
From River Mile 190.8 to 2	201.0	Segment Length:	10.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

Data collected at a new site in 1998 indicated no impairment for this reach.

Lick Creek of Eagle Creek	Carroll County
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8	Segment Length: 2.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources – Pasture Grazing, Upland), Land
	Disposal (Inappropriate Waste Disposal/Wildcat Dumping),
	Hydromodification (Dredging)

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. A reevaluation of the assessment was made based on new metrics, and the new assessment indicates that the stream fully supports the aquatic life use.

Section 2.2.2 Salt/Licking River Basin Unit

Section 2.2.2.1 1st Priority Listings

Salt River of Ohio River		Anderson County	
From River Mile 78.0 to 88	3.5	Segment Length:	10.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

The latest assessment information indicates that this reach fully supports the swimming use. Therefore, this segment should be delisted as impaired for swimming use because of pathogens.

Section 2.2.2.2 2nd Priority Listings

None.

Section 2.2.3 Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit

Section 2.2.3.1 1st Priority Listings

Ohio River Basin

Bayou Creek of Ohio River	McCracken County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.5	Segment Length:	6.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Thermal Modification, pH	
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Land Disposal	

A compilation of available data is being conducted through a grant from the Department of Energy. As a result of some of that data compilation, pH and thermal modification are no longer pollutants that are causing an aquatic life use and swimming impairment. A request to delist Bayou Creek as impaired for the aquatic life and swimming uses because of pH and thermal modifications was given informal approval by EPA on September 16, 2003.

Upper Cumberland Basin

Bucks Branch of Jellico Cre	eek	Whitley/McCreary Cou	nties
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3		Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dra	ainage)	

The TMDL for low pH was being developed and the data indicated that the water quality standard for pH is being met. A request to delist the stream as being impaired for low pH was informally approved by EPA on November 18, 2003.

Section 2.2.3.2 2nd Priority Listings

None.

Section 2.2.4 Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit

Section 2.2.4.1 1st Priority Listings

Green River Basin

Green River of Ohio RiverFrom River Mile 183.5 to 250.2Impaired Use(s):Swimming (Nonsupport)Pollutant(s):PathogensSuspected Sources:Agriculture

Hart/Edmonson/Green Counties Segment Length: 66.7

The most recent data indicates full support.

Section 2.2.4.2 2nd Priority Listings

Green River Basin

Barren River of Green Rive	<u>er</u>	Warren County	
From River Mile 29.4 to 35	5.0	Segment Length:	5.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Lead)		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		

The metals violations for lead were just above the chronic level for a number of samples, and the violations are believed to be attributable to contamination of the acid used as preservative for the metals samples. Unfortunately, this listing is based on pre-1998 data (this site was an ambient monitoring site until 1998), but the ambient site is now located far downstream. As a result, no data had been collected at the site since 1998 until just recently. Data collection for metals was initiated in 2001 and ended in 2003. The metals data indicated that there was not a violation of the aquatic life use because of metals. Therefore, a request to delist the segment as being impaired for metals was submitted to EPA Region 4 and the request was given informal approval on September 10, 2003.

Barren River of Green Rive	<u>r</u>	Warren County	
From River Mile 35.0 to 43.6		Segment Length:	8.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Lead)		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		

The metals violations for lead were just above the chronic level for a number of samples and the violations are believed to be attributable to contamination of the acid used as preservative for the metals samples. Unfortunately, this listing is based on pre-1998 data. This site was an ambient monitoring site until 1998, but the ambient site is now located far downstream. As a result, no data had been collected at the site since 1998 until just recently. Data collection for metals was initiated in 2001 and ended in 2003. The metals data indicated that there was not a violation of the aquatic life use because of metals. Therefore, a request to delist the segment as being impaired for metals was submitted to EPA Region 4, and the request was given informal approval on September 10, 2003. Formal approval will be given when the 2004 303(d) Report is approved.

Green River of Ohio River McLeon/Ohio/Butler/Mul		Muhlenburg Cos.	
From River Mile 71.3 to 10	08.6	Segment Length:	37.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. More recent assessment information indicates that this segment is not impaired for the swimming use because of pathogens.

Section 2.2.5 Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit

None.

Section 2.2.6 Ohio River Main Stem

Section 2.2.6.1 1st Priority Listings

Ohio River of Mississippi River Greenup/Lewis C		Greenup/Lewis Cou	inties
From River Mile 356.5	to 361.0	Segment Length:	4.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
		.1	1 (2566

The most recent assessment information from ORSANCO shows that some of this reach (356.6 - 357.8) fully supports the aquatic life use and other parts (357.8 - 361.0) are considered to be not assessed. (The impaired reach was previously 354.0 - 361.0, but reaches have been re-configured in ORSANCO's assessments. The miles 354.0 - 356.5 were also assessed as fully supporting by ORSANCO in the 2004 305(b) report.)

Section 2.2.6.2 2nd Priority Listings

Ohio River of Mississippi I	River	Bracken/Pendleton/C	ampbell Counties
From River Mile 436.2 to 4	462.6	Segment Length:	26.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban	n Runoff/Storm Sewers	, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc	es, Industrial Point Sou	irces
The most recent data from	ORSANCO shows this reach in full s	support of swimming us	se.

Ohio River of Mississippi H	River	Boone County	
From River Mile 498.0 to 5	510.0	Segment Length:	12.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urbar Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc		. .
	Agriculture, Municipal Folin Source	es, muusulai romit Sour	LES

The most recent data from ORSANCO shows this reach in full support of swimming use.

Ohio River of Mississippi I	River	Carroll County	
From River Mile 545.8 to 5	553.6	Segment Length:	7.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin	mming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Combined Sewer Overfl	ows, Urban Runoff/Stor	m Sewers, Land
	Disposal, Agriculture, Municipal Po	oint Sources, Industrial I	Point Sources

The most recent assessment information from ORSANCO shows that this reach fully supports the aquatic life use and swimming uses.

Ohio River Carroll/Tr		Carroll/Trimble Count	oll/Trimble Counties	
From River Mile 553.6 to 567.6		Segment Length:	4.0	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)			
Pollutant(s):	Unknown			
Suspected Sources:	Unknown			

The most recent assessment information from ORSANCO shows that this reach fully supports the aquatic life use.

Ohio River		Trimble/Oldham/Jeff	erson Counties
From River Mile 567.6 to 6	506.8	Segment Length:	39.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swi	imming (Partial Suppor	t)
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Combined Sewer Overf Disposal, Agriculture, Municipal F	,	orm Sewers, Land
	Disposal, Agriculture, Municipal F	Unit Sources	

The most recent assessment information from ORSANCO shows that this reach fully supports the aquatic life use and swimming uses.

Ohio River		Jefferson County	
From River Mile 609.7 to 6	517.6	Segment Length:	12.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urba	n Runoff/Storm Sewers,	Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Source	ces	

The most recent data from ORSANCO indicates full support of swimming use.

Section 2.2.7 Lakes and Reservoirs

None.

Section 2.3 Approved TMDLs

(Please access the KDOW's TMDL web site to view these documents – http://www.water.ky.gov/sw/tmdl/default.htm; or a printed copy of the report can be obtained by contacting the Division of Water.)

Section 2.3.1 Kentucky River Basin Unit

North Fork Kentucky Rive	r and Tributaries	Breathitt/Lee/Letcher/	/Perry/Wolfe
From River Mile (main ster	m) 0.0 to 162.6	Counties	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	Segment Length:	162.6
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewate	er Systems – Septic Tank	s and/or Straight
-	Pipes), Municipal Point Sources		-

The TMDL for pathogens for the North Fork Kentucky River and Tributaries is approved; 'Removing Fecal Pollution from the North Fork Kentucky River Basin.' Recent data indicate that the swimming advisory is still warranted for the entire North Fork Kentucky River and a swimming advisory was reissued in 2003. Enforcement activities and WWTP upgrades have resulted in significant improvements in the compliance rate of these facilities for fecal coliform. However, bypasses and straight pipe discharges continue to be a significant source of fecal coliform pollution. The PRIDE Program (Personal Responsibility in a Desirable Environment) has provided a significant amount of money to the watershed for the upgrade of WWTPs and for the removal of straight pipes and failed septic systems.

South Fork Red River of M	liddle Fork of Red River	Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.1		Segment Length:	10.1
Delisted – see the 2002 303	B(d) Report for additional information	n.	
Sand Lick Fork of South Fo	ork of Red River	Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0		Segment Length:	5.0
Delisted - see the 2002 303(d) Report for additional information.			
Stump Cave Branch of South Fork of Red River		Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.4		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Chlorides/Salinity/TDS		
1			

Suspected Sources: Resource Extraction (Petroleum Activities)

The TMDL for the above three streams is approved, but South Fork Red River and Sand Lick Fork have been delisted as impaired for aquatic life use because of chlorides/TDS/Salinity based on the latest assessment information. Stump Cave Branch was not assessed because it was not on the 1998 303(d) List, but was included in the approved TMDL.

UT of Baughman Fork (Riv	ver Mile 2.6) of Boone Creek	Fayette County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1		Segment Length:	1.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Lov	w DO	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources		

Previously this was erroneously listed as Baughman Fork at River Mile 2.6. The TMDL for nutrients and organic enrichment/low DO is approved and enforcement action has been taken against the Blue Sky WWTP. The case is currently under litigation.

Section 2.3.2 Salt/Licking River Basin Unit

Salt River Basin

Chenoweth Run of Floyds	Fork_	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.1		Segment Length:	9.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Noxious Aquatic Plants		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Industrial	Point Sources, Urban R	unoff/Storm
-	Sewers, Land Disposal, Agriculture	e (Grazing- related Source	ces)

The TMDL for nutrients was approved in 1997. The Jeffersontown WWTP was given a total phosphorus limit of 1.0 mg/L starting in November 2000. Phosphorus monitoring at the Jeffersontown WWTP indicates values consistently around 0.5 mg/L. The TMDL also states that riparian zones are needed along the stream and that effective storm water management is also needed. The listing for aquatic life use shown here is carried forward from the 1998 303(d) Report because new biological data are not yet available. The Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District continues to collect and compile information on the stream.

Floyds Fork Watershed of	Salt River	Jefferson/Bullitt Counti	es
From River Mile 0.0 to 67.	0	Segment Length:	67.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package	Plants - Small Flows), U	rban
	Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agriculture		

The TMDL for organic/enrichment/low DO is approved. For a printed copy of the TMDL, please contact the KDOW. MSD has acquired a number of small WWTPs throughout the watershed, which MSD now operates and maintains, and the MSD Floyds Fork Regional WWTP became operational in the summer of 2001. Sewer lines are planned to be run from the areas currently being serviced by the small WWTPs to the Floyds Fork Regional WWTP. As these connections are made, the small WWTPs will be taken out of service. This will improve water quality throughout the watershed because small WWTPs are difficult to maintain and will work less efficiently than the new Floyds Fork Regional WWTP. The Floyds Fork Regional WWTP has a phosphorus limit of 1.0 mg/L, which is a significant reduction compared to the small WWTPs that currently operate in the watershed. The discharge from the small WWTPs generally contains 2.5 to 4.0 mg/L of phosphorus.

Licking River Basin

Fleming Creek Watershed	of Licking River	Fleming/Nicholas Cou	inties
From River Mile 0.0 to 39.	2	Segment Length:	39.2
and includes 10 tributaries			
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed	ling Operations and Gra	zing-related
	Sources), Municipal Point Sources		

The TMDL for pathogens is approved.	
The stream segments included in the TMDL are	:
Allison Creek	River Mile 0.0 to 4.7
Craintown Branch	River Mile 0.0 to 3.5
Doty Creek	River Mile 0.0 to 4.0
Fleming Creek	River Mile 0.0 to 39.2
Sleepy Run	River Mile 0.0 to 2.8
Town Branch	River Mile 0.0 to 4.0
Wilson Run	River Mile 0.0 to 5.5
4 other stream segments that were not included	on the 1998 303(d) list for pathogens:
Logan Run	River Mile 0.0 to 2.3
Cassidy Creek	River Mile 0.0 to 3.9
Poplar Creek	River Mile 0.0 to 3.1
UT to Fleming Creek at RM 4.28	River Mile 0.0 to 2.2

Ohio River Basin

Elijahs (and Gunpowder) C	Creek of Ohio River	Boone County	
Elijahs - From River Mile (0.0 to 5.2	Segment Length:	5.2
Gunpowder – From River M	Vile 15.7 to 18.9	Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nonpriority Organics (De-icing H	Fluids)	
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources		

The TMDL for nonpriority organics is approved. Elijahs and Gunpowder Creeks are severely impacted by de-icing fluids used at the Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International Airport. Headwater portions of these streams are located on airport property. The streams then flow through rapidly developing areas prior to discharging to the Ohio River. This TMDL project focused on studying the impact the deicing fluids are having upon aquatic life, the reductions needed to restore the aquatic life use to these streams, and working with the airport to bring about the needed reductions. Water quality modeling was used to establish effluent limits that would be protective of water quality. These limits were incorporated into a new discharge permit for the airport and went into effect April 1, 1997. Fines for past violations were levied against the airport, and additional control measures were required through enforcement action that culminated in an Agreed Order with the airport, filed March 28, 1997. The airport is still having difficulty meeting the permit limits for 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), but efforts continue by the airport to come into compliance. An aeration system has been installed on Elijahs Creek and the BOD values have been decreasing with time. Quarterly meetings are held between Airport officials, SD #1 and the KDOW. The airport has installed de-icing pads where planes are spraved and the excess drains to a pit. This material goes to a SD #1 treatment facility. Plans are underway to recycle the fluid that goes to the pit. The airport also uses sweeper trucks to capture deicing fluid shed from planes while taxiing.

Harrods Creek of the Ohio	River	Jefferson/Oldham Cour	nties
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2		Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package	Plants – Small Flows)	

The TMDL for organic/enrichment/low DO is approved. MSD is operating and maintaining five small WWTPs that discharge to the lower section of Harrods Creek. This section of Harrods Creek is essentially a backwater embayment of the Ohio River. This section of Harrods Creek is characterized by slow stream velocities and depths greater than typical of pool and riffle stream environments. This results in low DO values, and the condition can be exacerbated by the discharges from the small WWTPs if the WWTPs are not in compliance. The discharge from these five WWTPs will eventually be incorporated into MSD's Regional Wastewater System. The latest assessment indicates that the reach from RM 3.2 to 4.0 fully supports the aquatic life designated use, and a request to delist it was approved by EPA.

Section 2.3.3 Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit

Upper Cumberland River Basin

Upper Cumberland River Watershed Pathogens TMDL The stream segments listed below are included in the EPA Region 4 approved TMDL, Removing Fecal Pollution from the Upper Cumberland River Basin. They are all categorized as follows: Impaired Use(s): Swimming (Nonsupport) Pollutant(s): Pathogens Suspected Source: Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems - Septic Tanks and/or Straight Pipes), Municipal Point Sources

(1) Bailey Creek of Clover Fork	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5	Segment Length:	2.5
(2) Catron Creek of Martins Fork	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.5	Segment Length:	8.5
(3) Clover Fork of Poor Fork	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 34.5	Segment Length:	34.5
(4) Cloverlick Creek of Poor Fork	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0	Segment Length:	5.0
(5) Cumberland River of Tennessee River	Harlan County	
From River Mile 684.9 to 694.2	Segment Length:	9.3
(6) Cumberland River of Tennessee River	Bell County	
From River Mile 650.6 to 654.5	Segment Length:	3.9
(7) Greasy Creek of Cumberland River	Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 11.4	Segment Length:	11.4
(8) Left Fork Straight Creek	Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 13.0	Segment Length:	13.0
(9) Looney Creek of Poor Fork	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.5	Segment Length:	5.5
(10) Martins Fork of Cumberland River	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.1	Segment Length:	7.1
(11) Martins Fork of Cumberland River	Harlan County	
From River Mile 7.1 to 10.1	Segment Length:	3.0
(12) Poor Fork of Cumberland River	Harlan/Letcher Countie	es
From River Mile 0.0 to 25.1	Segment Length:	25.1

(13) Puckett Creek of Cumberland River	Harlan/Bell Counties	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.0	Segment Length:	10.0
(14) Richland Creek of Cumberland River	Knox County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 19.6	Segment Length:	19.6
(15) Straight Creek of Cumberland River	Harlan/Bell Counties	
From River Mile 0.0 to 23.5	Segment Length:	23.5
(16) Yocum Creek of Clover Fork	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.5	Segment Length:	6.5

Ohio River Basin

Little Bayou Creek of Bayo	ou Creek	McCracken County	
From River mile 0.0 to 6.5		Segment Length:	6.5
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	PCBs		
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Hydromo	dification	

Section 2.3.4 Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit

Green River Basin

Brier Creek of Pond River	Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7	Segment Length:	4.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	
Cane Run of Caney Creek	Hopkins County	
Cane Run of Caney Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4	Hopkins County Segment Length:	3.4
	1 2	3.4
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4	Segment Length:	3.4

The TMDL was approved by EPA Region 4 on January 7, 2004.

Craborchard Creek of Drake	es Creek I	Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.6	S	Segment Length:	7.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimmin	ng (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drai	nage)	

The TMDL was approved by EPA Region 4 on January 7, 2004.

Pleasant Run of Drakes Cre	ek Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.9	Segment Length:	7.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

The TMDL was approved by EPA Region 4 on January 7, 2004.

Sugar Creek of Clear Creek	Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.3	Segment Length:	5.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swimming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

The TMDL was approved by EPA Region 4 on January 7, 2004.

UT of South Fork Russell (Creek of Russell Creek	Green County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.6		Segment Length:	0.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Petroleum	Activities)	

This listing was in the 1998 303(d) Report as South Fork Russell Creek. It should have been listed as UT to South Fork Russell Creek (at River Mile 4.85).

Section 2.3.5 Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit

Little Sandy River Basin

East Fork Little Sandy Rive	er	Boyd County	
From River Mile 19.0 to 25	5.0	Segment Length:	6.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources		

This TMDL was approved in 1995. Most of the small WWTPs whose flow impacted this stream segment have been eliminated. The flow now goes to regional facilities on the Ohio River.

Newcombe Creek of Little	Sandy River	Elliott County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 11.	9	Segment Length:	11.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/Chlorides/TDS		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Petroleum A	ctivities)	

Section 2.3.6 Ohio River Main Stem

None.

Section 2.3.7 Lakes and Reservoirs

Taylorsville Lake	Salt/Licking River Unit
Spencer County	Acres: 3,050
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, High Phosphorus Content in Soils

The TMDL contains information that phosphorus values at a stream site just upstream of the lake have been decreasing over the past ten years. A number of BMPs have been installed throughout the watershed upstream of the lake to reduce the amount of phosphorus that enters the Salt River (and eventually Taylorsville Lake).

Section 2.4 **TMDLs Under Development**

Section 2.4.1 **Kentucky River Basin Unit**

Eagle Creek Watershed Pathogens TMDL Eagle Creek of Kentucky River River Mile 14.4 to 27.3 (Two Mile Cr to Ten Mile Cr) Impaired Use(s): Swimming (Nonsupport) Pollutant(s): Pathogens Suspected Sources: Agriculture

Owen/Gallatin/Grant Counties Segment Length: 12.9

Assessments were made throughout the Eagle Creek watershed in 1998. Eagle Creek, from River Mile 0.0 to 38.8, was listed as 2nd Priority in the 1998 303(d) Report for partial support of swimming (pathogens) and aquatic life (nutrients) uses based on data from the ambient water quality monitoring site at River Mile 21.6. That assessment is no longer relevant because of the new and more detailed assessment information. A 104(b)3 Grant through EPA Region 4 was awarded in June 2001 to the Tracy Farmer Center for the Environment to develop this pathogens TMDL. The project is titled; Diagnostic Watershed Model for Pathogen Speciation and Mitigation. The project will investigate the use various techniques to identify the source of pathogen impairment in addition to developing the pathogens TMDL. A TMDL for pathogens for Eagle Creek is currently under development. Detailed data for use in the development of the TMDL were collected during the summers of 2002 and 2003, and a comprehensive HSPF model has been developed. It is anticipated that the TMDL for these streams will be completed by June 2004.

Town Branch/South Elkhor	rn Creek Nutrient TMDL		
The Individual Assessment	s Related to this TMDL are:		
(1) Town Branch of South	Elkhorn Creek	Fayette County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 11.	3	Segment Length:	11.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	ces) - RM 0.0 to 8.8, Mu	nicipal Point
-	Sources - RM 0.0 to 10.3, Urban R	unoff/Storm Sewers - R	M 0.0 to 11.3

This listing for aquatic life replaces the 1998 303(d) listing, which showed Town Branch as being in nonsupport of the aquatic life designated use. See 1st Priority Listings.

(2) South Elkhorn Creek of Elkhorn Creek		Scott/Woodford Counti	es
From River Mile 16.4 to 34.0		Segment Length:	17.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm S	ewers, Municipal Point S	Sources

This listing for nutrients was included in the 1998 303(d) Report (2nd Priority). The 2000 305(b) Report showed that this reach fully supported the designated uses of aquatic life (based on 1998 assessment data). However, the metrics that the Water Quality Branch has been using to assess aquatic life use are being redefined based on ecological regions. As a result, this reach of South Elkhorn Creek was changed in the 2000 305(b) Report from fully supporting to partially supporting the aquatic life use. The cause has been identified as nutrients. Therefore, South Elkhorn Creek cannot be formally delisted for nutrients. As part of a 104(b)3 contract, the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute is developing the TMDL for nutrients for Town Branch and for this portion of South Elkhorn Creek below Town Branch based on the 1998 303(d) listing. The nutrient TMDL has been submitted to EPA Region 4 for informal approval.

A TMDL for pathogens for South Elkhorn Creek (including Town Branch and Wolf Run) is currently under development. Detailed data for use in the development of the TMDL were collected during the summers of 2002 and 2003, and a comprehensive HSPF model has been developed for each of the watersheds. It is anticipated that the TMDL for these streams will be completed by June 2004.

A similar TMDL for Cane Run is also under development. Final completion of this TMDL has been delayed due to the extensive karst influences in the watersheds that have necessitated more advanced modeling efforts and subsequent data collection.

Town Branch/Wolf Run/S Elkhorn Creek/Cane Run Pathogens TMDL

Agriculture

Suspected Sources:

	ts related to this TMDL are:		
(1) Town Branch of South Elkhorn Creek		Fayette County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 11		Segment Length:	11.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	ces) - RM 0.0 to 8.8, Mur	nicipal Point
	Sources - RM 0.0 to 10.3, Urban R	Runoff/Storm Sewers - RI	M 0.0 to 11.3
(2) Wolf Run of Town Bra		Fayette County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.1		Segment Length:	4.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		
(3) Cane Run of North Elkhorn Creek Fayette/Scott Counties			
From River Mile 9.6 to 17		Segment Length:	7.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	Segment Length.	7.0
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
	-	oulture (Grazing related s	Sources)
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agrie	culture (Grazing-related 3	sources)
(4) South Elkhorn Creek o	f Elkhorn Creek	Scott/Woodford Count	ies
From River Mile 16.4 to 3	4.0	Segment Length:	17.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm S	Sewers, Municipal Point	Sources
	D'ann	English Constant	
Elkhorn Creek of Kentucky River		Franklin County	17.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 17.		Segment Length:	17.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		

The 1998 303(d) Report showed this stream segment as partially supporting swimming use. The current listing makes the 1998 303(d) listing no longer relevant. Data are currently being collected at five sites along the stream reach for TMDL development.

The following streams were targeted for TMDL monitoring as defined in the 2003 Monitoring Plan for the KDOW. These streams were selected based largely on a priority ranking by the Kentucky River Basin Team. Monitoring will be conducted during the period April 2003 through February 2004 with TMDL development planned to occur over the two-year period following data collection. The following streams are included in this effort:

Baughman Fork of Boone	Creek	Fayette County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7		Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low	v DO	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es, Pasture Grazing – Ri	parian and/or
-	Upland), Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants - Small	Flows)

Benson Creek of the Kentu	<u>cky River</u>	Franklin County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.6		Segment Length:	4.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alteration		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification	(Other than Hydromodifi	cation)

The KDOW is currently involved in collecting suspended sediment and stream morphology information on streams in the Benson Creek watershed. Data and information are currently (April 2003 to February 2004) being collected to produce a nutrient and siltation TMDL for the Benson Creek Watershed.

Benson Creek of Kentucky	<u>River</u> Fra	anklin County	
From River Mile 4.6 to 6.7	Se	egment Length:	2.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alteration ((Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewe	ers (Highway/Road/B	ridge Runoff),
	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systematics)	stems – Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
	Pipes) Habitat Modification (Other than	n Hydromodification)

The KDOW is currently involved in collecting suspended sediment and stream morphology information on streams in the Benson Creek watershed. Data and information are currently (April 2003 to February 2004) being collected to produce a nutrient and siltation TMDL for the Benson Creek Watershed.

Benson Creek of the Kentu	<u>cky River</u>	Franklin County	
From River Mile 6.7 to 13.4	4	Segment Length:	6.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alteration, Nutrie	ents	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm S	Sewers (Highway/Road/H	Bridge Runoff),
	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic and/or	Straight Pipes)
	Habitat Modification (Other than H	Iydromodification)	

The 2002 303(d) Report should have included nutrients. Data and information are currently (April 2003 to February 2004) being collected to produce a nutrient and siltation TMDL for the Benson Creek Watershed. The KDOW is currently involved in collecting suspended sediment and stream morphology information on streams in the Benson Creek watershed. Initial indications are that the siltation is being produced near the headwaters of the stream.

Boone Creek of Kentucky I	River_	Fayette/Clark Counties	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.4		Segment Length:	7.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es), Municipal Point Sou	rces (Package
_	Plants - Small Flows)	_	-

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and pathogens TMDL for the Boone/Baughman Creek Watershed.

Boone Creek of Kentucky River		Fayette/Clark Counties	
From River Mile 7.4 to 12.	5	Segment Length:	5.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es)	

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and pathogens TMDL for the Boone/Baughman Creek Watershed.

East Hickman Creek of Hic	<u>kman Creek</u>	Fayette County	
From River Mile 4.2 to 10.2	2	Segment Length:	6.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aq	uatic life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers,	Agriculture (Grazing-related	Sources)

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and pathogens TMDL for the East Hickman Creek Watershed.

East Hickman Creek of Hickman Creek		Fayette County	
From River Mile 12.6 to 14	.0	Segment Length:	1.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and pathogens TMDL for the East Hickman Creek Watershed.

Goose Creek of Benson Cre	eek Shelby County
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8	Segment Length: 1.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow), Unknown
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodification), Urban
	Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Road/Bridge Runoff)

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and siltation TMDL for the Benson Creek Watershed.

Goose Creek of Benson Cre	eek_	Shelby County	
From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2		Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow	<i>r</i>)	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es - Pasture Grazing –	Riparian and/or
-	Upland)	-	_

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and siltation TMDL for the Benson Creek Watershed.

Hickman Creek of Kentuck	<u>y River</u>	Jessamine County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 25.0)	Stream Segment:	25.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es), Urban Runoff/Storm	Sewers

Data were collected in 2003-2004 to develop a TMDL.

Lower Howard Creek of Ke	entucky River	Clark County	
From River Mile 2.7 to 6.2		Segment Length:	3.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low	v DO	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Agriculture (Grazing-rel	lated Sources), Hydrome	odification
_	(Upstream Impoundment)		

A reevaluation of the assessment was made and it was deemed that the lower end of the impaired segment should be at River Mile 2.6. Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen TMDL for the Lower Howard Creek Watershed.

McConnell Run of North F	ork Elkhorn Creek	Scott County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4		Segment Length:	4.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es - Pasture Grazing -	Riparian and/or
	Upland)		

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and siltation TMDL for the McConnell Run Watershed.

North Benson Creek of Ben	nson Creek Fra	ranklin County	
From River Mile 0.8 to 2.0	Se	egment Length:	1.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DC	O, Habitat Alteration ((Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Construction, Urban Runo	off/Storm Sewers	
	(Highway/Road/Bridge Runoff)		

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a siltation and organic enrichment/low DO (more appropriately defined as nutrients) TMDL for the North Benson Creek of Benson Creek Watershed.

North Fork North Benson C	Creek	Franklin County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2		Segment Length:	2.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low I	DO, Habitat Alteration	(Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Construction (Land Dev	velopment, Habitat Moo	dification (Other
	than Hydromodification) - Removal	of Riparian Vegetation	

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. A reevaluation of the assessment was made based on new metrics and the reevaluation indicates that the assessment should be deemed inconclusive. The stream will be included in the 2004 303(d) Report until further data are collected.

Potter Fork of Boone Fork From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems – Septic Tanks and/or Straight
_	Pipes)

EPA is in the review process of allocating \$1.5 Million to Fleming-Neon for sewer lines for several basins, including Potter Fork. There is also apparently PRIDE, COE, and state revolving fund monies that are targeted for the project. All sources of funding will result in \$3.5 Million for this effort. There is apparently a two-year time horizon for this effort.

Data were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce an organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen TMDL. It may be more appropriately defined as a nutrient TMDL) for the Potter Creek Watershed. This data will in effect act as pre-BMP data for the watershed. Once the area has been sewered, and allowing for some time for stream recovery, follow-up biological monitoring would be done to assess the stream for aquatic life use. Water quality monitoring would also be appropriate to define nutrient levels in the stream, particularly during low-flow conditions.

Swift Camp Creek of Red River		Wolfe County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 13.6		Segment Length:	13.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to attempt to define the cause of the impairment and to produce a siltation and/or nutrient TMDL for the Swift Camp Creek watershed if appropriate.

Tate Creek of Kentucky Riv	ver	Madison County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.5	:	Segment Length:	6.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Major Mun	nicipal Point Sources),	Agriculture
	(Crop-related Sources), Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es)

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient and organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen TMDL for the Tate Creek Watershed.

UT of Swift Camp Cr at R	iver Mile 11.7	Wolfe County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.5		Segment Length:	1.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Habitat Modification (Other than H	Iydromodification) - Rer	noval of Riparian
	Vegetation, Urban Runoff/Storm S	ewers (Erosion and Sedi	mentation), Land
	Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Syste	ms – Septic Tanks and/c	or Straight Pipes)

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a siltation TMDL.

West Hickman Creek of Hi	ckman Creek	Jessamine County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0		Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life	(Partial Support), Swimming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Pat	hogens	
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runof	f/Storm Sewers, Municipal Point Sources (Majo	or Municipal
_	Point Sources	3)	_

The Lexington/West Hickman WWTP is located at the upstream end of this segment. As of August 2001, the Lexington/West Hickman WWTP has an effluent limit of 1.0 mg/L for total phosphorus as a monthly average for the period May through October. This should improve conditions in the stream with respect to nutrients. Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a pathogens, nutrient, and siltation TMDL for West Hickman Creek.

West Hickman Creek of Hickman Creek		Jessamine/Fayette Cour	nty
From River Mile 3.0 to 8.6		Segment Length:	5.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterat	ion (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		

Data and information were collected April 2003 to February 2004 to produce a nutrient, siltation, and pathogens TMDL for West Hickman Creek.

Section 2.4.2 Salt/Licking River Basin Unit

Salt River Basin

Brooks Run Watershed Nutrient, Pathogens, and Organic Enrichment/Low DO TMDL			
Bullitt County	From RM 0.0 to 6.1	Segment Length:	6.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimr	ning (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Path	nogens	
Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package	Plants – Small Flows)	

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The TMDL for organic enrichment/low DO and pathogens has been submitted to EPA Region 4 for formal approval. A Bullitt County Sanitation Board has been established and the County has purchased three of the small package WWTPs that had some of the poorest records. The development of the Sanitation Board and the purchase of a number of WWTPs in the watershed are first steps in the regionalization of wastewater treatment in the area. The area has seen tremendous growth in recent years, and this growth is projected to continue. The area is just south of Louisville and Jefferson County.

Mussin Branch of Moore C	reek Marion County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.7	Segment Length:	1.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	рН	
Suspected Sources:	Construction (Highway/Road/Bridge Construction)	

This stream was listed in the 1998 303(d) Report as partially supporting aquatic life and swimming, but is now considered to be in nonsupport. A pH value of 2.7 and 2.9 was recorded during low-flow periods, prompting the change. Disturbed shale from road construction activities has resulted in low pH in this stream. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet has been contacted concerning this problem. Data collection and TMDL development are currently being done using EPA Region 4 104(b)3 funds.

UT of Brooks Run at River	Mile 4.1 Bullitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.0	Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Nutrients	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants – Small Flows)	

The TMDL for pathogens and organic enrichment/low DO was resubmitted to EPA Region 4 for informal approval. A Bullitt County Sanitation Board was established and the county purchased three small package WWTPs that had some of the poorest records. The development of the Sanitation Board and the purchase of a number of WWTPs in the watershed are first steps in the regionalization of wastewater treatment in the area. The area has seen tremendous growth in recent years, and this growth is projected to continue. The area is just south of Louisville and Jefferson County.

UT of Rolling Fork at River	: Mile 94.6	Marion County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.6		Segment Length:	0.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aqu	atic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	pH		
Suspected Sources:	Construction (Highway/Road/	Bridge Construction)	

This stream was listed in the 1998 303(d) Report as partially supporting aquatic life and swimming, but is now considered to be in nonsupport. A pH value of 4.6 was recorded during a low-flow period, prompting the change. Disturbed shale from road construction activities has resulted in low pH in this stream. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet has been contacted concerning this problem. Data collection and TMDL development are currently being done using EPA Region 4 104(b)3 funds.

Licking River Basin

Fleming Creek Watershed Nutrient and Organic Enrichment/Low DO TMDL The TMDLs for nutrients and/or organic enrichment/low DO are currently under development by an EPA contractor using EPA Region 4 FFY2000 104(b)3 set-aside funds (1) Allison Creek of Fleming Creek Fleming County From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7 Segment Length: 4.7 Impaired Use(s): Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Pollutant(s): Nutrients (Phosphorus), Organic Enrichment/Low DO Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations and Grazing-related Suspected Sources: Sources) This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The TMDL for pathogens is approved. See Salt/Licking River Unit - Approved TMDLs - Fleming Creek Watershed. (2) Craintown Branch of Fleming Creek Fleming County From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5 Segment Length: 3.5 Impaired Use(s): Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Pollutant(s): Nutrients (Phosphorus) Suspected Sources: Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations and Grazing-related Sources) (3) Doty Creek of Fleming Creek Fleming County From River Mile 0.0 to 4.0 Segment Length: 4.0 Impaired Use(s): Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport) Pollutant(s): Organic Enrichment/Low DO Suspected Sources: Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources, Pasture Grazing - Riparian and/or Upland), Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations and Grazingrelated Sources) (4) Fleming Creek of Licking River Fleming County Segment Length: From River Mile 0.0 to 39.2 39.2 Impaired Use(s): Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients (Phosphorus), Organic Enrichment/Low DO Pollutant(s): Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations and Grazing-related Suspected Sources: Sources), Municipal Point Sources (5) Logan Run of Fleming Creek Fleming County From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3 Segment Length: 2.3 Impaired Use(s): Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport) Pollutant(s): Organic Enrichment/Low DO Suspected Sources: Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations and Grazing-related Sources)

Section 2.4.3 Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit

Upper Cumberland Basin

Cane Branch of Middle Fork (Beaver Creek)		McCreary County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.0		Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimn	ning (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine D	rainage)	

The TMDL for low pH is currently under development using EPA Region 4 FFY2001 104(b)3 grant funds. EPA has commented and informally approved. KDOW staff incorporated EPA recommendations.

Copperas Fork of Cooper C	reek	McCreary County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.8		Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dra	ainage).	
	1	ainage).	

The TMDL for low pH is currently under development using EPA Region 4 FFY2001 104(b)3 grant funds. EPA has commented and informally approved. KDOW staff incorporated EPA recommendations.

Rock Creek of South Fork Cumberland River		McCreary County	
From River mile 0.0 to 4.1		Segment Length:	4.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Sw	imming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine D	Drainage).	

The latest assessment information indicates that the stream reach has improved to partially supporting of the aquatic life and swimming uses. This is a result of the intensive remediation effort that is taking place by the Department of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (Division of Abandoned Mine Lands) in the White Oak Creek watershed, which drains to Rock Creek at RM 4.1. The TMDL for low pH for Rock Creek (which will include White Oak Creek) has been informally approved.

Ryans Creek of Jellico Cree	<u>ek</u> McCreary/ Whitley County
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.3	Segment Length: 5.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Low pH
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage).

The TMDL for low pH has been informally approved.

White Oak Creek of Rock O	Creek	McCreary County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.2		Segment Length:	4.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swin	nming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	рН		
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction		

The TMDL for low pH for Rock Creek (which will include White Oak Creek) is currently under development using EPA Region 4 FFY2001 104(b)3 grant funds. EPA has commented and informally approved. KDOW staff incorporated EPA recommendations.

Wildcat Branch of Cumberland River		Pulaski County
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.1		Segment Length:
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine I	Drainage)

The TMDL for low pH is currently under development using EPA Region 4 FFY2001 104(b)3 grant funds. EPA has commented and informally approved. KDOW staff incorporated EPA recommendations.

2.1

Section 2.4.4 Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit

Green River Basin

Beech Creek of Pond Creek	Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4	Segment Length:	3.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

Data collection was done by KDOW personnel. The Tracy Farmer Center for the Environment is currently developing the TMDL as part of the EPA FFY2001 104(b)3 Grant awarded to Kentucky.

Butchers Branch of Blackfor	ord Creek Hancock County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3	Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

Data collection was done by KDOW personnel. The Tracy Farmer Center for the Environment is currently developing the TMDL as part of the EPA FFY2001 104(b)3 Grant awarded to Kentucky.

Cypress Creek of Pond Riv	er Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 22.9 to 25	Segment Length: 2.1	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swimming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

Data collection and TMDL development are being done by the Tracy Farmer Center for the Environment using FFY2001 319 Assessment Grant funding. This TMDL is being combined with the TMDL for the Cypress Creek from RM 25.0 to 33.3.

Cypress Creek of Pond Riv	er	Muhlenburg Counties	
From River Mile 25.0 to 33	3.3	Segment Length:	8.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimn	quatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine D	rainage)	

Data collection and TMDL development are being done by the Tracy Farmer Center for the Environment using FFY2001 319 Assessment Grant funding. This TMDL is being combined with the TMDL for the Cypress Creek reach from RM 22.9 to 25.0.

Drakes Creek of Pond River	r Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.5	Segment Length:	8.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

Data collection and TMDL development for pH are being done by the Tracy Farmer Center for the Environment using FFY2001 319 Assessment Grant funding. Information collected as part of the TMDL development indicates that most of this main stem reach is not impaired for low pH. There were only sporadic exceedances that occurred immediately below selected tributaries. Remediation of a large section of the watershed has been completed, including grading, limestone layering, topsoiling, seeding, and placing hay bails to limit sediment runoff. The tributary from this area has been stabilized and lined with limestone aggregate.

Flat Creek of Pond River	Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.0	6 Segment Length:	10.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

The TMDL is currently being developed by the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute.

Pond Creek of Green River	Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 9.4 to 23.8	8 Segment Length:	23.8
Impaired Use(s):	quatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

The TMDL is currently being developed by the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute.

Render Creek of Lewis Cre	ek Ohio County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.3	Segment Length:	3.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

The Tracy Farmer Center for the Environment is currently developing the TMDL as part of the EPA FFY2001 104(b)3 Grant awarded to Kentucky.

Section 2.4.5 Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit

Although Kentucky does not have any TMDLs currently under development in the Big and Little Sandy/Tygarts Unit, West Virginia does have a TMDL that is being developed for the Tug Fork from RM 0.0 to 58.8 for iron and aluminum. The results of the TMDL affect Kentucky because the Tug Fork is a shared waterbody between West Virginia and Kentucky for this segment.

Section 2.4.6 Ohio River Main Stem

None.

Section 2.4.7 Lakes and Reservoirs

Herrington Lake		Kentucky River Unit
Garrard/Boyle/Mercer Cou	nties	Acres: 2,940
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Nutr	rients
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agricultu	ire

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. A report defining phosphorus loads and sources was produced by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), *Modeling Hydrodynamic and Water Quality in Herrington Lake, Kentucky*, USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 99-4281. However, the lake model was calibrated using data from a hydrologically wet year. This resulted in exceptionally high phosphorus loadings, such that even significant reductions in loading resulted in only a minor improvement in lake water quality. Ideally, modeling is done using data representing various hydrologic conditions to better assess the effects of load reductions on lake water quality. Additional modeling for other flow conditions is planned.

Section 2.5.1 Kentucky River Basin Unit

Section 2.5.1.1 1st Priority Listings

Balls Fork of Troublesome	Creek	Knott County	
From River Mile 8.3 to 11.	3	Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sourc	e	1
	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	ces - Pasture Grazing	– Riparian and/or
	Upland), Urban Runoff/Storm Sewe	ers (Erosion and Sedim	entation)

A reevaluation of the assessment based on new metrics indicated that this stream is in nonsupport of the aquatic life use and not partial support (as was indicated in the 2000 305(b) Report).

Benson Creek of the Kentu	<u>cky River</u>	Franklin County	
From River Mile 6.7 to 13.	4	Segment Length:	6.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alteration, Nutrie	ents	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm	Sewers (Highway/Road	d/Bridge Runoff),
	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	r Systems – Septic and/	or Straight Pipes)
	Habitat Modification (Other than H	lydromodification)	

See TMDLs Under Development.

Big Willard Creek of North	Fork Kentucky River	Perry County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.5		Segment Length:	4.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Habitat Alter	ation, Flow Alterations,	Total Dissolved
	Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration	on, and Residue Manage	ement), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, A	bandoned, and Inactive	Mining), Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydro	omodification) - Remo	val of Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization	

Boone Creek of Kentucky l	River	Fayette/Clark Counties	
From River Mile 7.4 to 12.	5	Segment Length:	5.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es)	

Buck Run of Eagle Creek	Owen County		
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.9	Segment Length:		0.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Noxious Aquatic Plants		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources - Pasture Gra	azing,	Riparian and/or
	Upland)		

The original assessment was made in August of 1998 and identified the entire reach as being impaired. A field reconnaissance of this site was conducted on September 18, 2001 to determine the extent of the impairment. The impairment is only in the lower end of the watershed, from River Mile 0.0 to 0.9.

Buckhorn Creek of Trouble	some Creek	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3		Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimi	ming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alterati	ons, Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow),
	Total Dissolved Solids, Pathogens	6	
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restorated	tion, and Residue Manag	gement), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, A	Abandoned, and Inactive	Mining), Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydr	romodification) - Reme	oval of Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification	on/Destabilization, Land	Disposal (Onsite
	Wastewater Systems - Septic Tan	ks and/or Straight Pipes)	

Cane Creek of North Fork	Kentucky River	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.5		Segment Length:	9.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
	Pipes), Municipal Point Sources		

See Approved TMDLs-North Fork Kentucky River and tributaries.

Cane Creek of Red River		Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1		Segment Length:	3.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es)	
Cane Run of North Elkhorn	Creek	Scott County	

From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0	Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources - Pasture Grazing -	- Riparian and/or
	Upland), Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated C	Crop Production),
	Hydromodification (Flow Altered by Bridge).	

Cane Run of North Elkhorn	Creek	Scott County	
From River Mile 3.0 to 9.6		Segment Length:	6.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic l	Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package	Plants – Small Flows), L	and Disposal,
	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es), Construction (Highw	ay/Road/Bridge
	Construction)		
Cane Run of North Flkhorn	Crook	Favette/Scott Counties	

<u>i Creek</u> Fayette/Scott Countie	S
4 Segment Length:	7.8
Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Pathogens	
Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agriculture (Grazing-related	Sources)
	4 Segment Length: Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport) Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Pathogens

The 1998 303(d) Report listed the segment from 10.0 to 17.4 as impaired. A Federal Fiscal Year 2001 319 Assessment Grant to develop the pathogens TMDL was approved by all parties and data collection has been completed. The grant was awarded to the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute. See Kentucky River Unit – TMDLs Under Development – Town Branch/Wolf Run/ South Elkhorn Creek/Cane Run Pathogens TMDL. Detailed data for use in the development of the TMDL were collected during the summers of 2002 and 2003, and a comprehensive HSPF model has been developed for each of the watersheds. It is anticipated that the TMDL for these streams will be completed by June 2004. Final completion of this TMDL has been delayed due to the extensive karst influences in the watersheds which have necessitated more advanced modeling efforts and additional data collection.

ntucky River	Perry County	
	Segment Length:	8.9
Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pathogens		
A N	Systems – Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
	Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens	Segment Length: Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems – Septic Tanks

See Approved TMDLs-North Fork Kentucky River and tributaries.

<u>Clarks Run of Dix River</u> From River Mile 4.3 to 6.6	Boyle County Segment Length: 2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Pesticides, Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Municipal Point Sources (Major Municipal
	Point Sources – Dry or Wet Weather)

Dix River of Kentucky Riv From River Mile 33.0 to 30		Garrard County Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

Eagle Creek of Kentucky River			
River Mile 14.4 to 27.3			
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

Owen/Gallatin/Grant CountiesSegment Length:12.9

See TMDLs Under Development for Pathogens and Approved Delistings for Nutrients.

East Hickman Creek of Hic	kman Creek	Fayette County	
From River Mile 4.2 to 10.2	2	Segment Length:	6.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agric	culture (Grazing-related S	Sources)

See TMDLs Under Development

Fayette County
Segment Length: 1.4
rt)
ewers

See TMDLs Under Development.

Elkhorn Creek of Kentucky	<u>River</u> Franklin Co	unty	
From River Mile 0.0 to 17.	8 Segment Le	ngth: 17.8	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish Consumption (part	rtial)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Mercury		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources), Unknown	1	

Grapevine Creek of North l	Fork Kentucky River	Perry County
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1		Segment Length: 1.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteration	ns, Habitat Alteration, Total Dissolved
	Solids	
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio	n, and Residue Management), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, Al	bandoned, and Inactive Mining), Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydromo	dification) - Removal of Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization

Hanging Fork of Dix River	Lincoln County
From Rile Mile 0.0 to 15.0	Segment Length: 15.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources), Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater
	Systems – Septic Tanks and/or Straight Pipes)

Hardwick Creek of Red Riv	ver	Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2		Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es), Land Disposal (Onsi	te Wastewater
-	Systems – Septic Tanks and/or Stra	ight Pipes)	
Hawes Fork of Quicksand G	Creek	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4		Segment Length:	4.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteration	ns, Habitat Alteration (O	ther than Flow),
	Total Dissolved Solids		
Suspected Sources	Silviculture (Harvesting Restoration	n and Residue Manager	nent) Resource

Suspected Sources:Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration, and Residue Management), Resource
Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, Abandoned, and Inactive Mining), Habitat
Modification (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian
Vegetation and Bank Modification/Destabilization

Total Dissolved Solids has been added as a pollutant of concern based on specific conductivity data.

Hunting Creek of Quicksan		Breathitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.6		Segment Length:	2.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteration	ns, Habitat Alteration	
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio	on, and Residue Manage	ement), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, Al	bandoned, and Inactive	Mining), Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydromo	dification) - Removal o	f Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization	_

Judy Creek of Red River		Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.5		Segment Length:	1.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Review of ADB on Septem	ber 8, 2003 identifies impaired RM s	segment to be 0.0 to 1.5.	This updates the
2002 303(d) List which ide	ntified impaired RM segment as RM	0.0 to 1.4	

Kentucky River of Ohio Ri From River Mile 150.2 to		Madison/Fayette/Jessa Counties	mine/Clark
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	Segment Length:	40.6
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens	6 6	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Left Fork Millstone Creek	of Millstone Creek	Letcher County	
From River Mile 1.5 to 2.7		Segment Length:	1.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimn	ning (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction		

Swimming was not shown as an impaired use in the 2002 303(d) Report but should be included because low pH is a pollutant of concern.

Long Fork of Buckhorn Cr From River Mile 0.0 to 4.6		Breathitt County Segment Length:	4.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	~	
Pollutant(s):	Total Dissolved Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction		
Lost Creek of Troublesome	e Creek	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 3.8 to 10.	2	Segment Length:	6.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteration	ns, Habitat Alteration, To	otal Dissolved
	Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration	n, and Residue Manager	nent), Resource
-	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, Al	bandoned, and Inactive N	(Ining), Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydromo	dification) - Removal of	Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	<i>·</i>	L
	6		

Lotts Creek of North Fork	Kentucky River	Perry County	
From River Mile 1.2 to 6.0		Segment Length:	4.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat	Alteration (Other than H	Flow), Turbidity,
	Total Dissolved Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio	n, and Residue Manager	ment), Resource
	Extraction (Surface Mining, Subsur	face Mining, Abandone	d Mining, and
	Inactive Mining), Habitat Modifica	tion (Other than Hydron	nodification) –
	Removal of Riparian Vegetation an	d Bank Modification/De	estabilization

Total dissolved solids has been added as a pollutant of concern based on specific conductivity data.

Lower Howard Creek of Ke	entucky River	Clark County	
From River Mile 2.7 to 6.2		Segment Length:	3.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low	v DO	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Agriculture (Grazing-rel	ated Sources), Hydromo	dification
	(Upstream Impoundment)		

Muddy Creek of Kentucky	River	Madison County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 20.	2	Segment Length:	20.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc	es)	
North Elkhorn Creek of Ell	<u>khorn Creek</u>	Fayette County	
From River Mile 65.0 to 73	3.7	Segment Length:	8.7
\mathbf{I}_{1}			
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Pathogens, Habitat Alterations (Otl		
1		ner than Flow)	

<u>North Fork Kentucky Rive</u> From River Mile (main ster Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	m) 0.0 to 162.6 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Pipes), Municipal Point Sources		162.6
See Approved TMDLs – N	orth Fork Kentucky River and Tribu	taries.	
North Fork Kentucky Rive From River Mile 142.6 to Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	odification), Agriculture	
North Fork Kentucky Rive From River Mile 147.7 to 1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		nent), Agriculture (Crop Agriculture (Grazing-r	-related Sources -
Potter Fork of Boone Fork From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Organic Enrichment/Low DO Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Pipes)	Letcher County Segment Length: r Systems – Septic Tan	4.4 ks and/or Straight
See TMDLs Under Development.			
Quicksand Creek of North From River Mile 20.8 to 29 Impaired Use(s):		Breathitt County Segment Length:	8.6
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteratio Solids	ns, Habitat Alteration, T	otal Dissolved
Suspected Sources:	Solids Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, A Modification (Other than Hydrome	bandoned, and Inactive N	Mining), Habitat

Modification (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Vegetation and Bank Modification/Destabilization

Rattlesnake Creek of Eagle Creek		
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.2		
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown	

Grant County Segment Length:

1.2

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. A reevaluation of the assessment was made, and it was deemed inappropriate to use fish to assess this habitat type (slick bedrock). However, the stream will remain on the 303(d) list until an assessment is made of data collected in 2003.

Red Bird River of South For From River Mile 0.0 to 15.	÷	Clay County Segment Length:	15.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	Segment Length	10.0
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems – Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
	Pipes)		
Rockhouse Creek of North	Fork Kentucky River	Letcher County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.6		Segment Length:	3.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Turbidity, Flo	w Alterations, Habitat Al	lteration, Total
	Dissolved Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
	Pipes), Silviculture (Harvesting, Re	estoration, and Residue M	lanagement),
	Resource Extraction (Surface, Subs	surface, Abandoned, and	Inactive Mining),
	Habitat Modification (Other than H	ydromodification) - Rem	noval of Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization	
Silver Creek of Kentucky F	River	Madison County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.		Segment Length:	10.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		
South Elkhorn Creek of Elk	khorn Creek	Woodford County	

South Elkhorn Creek of Elk	thorn Creek	Woodford County	
From River Mile 34.0 to 35	5.2	Segment Length:	1.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Habitat Modification (Other than H	Hydromodification), Hyd	romodification

This reach of South Elkhorn Creek is upstream of the confluence with Town Branch. The assessment information indicates that there has been Riparian Vegetation removal along the stream bank in this area.

Spring Fork of Quicksand C	<u>Creek</u>	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 3.1. to 6.9		Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteration	ns, Habitat Alteration, To	otal Dissolved
	Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio	n, and Residue Managen	nent), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, Ab		
	Modification (Other than Hydromod	dification) - Removal of	Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization.	

Stump Cave Branch of Sou	th Fork Red River	Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.4		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Petroleum A	ctivities)	

This stream was not listed in the 1998 303(d) report, but was included in the approved TMDL that included South Fork Red River and Sand Lick Fork. An assessment was not made for Stump Cave Branch in 1998, but the 1998 assessment information for South Fork Red River and Sand Lick Fork shows that these two stream segments fully support the aquatic life use. Stump Cave was not sampled in 2003. Assessment is scheduled for this year. South Fork Red River (the receiving stream) had exceptional water quality in 1998, and KDOW anticipates that the Salinity/TDS/Chlorides issue has been abated. See Kentucky River Unit – Approved TMDLs.

Sulphur Creek of Drennon Creek		Henry County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.4		Segment Length:	1.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO, Habitat Alteration	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodific	cation)

Tate Creek of Kentucky Ri	Madison County
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.5	Segment Length: 6.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Major Municipal Point Sources), Agriculture
	(Crop-related Sources), Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources)

Town Branch of South Elk	horn Creek	Fayette County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.2	3	Segment Length:	10.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic l	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Organic Enric	chment/Low DO	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc	es) - RM 0.0 to 8.8, Mu	nicipal Point
	Sources - RM 0.0 to 10.3, Urban Ru	unoff/Storm Sewers – R	M 0.0 to 11.3

The TMDL is currently being developed for nutrients for Town Branch and for a portion of South Elkhorn Creek immediately below Town Branch. That TMDL has been submitted to EPA Region 4 for informal approval. See TMDLs Under Development – Town Branch/South Elkhorn Creek Nutrient TMDL. A Federal Fiscal Year 2001 319 Assessment Grant to develop the pathogens TMDL was approved and data collection has been completed. The grant was awarded to the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute. See TMDLs Under Development – Town Branch/Wolf Run/ South Elkhorn Creek/Cane Run Pathogens TMDL. A TMDL for pathogens for South Elkhorn Creek (including Town Branch and Wolf Run) is currently under development. Detailed data for use in the development of the TMDL were collected during the summers of 2002 and 2003, and a comprehensive HSPF model has been developed for each of the watersheds. It is anticipated that the TMDL for these streams will be completed by June 2004.

Town Branch of South Elkl	horn Creek	Fayette County	
From River Mile 10.3 to 11	3	Segment Length:	1.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Organic Enric	chment/Low DO, Flow A	Alterations
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es) – RM 0.0 to 8.8, Mu	nicipal Point
	Sources - RM 0.0 to 10.3, Urban Ru	unoff/Storm Sewers – R	M 0.0 to 11.3

See Town Branch 0.0 to 10.3 and TMDLs Under Development.

Troublesome Creek of Nor	th Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt/Perry/Knott	Counties
From River Mile 0.0 to 25.	2	Segment Length:	25.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic Tank	ks and/or Straight
	Pipes), Municipal Point Sources		

See Approved TMDLs - North Fork Kentucky River and Tributaries.

Troublesome Creek of Nor	th Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt/Perry/Knott Counties
From River Mile 25.2 to 31	1.4	Segment Length: 6.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic l	Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Turbidity, Flo	w Alterations, Habitat Alteration, Total
	Dissolved Solids	
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems – Septic Tanks and/or Straight
	Pipes), Municipal Point Sources, Si	lviculture (Harvesting, Restoration, and
	Residue Management), Resource E	xtraction (Surface, Subsurface,
	Abandoned, and Inactive Mining),	Habitat Modification (Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of F	Riparian Vegetation and Bank
	Modification/Destabilization	

See Approved TMDLs - North Fork Kentucky River and Tributaries. Total dissolved solids has been added as a pollutant of concern based on specific conductivity data.

Troublesome Creek of Nor	th Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt/Perry/Knott	Counties
From River Mile 31.4 to 49	9.5	Segment Length:	18.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
	Pipes), Municipal Point Sources		

The approved TMDL, "Removing Fecal Pollution from the North Fork Kentucky River Basin" includes this stream segment. See Approved TMDLs – North Fork Kentucky River and Tributaries Pathogens TMDL.

UT of Baughman Fork at River Mile 2.6		Fayette County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1		Segment Length:	1.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low	w DO	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources		

The listing was incorrectly shown in the 1998 303(d) Report as Baughman Fork. The TMDL for nutrients and organic enrichment/low DO is approved and enforcement action has been taken against the Blue Sky WWTP. The case is currently under litigation.

UT of Cane Run at River M From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5		Scott/Fayette Counties Segment Length:	3.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package	Plants – Small Flows), A	griculture
	(Grazing-related Sources)		
UT of N Br of Lulbegrud C	Cr at River Mile 2.6	Montgomery County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2		Segment Length:	2.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
UT of Swift Camp Cr at R	iver Mile 11.7	Wolfe County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.5		Segment Length:	1.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	2 • Binene ZenBen	110
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Habitat Modification (Other than I	Avdromodification) - Rer	noval of Riparian
	Vegetation, Urban Runoff/Storm S	•	1
	Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Syste	•	,.
	Ensposal (Onsite Wastewater Byste	ins septie runks and/o	i Shanghi i ipes)

Wolf Run of Town Branch	Fayette County
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.1	Segment Length: 4.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Hydromodification (Channelization)

The listing for pathogens is from the 1998 303(d) Report. A 319 Assessment Grant to develop the pathogens TMDL was approved and data collection has been completed. The grant was awarded to the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute. See Kentucky River Unit – TMDLs Under Development – Town Branch/Wolf Run/ South Elkhorn Creek/Cane Run Pathogens TMDL. A TMDL for pathogens for South Elkhorn Creek (including Town Branch and Wolf Run) is currently under development. Detailed data for use in the development of the TMDL were collected during the summers of 2002 and 2003, and a comprehensive HSPF model has been developed for each of the watersheds. It is anticipated that the TMDL for these streams will be completed by June 2004.

Section 2.5.1.2 2nd Priority Listings

Arnolds Creek of Ten Mile From River Mile 0.0 to 10.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	8 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation Agriculture (Crop-related Sources,		
	Modification (Other than Hydromo Destabilization	dification) - Bank Modif	ication/
Banta's Fork of Salt River of From River Mile 0.0 to 6.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):		Henry County Segment Length: r than Flow)	6.2
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodifi	cation)
Baughman Fork of Boone (From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7 Impaired Use(s):	<u>Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Fayette County Segment Length:	2.7
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Lov Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland), Municipal Point Sources (es, Pasture Grazing – Rij	
See TMDLs Under Develop	pment.		
Benson Creek of the Kentu From River Mile 0.0 to 4.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	<u>cky River</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration	Franklin County Segment Length:	4.6
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodifi	cation)
See TMDLs Under Develop	pment.		
Benson Creek of Kentucky From River Mile 4.6 to 6.7		Franklin County Segment Length:	2.1
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterat Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm S Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Pipes) Habitat Modification (Other	Sewers (Highway/Road/E Systems – Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
See TMDL & Under Develo	See TMDL & Under Development		

Big Caney Creek of Quicks	and Creek	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 0.3 to 8.0		Segment Length:	7.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Total Dissolved Solids, Siltation, Tu	rbidity, Flow Alteration	s, Habitat
	Alterations (Other then Flow)		
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration	n, Residue Management), Resource
	Extraction (Surface Mining and Aba	ndoned Mining), Habita	at Modifications
	(Removal of Riparian Vegetation an	d Bank Modification/De	estabilization)

Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alterations, and Habitat Alterations (Other then Flow) have been added as pollutants of concern based on a re-evaluation of the assessment information.

Big Twin Creek of Kentucl	<u>ky River</u>	Owen County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.8		Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification	Other than Hydromodifi	cation)
-	-	-	

Boone Creek of Kentucky	River	Fayette/Clark Countie	S
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.4		Segment Length:	7.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Plants - Small Flows)	es), Municipal Point So	urces (Package

Brush Creek of Red River		Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.6		Segment Length:	6.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Bull Creek of Collins Fork		Knox County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.0		Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Dengun	2.0
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-Related Sources	- Nonirrigated Crop Pro	duction)
Carr Fork of North Fork Ke	entucky River	Perry County	
From River Mile 15.8 to 26		Segment Length:	10.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction		

Cedar Creek of Kentucky F From River Mile 2.2 to 6.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	River Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification Removal of Riparian Vegetation Construction (Highway/Road/Bridg	on (Other than Hydr and Bank Modificatio	omodification) –
<u>Clarks Run of Dix River</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 4.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Organic Enrichment/Low DO Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Mu Point Sources)	Boyle County Segment Length: nicipal Point Sources (4.3 Major Municipal
Collins Fork of Goose Cree From River Mile 2.4 to 6.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	e <u>k</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation Habitat Modification (Other than H	Clay County Segment Length: lydromodification)	3.9
Cope Fork of Frozen Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 1.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		es - Nonirrigated C ces - Pasture Grazing - lification (Other than H n and Bank Modification	rop Production), - Riparian and/or ydromodification) on/Destabilization,
Copper Creek of Dix River From River Mile 0.0 to 1.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See Approved Delistings.	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc	Lincoln/Rockcastle Co Segment Length: es)	unties 1.5
Dry Run of North Elkhorn From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Eagle Creek of Kentucky R From River Mile 29.9 to 34	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Nutrients, Unknown Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Upland) Liver 4.5	Scott County Segment Length: es - Pasture Grazing – R Grant County Segment Length:	3.1 iparian and/or 5.6
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Siltation Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc	es), Agriculture (Crop-re	elated Sources)

Eagle Creek of Kentucky R From River Mile 48.6 to 55		Owen/Grant Counties Segment Length:	7.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es), Agriculture (Crop-re	lated Sources)
East Fork Otter Creek of K	entucky River	Madison County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7		Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	(01.1 1 1)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Excessive Algal Growth/		lated Common
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources), Pasture Grazing – Riparian and/or U		lated Sources –
Elk Creek of Eagle Creek		Owen County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.6		Segment Length:	1.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	`	
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow	/	anting)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Removal of Riparian Vegetation	Other than Hydromodine	cation) –
Flat Creek of Kentucky Riv	<u>ver</u>	Franklin County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.1		Segment Length:	7.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other		·• \
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodific	cation)
Goose Creek of Benson Cre	eek	Shelby County	
<u>Goose Creek of Benson Cre</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8	<u>eek</u>	Shelby County Segment Length:	1.8
	eek Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Shelby County Segment Length:	1.8
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8		Segment Length:	1.8
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff)	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Creek</u>	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County	cation), Urban
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Cre</u> From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. <u>eek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length:	cation), Urban 2.3
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Cree</u> From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2 Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. eek Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length:	cation), Urban 2.3
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Creek From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. <u>eek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland)	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length:	cation), Urban 2.3
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Creek From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. <u>eek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland) pment.	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length: /) es - Pasture Grazing – Ri	cation), Urban 2.3
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Cree</u> From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. <u>eek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland) pment.	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length: 7) es - Pasture Grazing – Ri Clay County	cation), Urban 2.3 parian and/or
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Creek</u> From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of South Fork</u>	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. <u>eek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland) pment. <u>Kentucky River</u>	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length: /) es - Pasture Grazing – Ri	cation), Urban 2.3
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Cree</u> From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of South Fork</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 9.3	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. <u>eek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland) pment.	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length: 7) es - Pasture Grazing – Ri Clay County	cation), Urban 2.3 parian and/or
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Cree</u> From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of South Fork</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 9.3 Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. <u>eek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland) pment. <u>Kentucky River</u> Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length: /) es - Pasture Grazing – Ri Clay County Segment Length:	2.3 parian and/or 9.3
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of Benson Creek From River Mile 1.9 to 4.2</u> Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develop <u>Goose Creek of South Fork</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 9.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Ro pment. eek Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland) pment. <u>Kentucky River</u> Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens	Segment Length: than Flow), Unknown Other than Hydromodific oad/Bridge Runoff) Shelby County Segment Length: /) es - Pasture Grazing – Ri Clay County Segment Length:	2.3 parian and/or 9.3

Grant County Segment Length: 6.

6.4

Grassy Run of Eagle CreekFrom River Mile 0.0 to 6.4Impaired Use(s):Aquatic Life (Partial Support)Pollutant(s):Salinity/TDS/ChloridesSuspected Sources:Unknown

See Delisting Requests.

Griers Creek of Kentucky H	River Woodford County
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5	Segment Length: 2.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Habitat Modification (Other than
	Hydromodification)

A reevaluation of the assessment was made and it was deemed more appropriate that the upper end of the impaired segment should be at river mile 2.5 instead of the previous listing of 3.4.

Hammons Fork of Collins I From River Mile 0.0 to 1.9		Knox County Segment Length:	1.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Lengui.	1.9
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO, Habitat Alteration	(Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Collection System Failure, Habitat		
-	Hydromodification) - Bank Modifi	cation/Destabilization ar	nd Highway
	Maintenance and Runoff		
Hatton Creek of Red River		Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0. to 4.2		Segment Length:	4.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Hell Creek of North Fork K	Kentucky River	Lee County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5		Segment Length:	3.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow	v), Total Dissolved Solid	ls
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Silviculture Point Sou	rces), Resource Extraction	on (Surface
	Mining, Petroleum Activities, and I	(nactive Mining)	
Hickman Creek of Kentuck	xv River	Jessamine County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 25.		Stream Segment:	25.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	C	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		

See TMDLs Under Development

Suspected Sources:

Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources), Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers

Holly Creek of North Fork	Kentucky River	Wolfe County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.2		Segment Length:	6.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow	W)	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	- Nonirrigated Crop Prod	luction), Land
	Disposal (Inappropriate Waste Disp	osal/Wildcat Dumping),	Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydromod	dification) - Removal of	Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization	_

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. A reevaluation of the assessment was made based on new metrics and the reevaluation indicates that the assessment should be deemed inconclusive. However, this stream will be included on the 2004 303(d) list until additional data collected in 2003 are analyzed.

Horse Creek of Goose Cree	<u>k</u>	Clay County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.8		Segment Length:	6.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Habitat Modification (Other than H	ydromodification) - Rer	noval of Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization, Agricul	ture (Crop-related
	Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Produc	ction)	

Kentucky River of Ohio River		Carroll/Henry/Owen Counties		
From River Mile 10.8 to 51	1.8	Segment Length:	41.0	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)			
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens			
Suspected Sources:	Unknown			

Kentucky River of Ohio River		Franklin/Mercer/Jessamine/Woodford/	
From River Mile 65.4 to 118.2		Anderson Counties	
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption(Partial Support)	Segment Length:	52.8
Pollutant(s):	Mercury		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

This is a new listing based on fish tissue data.

Kentucky River of Ohio River		Madison/Fayette/Jessamine/Clark	
From River Mile 118.2 to 1	39.0	Counties	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)	Segment Length:	20.8
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

See 2nd Priority Delisting Request.

Kentucky River of Ohio River		Madison/Estill/Clark	Counties
From River Mile 190.8 to 2	201.0	Segment Length:	10.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

See 2nd Priority Delisting Requests.

Lacy Creek of Red River From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown	Wolfe County Segment Length:	1.8
Laurel Creek of Goose Cree From River Mile 3.8 to 4.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Thermal Modifications	Clay County Segment Length:	1.0
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Upland).		
Left Fork Island Creek of Is From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	sland Creek Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Exotic Species	Owsley County Segment Length:	5.0
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	- Nonirrigated Crop Prod	uction)
Exotic species is considered species. This listing is for s	d pollution and not a pollutant. There siltation	efore, a TMDL is not req	uired for exotic
Lick Creek of Eagle Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Othe	Carroll County Segment Length: r than Flow)	2.8

Suspected Sources:Sinanon, Habitat Alterations (Otter than Flow)Suspected Sources:Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources – Pasture Grazing, Upland), Land
Disposal (Inappropriate Waste Disposal/Wildcat Dumping),
Hydromodification (Dredging)

See 2nd Priority Delisting Requests.

Line Fork of Defeated Cree	<u>k</u>	Letcher County	
From River Mile 11.6 to 27	.5	Segment Length:	15.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Pipes)	Systems – Septic Tanks	and/or Straight

Lower Buffalo Creek of So	uth Fork Kentucky River	Owsley County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.4		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Habitat Modification (Other than H	Iydromodification) - Ren	moval of Riparian
	Vegetation		

Lulbegrud Creek of Red Ri From River Mile 0.0 to 7.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	ver Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation Unknown	Clark/Powell Counties Segment Length:	7.3
Lytles Fork of Eagle Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 14.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Upland), Habitat Modification (Oth Riparian Vegetation, Natural Sourc	es - Pasture Grazing – Ri her than Hydromodification	•
McConnell Run of North F From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	ork Elkhorn Creek Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Siltation Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Upland)	Scott County Segment Length: es - Pasture Grazing – Ri	4.4 parian and/or
See TMDLs Under Development.			

Meadow Creek of South Fo	ork Kentucky River	Owsley County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.7		Segment Length:	3.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es – Pasture Grazing - R	iparian and/or
	Upland)		

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. Because metrics were revised, reevaluation of the assessment will be made on 2003 data. The stream is included on the 2004 303(d) list until the stream is re-assessed.

North Benson Creek of Ben	nson Creek Franklin County	
From River Mile 0.8 to 2.0	Segment Length:	1.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Habitat Altera	tion (Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Construction, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewer	S
	(Highway/Road/Bridge Runoff)	

See TMDLs Under Development

North Fork North Benson	Creek	Franklin County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2		Segment Length:	2.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO, Habitat Alteration	(Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Construction (Land De	evelopment, Habitat Mo	dification (Other
	than Hydromodification) - Remova	al of Riparian Vegetation	l

Otter Creek of Kentucky R From River Mile 0.0 to 3.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	iver Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low Municipal Point Sources (Major Ma (Crop-related Sources), Agriculture Grazing – Riparian and/or Upland)	unicipal Point Sources),	U U
Paint Lick Creek of Kentuc	ky River	Garrard/Madison Coun	ties
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.5		Segment Length:	7.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es)	
Plum Creek of Red River		Powell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.9		Segment Length:	2.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Polls Creek of Cutshin Cree	ek	Leslie County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7		Segment Length:	4.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Puncheon Camp Creek of N	Middle Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2		Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	~-88	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Quicksand Creek of North From River Mile 0.55 to 12	2.7	Breathitt County Segment Length:	12.15
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Added because of re-assess	ment of biological metrics of 1998 da	ata.	
Richland Creek of Eagle Ci		Owen County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.8		Segment Length:	0.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -		tion - Tobacc

Agriculture (Crop-related Sources – Specialty Crop Production - Tobacco), Natural Sources (Intense Rainfall-Flooding)

Sawdridge Creek of Cedar (From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2		Owen County Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodific	cation)
Sexton Creek of Goose Cre	<u>ek</u>	Clay County	
From River Mile 9.1 to 16.1	1	Segment Length:	7.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin	nming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources),	Agriculture (Grazing-re	lated Sources),
	Industrial Point Sources		
Silver Creek of Kentucky R	liver	Madison County	
From River Mile 10.9 to 29	0.2	Segment Length:	18.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		

Suspected Sources:Agriculture (Crop-related Sources – Nonirrigated Crop Production,
Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources - Pasture Grazing – Riparian and/or
Upland), Silviculture, Municipal Point Sources

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. Because metrics were revised, reevaluation of the assessment will be made based on data collected in 2003. The stream is included on the 2004 303(d) list until the 2003 data are assessed.

South Elkhorn Creek of Elkhorn Creek		Scott/Woodford Counti	es
From River Mile 16.4 to 34	l.0	Segment Length:	17.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swi	mming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm S	Sewers, Municipal Point S	Sources

South Elkhorn Creek of Elkhorn Creek From River Mile 39.9 to 48.0		Fayette County Segment Length:	8.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	6	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
South Fork Quicksand Cree	ek of Quicksand Creek	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.0		Segment Length:	8.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Total Dissolved Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	Nonirrigated Crop Prod	uction),
-	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es - Pasture Grazing – Ri	parian and/or
	Upland), Habitat Modification (Oth	er than Hydromodificati	on); Resource
	Extraction (Surface Mining)	-	

Station Camp Creek of Ker From River Mile 0.0 to 7.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Estill County Segment Length:	7.2
Stevens Creek of Eagle Cre From River Mile 14.4 to 17 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			2.7 parian and/or
Swift Camp Creek of Red From River Mile 0.0 to 13. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: See TMDLs Under Develo	6 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown	Wolfe County Segment Length:	13.6
Ten Mile Creek of Eagle C From River Mile 0.0 to 2.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	reek	Grant County Segment Length:	2.9
Three Forks Creek of Eagle From River Mile 0.0 to 7.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Grant/Owen Counties Segment Length:	7.6
Upper Devil Creek of Nort From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Abandoned Mining), Lar	1.0 nd Disposal
Upper Howard Creek of Ke From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Clark County Segment Length:	3.2

Upper Twin Creek of Midd	le Fork Kentucky River	Breathitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.6		Segment Length:	3.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
West Fork Mill Creek of M	ill Creek	Carroll County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0		Segment Length:	1.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highy	way/Road/Bridge Runoff	and Other
-	Urban Runoff), Habitat Modificatio	on (Other than Hydromod	lification) –
	Removal of Riparian Vegetation an	d Bank Modification/De	stabilization

West Hickman Creek of Hi	ckman Creek	Jessamine County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0		Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swi	mming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Muni	cipal Point Sources (Majo	or Municipal
	Point Sources)		

See TMDLs Under Development Section

West Hickman Creek of Hickman Creek		Jessamine/Fayette Cour	nties
From River Mile 3.0 to 8.6		Segment Length:	5.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterat	tion (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		

White Lick Creek of Paint	Lick Creek	Garrard County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8		Segment Length:	2.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Suspended Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	Nonirrigated Crop Proc	luction),
	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	- Specialty Crop Product	ion – Tobacco)

Wooten Creek of Cutshin Creek		Leslie County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0		Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Section 2.5.1.3 Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs

Stream Segments Assessed As Impaired Based Solely On Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs).

Harts Fork of Hayes Fork	Madison County	
From River Mile 3.2 to 4.2	Segment Length:	1.0

DMR information from several Industrial Point Sources indicates aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia, pH, organic enrichment/Low DO, and suspended solids.

Hays Fork of Silver Creek	Madison County	
From River Mile 1.2 to 4.7	Segment Length:	3.5

DMR information from a Municipal Point Sources indicates aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia, chlorine, nutrients, and suspended solids.

Lanes Run of North Elkhorn Creek	Scott County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.5	Segment Length:	0.5

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source indicates swimming use impairment because of pathogens.

Lee Branch of South Elkhorn Creek	Woodford County
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0	Segment Length: 1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source indicates swimming use impairment because of pathogens.

Shallow Ford Creek of Tate Creek	Madison County
From River Mile 5.9 to 6.9	Segment Length: 1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source indicates aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia and chlorine.

Streammill Branch of Clarks Creek	Grant County
From River Mile 0.6 to 1.6	Segment Length: 1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source indicates aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia.

Town Creek of Drennon Creek	Henry County	
From River Mile 2.5 to 3.5	Segment Length:	1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source indicates aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia and chlorine.

UT of Dry Run (River Mile 3.1)	Scott County
From River Mile 1.5 to 2.5	Segment Length: 1.0

DMR information from a package plant indicates swimming use impairment because of pathogens.

UT of East Fork Clear Creek (River Mile 3.6)	Jessamine County
From River Mile 2.8 to 3.8	Segment Length: 1.0

DMR information from a package plant indicates swimming use impairment because of pathogens.

Other Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs

Two Mile Creek of Eagle C	Creek	Owen County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1		Segment Length:	3.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Flow Alterations		
Suspected Sources:	Natural Sources		

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. This impairment was caused by flooding from heavy spring rains. Because this impairment is the result of a naturally occurring event (flooding), a TMDL is not appropriate.

Left Fork Island Creek of Is	sland Creek	Owsley County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0		Segment Length:	5.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Exotic Species		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	Nonirrigated Crop Prod	uction)

Exotic species is considered pollution and not a pollutant. Therefore, a TMDL is not required for exotic species.

Moseby Branch of Eagle C	reek	Owen County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2		Segment Length:	2.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Flow Alterations, Habitat Alteration	s (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Natural Sources, Habitat Modification	on (Other than Hydrome	odification) -
	Bank Modification/Destabilization		

This listing appeared in the 2000 305(b) Report. This impairment was caused by flooding from heavy spring rains. Because this impairment is the result of a naturally occurring event (flooding), a TMDL is not appropriate.

Section 2.5.2 Salt/Licking River Basin Unit

Section 2.5.2.1 1st Priority Listings

Licking River Basin

Allison Creek of Fleming C	Creek Fleming County
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7	Segment Length: 4.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients (Phosphorus), Organic enrichment/Low DO, Pathogens, Noxious
	Aquatic Plants
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations and Grazing-related
	Sources)

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The TMDL for pathogens is approved. See Salt/Licking River Unit – Approved TMDLs – Fleming Creek Watershed. See Salt/Licking River Unit – TMDLs Under Development – Fleming Creek Watershed for nutrients and/or organic enrichment/low DO.

Banklick Creek of Licking	River Kenton County
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.2	Segment Length: 8.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alteration, Organic Enrichment/Low DO,
	Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Construction,
	Combined Sewer Overflows

Some data collection has been done by KDOW personnel. A comprehensive water-quality study has been initiated by Sanitation District #1. Runoff event samples were scheduled to be collected starting fall 2002. Upgrades to the Lakeview Pump Station (river mile 3.8) have been made by SD#1. Limno Tech has completed sampling for hydrologic and water quality modeling in September 2003 with the report to be finalized in 2004.

Banklick Creek of Licking	River Kenton County
From River Mile 8.2 to 19.	Segment Length: 10.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Habitat Alteration, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Construction,
-	Agriculture

Some data collection has been done by KDOW personnel. A comprehensive water-quality study has also been initiated by Sanitation District #1. Runoff event samples are scheduled to be collected starting fall 2002. Approximately 75 homes with failing septic systems have been incorporated into the SD#1 network, and approximately 20 manhole covers have been installed with watertight lids. Limno Tech completed sampling for hydrologic and water quality modeling in September 2003 with the report to be finalized in 2004.

Burning Fork of Licking Ri From River Mile 0.0 to 2.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	ver Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Pipes)	Magoffin County Segment Length: Systems – Septic Tanks	2.9 and/or Straight
Cassidy Creek of Fleming C	Creek	Fleming County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.9		Segment Length:	3.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed Sources)	ling Operations and Graz	ing-related
Fleming Creek watershed,	1998 303(d) Report. However, upo this stream segment was included proved TMDLs – Fleming Creek Wa	as part of the Fleming (
Cooper Run of Stoner Cree	k	Bourbon County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.1		Segment Length:	10.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		1011
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Nutr	rients	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source		
Craintown Branch of Flemi From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	ng Creek Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin Nutrients (Phosphorus), Pathogens, Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed Sources)	Noxious Aquatic Plants	3.5 ing-related
~	<i>,</i>		

See Approved TMDLs – Fleming Creek Watershed, for pathogens. See TMDLs Under Development – Fleming Creek Watershed, for nutrients.

Crooked Creek of Licking	River	Nicholas County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.1		Segment Length:	9.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Doty Creek of Fleming Cre	ek Fleming County
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.0	Segment Length: 4.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources, Pasture Grazing - Riparian and/or
	Upland), Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations and Grazing-
	related Sources)

The listing for organic enrichment/low DO is based on evaluated data. See Approved TMDLs for pathogens and TMDLs under development for organic enrichment/low DO. The TMDL has gone through preliminary review by EPA and is being prepared for public notice.

Elk Fork of Licking River		Morgan County	
From River Mile 4.9 to 10.5	5	Segment Length:	5.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteration	ns, Habitat Alteration (O	ther than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration	n, and Residue Manager	nent), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, A	bandoned, and Inactive	Mining), Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydromod	dification) - Removal of	Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization	

Flat Creek of Licking River From River Mile 0.0 to 0.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Bath County Segment Length:	0.9
Flat Run of Stoner Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Agriculture (Grazing-related Source		2.2
Fleming Creek of Licking I From River Mile 0.0 to 39.2 Impaired Use(s):		Fleming/Nicholas Cour Segment Length:	nties 39.2
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Pathogens, Nutrients (Phosphorus), Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed	Organic Enrichment/Lov	

Sources)

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. See Approved TMDLs – Fleming Creek Watershed, for pathogens. The TMDL for nutrients and organic enrichment/low DO is currently under development using EPA Region 4 FFY2000 104(b)3 set-aside funds. See TMDLs Under Development -Fleming Creek Watershed.

Fox Creek of Licking River From River Mile 20.1 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			2.6 nent),
Hinkston Creek of South F From River Mile 41.8 to 49		Nicholas/Bourbon/Bath Counties	/Montgomery
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Segment Length:	7.3
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Pathogens Agriculture		
Hinkston Creek of South F From River Mile 51.5 to 65		Bath/Montgomery Cou Segment Length:	nties 14.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Lengui.	14.4
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc	65)	
Houston Creek of Stoner C		Bourbon County	0.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.0	Swimming (Nonsupport)	Segment Length:	9.0
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Johnson Creek of Licking I	River	Magoffin County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1		Segment Length:	3.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	~ -88	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Johnson Creek of Licking I	River	Robertson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.3		Segment Length:	3.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Licking River of Ohio River From River Mile 0.0 to 4.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		w DO	nties 4.6
2 - Spectra 2 Surves.			

This stream segment was listed in the 1998 303(d) Report for nonsupport of the swimming use. Data collected in 1999 resulted in this assessment being changed from nonsupport to partial support for the swimming use and to partial support of the aquatic life use, however; this segment will remain as first priority for the 2004 303(d) List.

Licking River of Ohio Rive	<u>er</u>	Magoffin County	
From River Mile 293.3 to 3	301.1	Segment Length:	7.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration	on, and Residue Manage	ement), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, Al	bandoned, and Inactive	Mining), Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydromo	dification) - Removal o	f Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization	

The suspected source, collection system failure, that was shown in the 2002 303(d) was incorrect. Data will be collected in 2004 to confirm the listing.

Little Stoner Creek of Stoner Creek		Clark County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.	.0	Segment Length:	5.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Logan Run of Fleming C	reek	Fleming County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2	3	Segment Length	23

From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3	Segment Length: 2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations and Grazing-related
_	Sources)

This aquatic life listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The listing for pathogens was not in the 1998 303(d) Report. However, upon a review of the pathogens data for the Fleming Creek watershed, this stream segment was included as part of the Fleming Creek Watershed Pathogens TMDL. See Approved TMDLs for Pathogens. See TMDLs Under Development for Organic Enrichment/Low DO.

Middle Fork Licking River	of Licking River	Magoffin County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5		Segment Length:	2.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite and/or Straight Pipes)	Wastewater Systems – S	Septic Tanks

North Fork Licking River of	of Licking River	Bracken/Mason Counti	es
From River Mile 18.1 to 51	.7	Segment Length:	33.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		
Phillips Creek of Licking R	iver	Campbell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.3		Segment Length:	5.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		

Unknown

Suspected Sources:

Poplar Creek of Fleming Cr	reek	Fleming County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1		Segment Length:	3.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed	ling Operations and Graz	zing-related
	Sources)		

This listing was not in the 1998 303(d) Report. However, upon a review of the pathogens data for the Fleming Creek watershed, this stream segment was included as part of the Fleming Creek Watershed pathogens TMDL. See Approved TMDLs – Fleming Creek Watershed.

Prickly Ash of Slate Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients Agriculture	Bath County Segment Length:	3.1
Puncheon Camp Creek of I From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>Licking River</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Unknown	Magoffin County Segment Length:	1.1
Scrubgrass Creek of Cassid From River Mile 0.0 to 1.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Nicholas County Segment Length:	1.6
Slate Creek of Licking Rive From River Mile 0.0 to 7.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Bath County Segment Length:	7.0
Sleepy Run of Fleming Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	eek Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed Sources)	Fleming County Segment Length: ling Operations and Graz	2.8 zing-related
See Approved TMDLs – Fl	eming Creek Watershed.		

Stoner Creek of South Fork Licking River		Bourbon County	
From River Mile 5.5 to 15.0)	Segment Length:	9.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Stony Creek of Licking Riv From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0	<u>'er</u>	Nicholas County Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Lengun	0.0
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Straight Creek of Elk Fork		Morgan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8		Segment Length:	1.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteratio	ons, Habitat Alteration (C	Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration	on, and Residue Manage	ment), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, A		
	Modification (Other than Hydrome		f Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification	/Destabilization	
Strodes Creek of Stoner Cre	eek	Bourbon County	
From River Mile 2.7 to 19.3	3	Segment Length:	16.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swi	imming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low	w DO, Siltation, Pathoge	ns
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agricultu	are, Construction, Urban	Runoff/Storm
	Sewers, Habitat Modification (Oth-	er than Hydromodification	on)
Threemile Creek of Licking	River	Campbell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7	<u></u>	Segment Length:	4.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	0	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients Organic Enrichment/Lox		

Pollutant(s):Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, PathogensSuspected Sources:Unknown, Collection System Failure

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The stream continues to be under a swimming advisory. Several improvements have been made by SD#1 in selected areas in the watershed, including the unnamed tributary at river mile 0.5 of Threemile Creek. Twenty-five homes that had failing septic systems were put on SD#1's sanitary system. The most recent fecal coliform data shows improving instream values.

Town Branch of Fleming C	reek	Fleming County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.0		Segment Length:	4.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed	ling Operation and Grazi	ng-related
	Sources), Municipal Point Sources		

See Approved TMDLs - Fleming Creek Watershed.

Townsend Creek of South Fork Licking River		Harrison/Bourbon Co	unties
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.8	-	Segment Length:	4.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Triplett Creek of Licking R	iver Rowan County
From River Mile 5.8 to 12.0	Segment Length: 6.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Siltation
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agriculture, Construction, Urban Runoff/Storm
	Sewers, Hydromodification, Habitat Modifications

The updated assessment information defines pollutants for the aquatic life use impairment and suspected sources for all pollutants.

UT of Fleming Creek at Ri	ver Mile 4.28	Fleming County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2		Segment Length:	2.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed	ling Operations and Graz	zing-related
	Sources)		

This listing was not in the 1998 303(d) Report. However, upon a review of the pathogens data for the Fleming Creek watershed, this stream segment was included as part of the Fleming Creek Watershed pathogens TMDL. See Approved TMDLs- Fleming Creek Watershed.

Williams Creek of Elk Forl	<u>(</u>	Morgan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.3		Segment Length:	5.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Wilson Run of Fleming Cre	<u>eek</u>	Fleming County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.1		Segment Length:	5.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed	ing Operations and Graz	ing-related
	Sources)		

See approved TMDLs.

Ohio River Basin

Beargrass Creek of Ohio R	ver Jefferson County
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.5	Segment Length: 1.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Metals, Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban Runoff/Storm
	Sewers

The most recent information shows that Middle Fork is no longer impaired by metals (but the data are limited), and that South Fork Beargrass Creek (which is also upstream of the Beargrass Creek segment) is impaired by metals (cadmium). As a result, the listing for metals for Beargrass Creek (river mile 0.0 to 1.5) is carried forward. The previous metals violations on Middle Fork and the current metals violation on South Fork are for cadmium, based on the Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) water-quality data and information. However, the MSD report containing the metals data states that the cadmium values that MSD reports should be used with caution. Currently KDOW is collecting metals data to provide adequate assessment. EPA awarded a 2003 104(b)3 grant for pathogens and organic enrichment/Low DO TMDL for the Beargrass Creek Watershed.

Brush Creek of Twelve Mil	e Creek Campbell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.6	Segment Length:	1.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Pathogens	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Major Municipal Point Sources)	

The aquatic life nonsupport listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The swimming nonsupport listing is based on data collected during the summer of 1999. Both pollutants are the result of bypasses of sewage and discharge of sewage sludge to the stream from the Alexandria WWTP. Sanitation District #1 (SD#1) now operates the Alexandria WWTP, which discharges at RM 1.6. This stream segment was listed in the 1998 303(d) Report because of operational problems at the WWTP (sewage sludge released to the stream) based on information from the KDOW Florence Regional Office. A study has been conducted by SD#1 to define problem areas within the collection system and treatment system. As a result, the Alexandria WWTP will be expanded to collect excess flow during wet weather events and will become the Eastern Regional WWTP in SD#1's network. The discharge will go to the Ohio River. The expansion is to be completed in 2005. Upon completion of the expansion, Brush Creek will no longer receive any discharge from the Alexandria WWTP. At that time, a request to delist Brush Creek for organic enrichment/low DO will be submitted to EPA Region 4. A stream assessment conducted in 1999 showed full support of the aquatic life use, and 24-hour DO data collected in the stream reach during low-flow conditions indicated no violations of the DO standard. However, the possibility of sludge in the stream until the discharge to the stream is removed warrants the continued listing of organic enrichment/low DO as a pollutant of concern. With respect to pathogens, follow-up monitoring would need to be conducted to determine if a swimming impairment exists.

Cabin Creek of Ohio River	Maso	on/Lewis Counties	
From River Mile 3.6 to 11.	3 Segn	nent Length:	7.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alteration (Other than F	Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Noni	irrigated Crop Produ	uction),
	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding O	perations and Grazi	ng-related
	Sources), Habitat Modification (Other that	an Hydromodificatio	on)

Doe Run of Ohio River From River Mile 4.1 to 7.9		Meade County Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	~ - 88	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Elijahs Creek of Ohio Rive	r	Boone County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.2	-	Segment Length:	5.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Nonpriority Organics (De-icing Flu	uids)	
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Indus	strial Permitted)	
See Approved TMDLs und	er Gunpowder Creek.		
Fourmile Creek of Ohio Ri	ver	Campbell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.3		Segment Length:	8.3

rounnie ereek er omo ru		*****	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.3	Segment Leng	gth:	8.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Collection System Failur	e, Sanitar	y Sewer
	Overflows		

The listing in the 1998 303(d) Report was only for the reach from 0.0 to 0.2. Based on additional fecal coliform sampling in 1999, the impaired reach has been extended to 8.3 (to just below the Reilly Road Pump Station). Sanitation District #1 plans to remove the Reilly Road Pump Station #1 in 2004. There have been problems with the pump station, which is located at River Mile 8.3. The Reilly Road Pump Station #2 (approximately river mile 7.5) will be upgraded. Near the mouth of Fourmile Creek the force main has been replaced at the Silver Grove Pump Station.

Goose Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson County
From River Mile 3.2 to 11.	7 Segment Length: 8.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Pathogens, Metals (Cadmium)
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Municipal Point Sources, Urban Runoff/Storm
	Sewers, Land Disposal

The listing is based on Louisville and Jefferson County MSD data. For the water quality data from MSD, it is noted that the cadmium metals data should be used with caution. KDOW is collecting metals data to confirm previous exceedances.

Gunpowder Creek of Ohio River		Boone County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 15.)	Segment Length:	15.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Construction (Land Development)		

Gunpowder Creek of Ohio River From River Mile 15.7 to 18.9		Boone County Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s): Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)			
Pollutant(s):	Nonpriority Organics (De-icing Flu	uids)	
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Indus		
See Approved TMDLs			
Gunpowder Creek of Ohio		Boone County	
From River Mile 15.0 to 10	5.6	Segment Length:	1.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Organic Enrich		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Construction (Land De	-	
	(Other Urban Runoff – Nonpermitt		
	(Highway/Road/Bridge Runoff), Ha		
	Hydromodification) – Removal of	Riparian Vegetation and	Bank
	Modification/Destabilization		
Hardins Creek of Sinking (Treek	Breckinridge County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0		Segment Length:	5.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Soginon Longin	5.0
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO, Nutrients	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Production),		
	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc		
	-	-	
Hardy Creek of Little Kent		Trimble County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.4		Segment Length:	1.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Lov Flow)	w DO, Habitat Alteratior	ns (Other than
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources)	, Agriculture (Grazing-re	elated Sources),
	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (High		
	Modification (Other than Hydromo		f Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization)	
Hamada Create of the Ohio	Divon	Jefferson/Oldham Cou	ntion
Harrods Creek of the Ohio From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2		Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Lengui.	5.2
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO		
Suspected Sources:		Plants - Small Flows)	
Suspected Sources:Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants – Small Flows)			
See Approved TMDLs.			

Hite Creek of Ohio River	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.5	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Unknown Toxicity
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources

Jefferson County Segment Length:

5.5

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. Even though the Hite Creek WWTP has complied with meeting toxicity levels, a stream assessment will need to be done to determine if the stream supports the aquatic life use. The 303(d) Listing was based on both the toxicity testing and an in-stream aquatic life use assessment. A stream assessment is planned for 2004 - 2005.

Little Goose Creek of Goose Creek		Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.7		Segment Length:	8.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		
Locust Creek of Ohio River	<u></u>	Bracken County	4 1
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.1		Segment Length:	4.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Locust Creek of Ohio River		Proston County	
		Bracken County	0.1
From River Mile 4.1 to 12.2		Segment Length:	8.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Middle Fork Beargrass Cree	ek of Beargrass Creek	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3		Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Habi	itat Alteration (Other tha	n Flow), Metals
	(Cadmium), Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban	Runoff/Storm Sewers,	
•	Hydromodification (Channelization		
	•	*	

The most recent information shows that Middle Fork is no longer impaired by metals, but the data are limited. EPA awarded a 2003 104(b)3 grant for pathogens and organic enrichment/Low DO TMDL for the Beargrass Creek Watershed. KDOW is currently collecting additional metals data.

Middle Fork Beargrass Cre	ek of Beargrass Creek	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 2.3 to 15.	2	Segment Length:	12.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Metals (Cadmium)		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land	l Disposal, Combined Se	wer Overflows,
	Sanitary Sewer Overflows		

The aquatic life impairment is based on cadmium. MSD's report states that the cadmium data should be used with caution. Samples taken during the assessment period indicated no metals impairment, but the number of samples was limited. Therefore, the listing is carried forward from the 1998 303(d) Report. EPA awarded a 2003 104(b)3 grant for pathogens and organic enrichment/Low DO TMDL for the Beargrass Creek Watershed. KDOW is currently collecting additional metals data.

Mill Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson County
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.7	Segment Length: 9.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Habitat Alterations
	(Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Municipal Point Sources, Urban Runoff/Storm
	Sewers, Land Disposal

Mill Creek Cutoff of Ohio	River	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.5		Segment Length:	6.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban	Runoff/Storm Sewers, La	nd Disposal

Muddy Fork of Beargrass C	<u>Creek</u>	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.9		Segment Length:	6.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Municipal	Point Sources, Urban R	unoff/Storm
	Sewers, Land Disposal		

This stream segment was listed as 2^{nd} Priority for pathogens in the 1998 303(d) Report. Subsequent data shows that the stream is in nonsupport of the swimming designated use. EPA awarded a 2003 104(b)3 grant for pathogens and organic enrichment/Low DO TMDL for the Beargrass Creek Watershed.

Sinking Creek of Ohio Rive	er Breckinridge County
From River Mile 8.9 to 15.0	5 Segment Length: 6.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodification

Snag Creek of Ohio River		Bracken County	
From River Mile 0.5 to 5.5		Segment Length:	5.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

South Fork Beargrass Creel	<u>k of Beargrass Creek</u>	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7	-	Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Sw	imming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Cadmium), Pathogens, Or	ganic Enrichment/Low DO	1
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban R	unoff/Storm Sewers, Land	Disposal,
	Combined Sewer Overflows, Sanit	tary Sewer Overflows	

The MSD data report states that the cadmium data should be used with caution. KDOW is currently collecting additional metals data. EPA awarded a 2003 104(b)3 grant for pathogens and organic enrichment/Low DO TMDL for the Beargrass Creek Watershed.

South Fork Beargrass Cree	k of Beargrass Creek	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 2.7 to 14.	6	Segment Length:	11.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Organic Enrichment/Lo	ow DO	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban R	unoff/Storm Sewers, Lan	d Disposal,
	Combined Sewer Overflows, Sanit	ary Sewer Overflows	

EPA awarded a 2003 104(b)3 grant for pathogens and organic enrichment/Low DO TMDL for the Beargrass Creek Watershed.

South Fork Gunpowder Creek		Boone County	
From River Mile 4.1 to 6.8		Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
UT to Pond Creek at River Mile 1.5		Oldham County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.5		Segment Length:	0.5

Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Chlorine, Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Source (Package Plants, Small Flows)

Pond Creek below the UT was listed in the 1998 303(d) Report as partially supporting aquatic life. See Pond Creek of Ohio River (Oldham County), Salt/Licking River Unit – 2nd Priority Listings. That stream segment (and now this stream segment) was listed because of impairment attributed to the River Bluffs WWTP. The listing was based on an in-stream biological assessment and the DMRs from the WWTP. The DMRs indicated that the limits for chlorine and ammonia were being met for most of 1999 and part of 2000, but were not being met for part of 2000 and most of 2001.

Woolper Creek of Ohio River		Boone County	
From River Mile 2.8 to 7.2		Segment Length:	4.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		

The segment is outside SD#1's service area, but the upstream end of the segment is at the confluence with Allen Fork, which is listed as impaired. Allen Fork is in SD#1's service area. The Allen Fork listing contains information on remediation activities in the Allen Fork watershed. See Salt/Licking River Unit – 2002 303(d) List – 2^{nd} Priority Listings.

Woolper Creek of Ohio Riv	Boone Creek
From River Mile 11.5 to 13	.6 Segment Length: 2.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Habitat Alterations (Other than
	Flow), Suspended Solids, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Construction, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Municipal Point Sources
_	(Package Plants - Small Flows), Land Disposal, Hydromodification

This listing for nonsupport of aquatic life is from the 1998 303(d) Report. Fecal coliform monitoring in 1999 showed that this reach is also in nonsupport of the swimming use. More recently, approximately 50 homes with failing septic systems have been incorporated into SD#1's sanitary sewer network. The entire upper part of the watershed should be sewered by summer 2004. A package WWTP at River Mile 11.8 has also recently been eliminated and the flow incorporated into SD#1's sanitary sewer system.

Salt River Basin

Big South Fork of Rolling Fork		Marion County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 12.4	1	Segment Length:	12.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es)	

(Blue) Spring Ditch of Nort	hern Ditch Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7	Segment Length: 2.7	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Metals (Cadmium and Zinc).	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Industrial Point Sources, Urban Runoff/S	torm
	Sewers, Land Disposal	

The listing in the 1998 303(d) Report was 'Spring Ditch' and was for pathogens. The USGS 1:24,000 topographic map lists the stream as 'Blue Spring Ditch.' The listing for metals is based on more recent assessment information from MSD. The MSD data report states that the cadmium data should be used with caution. Additional monitoring data for cadmium are being collected by KDOW.

Brooks Run of Floyds Fork	Bullitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.1	Segment Length:	6.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Nutrients	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants – Small Flows)	

This listing (except for nutrients) is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The TMDL for pathogens and organic enrichment/low DO has been submitted to EPA Region 4 for approval. See Salt/Licking Unit – TMDLs Under Development.

Chaplin River of Beech Fork		Mercer County	
From River Mile 63.0 to 69.7		Segment Length:	6.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Chenoweth Run of Floyds I	Fork Jefferson County
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.2	Segment Length: 5.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Noxious Aquatic Plants, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Industrial Point Sources, Agriculture (Grazing-
	related Sources), Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land Disposal

See Approved TMDLs for Nutrients.

Fork	Jefferson County	
	Segment Length:	3.8
Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pathogens		
Municipal Point Sources, Industrial Sewers, Land Disposal	Point Sources, Urban R	unoff/Storm
	Pathogens Municipal Point Sources, Industrial	Segment Length: Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Municipal Point Sources, Industrial Point Sources, Urban R

Clear Creek of Bullskin Cr	<u>eek</u>	Shelby County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 11.	0	Segment Length:	11.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO	
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agric	culture (Crop-related Sou	urces), Agriculture
	(Grazing-related Sources)		

Clear Creek of Rolling Fork	<u> </u>	Hardin County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4		Segment Length:	4.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
-			
Crooked Creek of Rolling Fork		Bullitt County	

Crooked Creek of Rolling 1	Fork	Bullitt County	
From River Mile 5.6 to 12.	8	Segment Length:	7.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Curry's Fork of Floyds Forl	C Oldham County
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.8	Segment Length: 4.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Habitat Alteration (Other
	than Flow), Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agriculture,
_	Construction, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodification)
East Fork of Beech Fork	Washington County
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8	Segment Length: 1.8

Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)			
Pollutant(s):	Unknown			
Suspected Sources:	Unknown			
_				
Note Northern Ditch and	Fern Creek will be designated Fern	n Creek/Northern	Ditch h	e ca:

Note: Northern Ditch and Fern Creek will be designated Fern Creek/Northern Ditch because the 1 - 24:000 USGS topographic map does not show a clear delineation between Northern Ditch (channelized section) and Fern Creek (natural stream section). Area residents and government agencies refer to the channelized section as Northern Ditch.

Fern Creek/Northern Ditch	of Pond Creek	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.5		Segment Length:	7.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport),	Aquatic Life (Partial Support),	
Pollutant(s):	Ammonia (unionized), Nu	utrients, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources,	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Lan	d Disposal

Fern Creek/Northern Ditch	of Pond Creek	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 7.5 to 12.	8	Segment Length:	5.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Nonsupport),	
Pollutant(s):	Cadmium, Organic Enrichment/Lo	ow DO, Pathogens, Nutrier	nts
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban R	unoff/Storm Sewers, Land	Disposal

The MSD data report states that the cadmium data should be used with caution. KDOW is currently collecting additional metals data.

Floyds Fork of Salt River		Jefferson/Bullitt Counti	es
From River Mile 0.0 to 11.0	5	Segment Length:	11.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package I	Plants – Small Flows), U	rban
	Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agriculture		

See Approved TMDLs.

Floyds Fork of Salt River	Jefferson Co	unty
From River Mile 11.6 to 2	1.6 Segment Ler	ngth: 10.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupp	ort)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low D	00
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants - Small	l Flows), Urban
-	Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agriculture	

See Approved TMDLs for Organic Enrichment/Low DO.

Floyds Fork of Salt River		Jefferson County	
From River Mile 21.6 to 24		Segment Length:	2.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic L		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Organic Enric		han
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package F Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agriculture	fains – Sinan Flows), Ul	Dan
	Kulon/Storm Sewers, Agriculture		
See Approved TMDLs.			
Floyds Fork of Salt River		Jefferson County	
From River Mile 24.2 to 31	.3	Segment Length:	7.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic enrichment/Low DO, Siltat		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal point sources, Urban Rur Agriculture	off/Storm Sewers, Cons	truction,
See Approved TMDLs.			
Floyds Fork of Salt River		Jefferson County	
From River Mile 31.3 to 34	.1	Segment Length:	2.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic L	ife (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Construction (Land De	velopment)	
This listing is based on the 2	2000 Assessment Data. See Approve	ed TMDLs.	
Floyds Fork Watershed of S	alt River	Jefferson/Bullitt Counti	es
From River Mile 34.1 to 67		Segment Length:	32.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Packages Runoff/Storm Sewers, Agriculture	Plants – Small Flows), U	Jrban
See Approved TMDLs.			
Jeptha Creek of Guist Creek	-	Shelby County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.7		Segment Length:	0.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	~ -88	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources),	Agriculture (Grazing-rel	ated Sources)
Long Lick Creek of Salt Riv	/er	Bullitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.5		Segment Length:	10.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Logging Road Constru		
	Modification (Other than Hydromod Vegetation	lification) - Removal of	Riparian

Long Run of Floyds Fork	Jefferson County
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.5	Segment Length: 9.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources), Urban
_	Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land Disposal

Mill Creek of Salt River	Hardin County
From River Mile 6.0 to 7.0	Segment Length: 1.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Fish Consumption (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Mercury
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Major Municipal Point Sources).

It is based on DMR data from Fort Knox. The permit requires in-stream monitoring upstream and downstream of the discharge location to define the contribution of mercury from the area. A streamflow gaging station has been installed.

Mussin Branch of Moore C	reek Marion County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.7	Segment Length:	1.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	рН	
Suspected Sources:	Construction (Highway/Road/Bridge Construction)	

See TMDLs Under Development

Pennsylvania Run of Floyds	S Fork Jefferson/Bullitt Counties
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1	Segment Length: 3.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land Disposal

This listing was in the 1998 303(d) Report but aquatic life was indicated as being impaired by organic enrichment/low DO. It is more appropriate to define the cause of the aquatic life impairment as nutrients.

Pond Creek of Salt River	Jefferson County
From River Mile 5.1 to 8.1	Segment Length: 3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Metals, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants – Small Flows), Land Disposal
	(Onsite Wastewater Systems – Septic Tanks), Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers,
	Habitat Modifications (Other than Hydromodifications)

New assessments divided the creek into two segments. The rest of the stream is considered to be not assessed.

Pond Creek of Salt River From River Mile 14.7 to 1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	6.1 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Urban runoff/Storm Sewers	Jefferson County Segment Length:	1.4
New assessments divided aquatic life because of me	the creek into two segments, and this tals.	upper segment was not i	mpaired for
Pope Lick Creek of Floyds From River Mile 2.0 to 5.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):		Jefferson County Segment Length:	3.2
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban R	unoff/Storm Sewers, Lar	nd Disposal
Salt River of Ohio River From River Mile 11.4 to 2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	 5.2 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite 	Bullitt County Segment Length: • Wastewater Systems –	13.8 Septic Tanks)
Salt River of Ohio River From River Mile 78.0 to 8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	8.5Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish Cor Pathogens, Metals (Mercury) Agriculture, Unknown	Anderson County Segment Length: nsumption (Partial Suppo	10.5 ort)
See Delisting Requests for	Pathogens.		
Slop Ditch of Southern Ditch (See Wetwoods Creek)			
Southern Ditch of Pond Ca From River Mile 0.0 to 5.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Jefferson County Segment Length: unoff/Storm Sewers, Lar	5.5 nd Disposal

Also, see Approved Delistings (Aquatic Life Use –Organic Enrichment/Low DO).

Spring (Blue Spring) Ditch	of Northern Ditch J	efferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7	S	Segment Length: 2.7	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Lif	e (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Metals (Cadmium and Zin	c).	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Industrial Po	oint Sources, Urban Runoff/St	torm
	Sewers, Land Disposal		

The listing for pathogens was in the 1998 303(d) Report. The USGS 1:24,000 topographic map lists the stream as 'Blue Spring Ditch.' The listing for metals is based on more recent assessment information from MSD. The MSD data report states that the cadmium data should be used with caution. Additional monitoring data should be collected for cadmium.

UT of Brooks Run at River	Mile 4.1 Bullitt County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.0	Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Nutrients	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants – Small Flows)	

See TMDLs Under Development.

UT of Rolling Fork at River	Mile 94.6	Marion County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.6		Segment Length:	0.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aqua	tic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	pH		
Suspected Sources:	Construction (Highway/Road/B	ridge Construction)	

See TMDLs Under Development

Wetwoods Creek of Southe	rn Ditch (formerly Slop Ditch)	Jefferson County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5		Segment Length:	3.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swi	mming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Metals, Flow Alterations, Pathoger	18	
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Municipal	l Point Sources, Urban l	Runoff/Storm
	Sewers, Land Disposal		

The name of this stream segment has been changed from Slop Ditch to Wetwoods Creek. A bottom-land hardwood wetlands, which is to mitigate flooding and improve water quality of the stream below the wetlands, has been created at approximately RM 1.8 of the existing channel,. The flow has been diverted through the wetlands.

Section 2.5.2.2 2nd Priority Listings

Licking River Basin

Beaver Creek of Licking Ri From River Mile 10.0 to 14 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			
Blacks Creek of Hinkston C From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Agriculture (Grazing-related Source		3.4
Boone Creek of Hinkston C From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Agriculture (Grazing-related Source		5.0
Caney Creek of Licking Riv From River Mile 0.0 to 4.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	ver Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteration Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, At Modification (Other than Hydromo Vegetation and Bank Modification/	n, and Residue Manager bandoned, and Inactive M dification) - Removal of	nent), Resource (ining), Habitat
Christy Creek of Triplett Cr From River Mile 0.0 to 4.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>reek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Unknown Urban runoff/Storm Sewers (Nonin related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop		4.3 culture (Crop-
Dry Creek of Triplett Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 0.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Siltation Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Other Sewers (Highway/Road/Bridge Rur		0.5 Runoff/Storm

Elk Fork of Licking River From River Mile 0.0 to 4.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat Agriculture, Silviculture, Hydromo than Hydromodification)		
Elk Fork of Licking River From River Mile 12.6 to 14 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	4.7 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alteratio Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, A Modification (Other than Hydromov Vegetation and Bank Modification	on, and Residue Manager bandoned, and Inactive M dification) - Removal of	ment), Resource Aining), Habitat
Fox Creek of Licking Rive From River Mile 0.0 to 8.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Fleming County Segment Length: es - Pasture Grazing – R	8.8 iparian and/or
Grassy Lick Creek of Hink From River Mile 0.0 to 4.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	ston Creek Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc		4.5
Hinkston Creek of South F From River Mile 0.0 to 12. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Bourbon County Segment Length:	12.4
Hinkston Creek of South F From River Mile 20.8 to 3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Bourbon/Nicholas Cou Segment Length: es)	nty 10.2
Houston Creek of Stoner C From River Mile 9.0 to 12. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Bourbon County Segment Length: Other than Boating (Gol	3.7 ff Course)

Left Fork White Oak Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio Extraction (Surface Mining, Subsur Inactive Mining), Habitat Modificar Removal of Riparian Vegetation an	on, and Residue Manager face Mining, Abandone tion (Other than Hydron	1.8 Flow), Turbidity ment), Resource d Mining, and nodification) –
Licking River of Ohio Rive	or	Campbell/Kenton Cour	nties
From River Mile 4.6 to 14.		Segment Length:	9.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Licking River of Ohio Rive	<u>r</u>	Magoffin County	
From River Mile 263.1 to 2	269.5	Segment Length:	6.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Silta	tion, Flow Alterations, H	Habitat
	Alterations (Other than Flow), Turk	bidity	
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration, and Residue Management), Resource Extraction (Surface Mining, Subsurface Mining, Abandoned Mining, and Inactive Mining), Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodification) – Removal of Riparian Vegetation and Bank Modification/Destabilization)		

This segment was listed in the 1998 303(d) Report as partial support of aquatic life because of organic enrichment/low DO only. The above information is based on a more recent assessment. However, a reassessment of this reach is warranted before any further action is taken.

Licking River of Ohio River		Magoffin County	
From River Mile 269.5 to 2	293.3	Segment Length:	23.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction		

Further data collected in 1999 were deemed to be inconclusive. The stream will be reassessed in 2004.

Locust Creek of Licking Ri	ver	Fleming County	
From River Mile 5.7 to 11.	7	Segment Length:	6.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Salt Lick Creek of Licking	River	Bath County	
From River Mile 3.0 to 8.0		Segment Length:	5.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Production), Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources - Pasture Grazing - Riparian)		

Trace Fork of Licking Rive	<u>r</u> Magoffin County
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1	Segment Length: 3.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Flow Alterations, Habitat Alteration (Other than Flow),
	Total Dissolved Solids
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration, and Residue Management), Resource
	Extraction (Surface, Subsurface, Abandoned, and Inactive Mining), Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/Destabilization

Ohio River Basin

Allen Fork of Woolper Creek		Boone County	
From River Mile 2.0 to 4.6		Segment Length:	2.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterat	tion (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Habitat Modification (Other than		
-	Hydromodification).		

This listing was in the 1998 303(d) Report and was based on evaluated information from the KDOW Florence Regional Office. More recently, approximately 160 homes (in Burlington) with failing septic systems are now on SD#1's sanitary sewer system. The existing pump station at River Mile 2.5 has been upgraded. A small WWTP has been eliminated (Rosetta Drive) and the flow goes to the SD#1 sanitary sewer system (at River Mile 3.7 of Allen Fork).

Big Bone Creek of Ohio Ri	ver Boone County		
From River Mile 4.1 to 4.9	Segment Length: 0.8		
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Habitat Alterations (Other		
	than Flow)		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources), Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources),		
	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Habitat Modification (Other than		
	Hydromodification) – Removal of Riparian Vegetation and Bank		
	Modification/Destabilization		
Dry Creek of Ohio River	Gallatin County		
From River Mile 1.1 to 3.0	Segment Length: 1.9		
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		

Aqualic Life (Nolisupport)
Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources), Agriculture (Crop-related Sources),
Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Highway/Road/Bridge Runoff)

Dry Creek of Ohio River	Boone/Kenton Counties
From River Mile 0.2 to 7.0	Segment Length: 6.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agriculture, Urban runoff/Storm Sewers,
	Hydromodification

This listing was based on a biological assessment in 1999. Sanitation District #1 has replaced or lined approximately 10,000 linear feet of gravity sewer pipe (from approximately River Mile 3.1 to 5.0), refurbished 50 manholes and replaced 1,000 feet of sanitary pipe. Sanitation District #1 has also replaced approximately 2,000 feet of force main (deteriorating pipe) from approximately River Mile 6.0 to 6.5.

Goose Creek of Ohio River	Jefferson County		
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2	Segment Length: 3.2		
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Metals (Cadmium), Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Municipal Point Sources, Urban Runoff/Storm		
-	Sewers, Land Disposal		

This listing is based on Louisville and Jefferson County MSD data. It was noted that the cadmium metals data should be used with caution. KDOW is currently collecting additional metals data.

Goose Creek of Locust Cree	ek_	Bracken County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.9		Segment Length:	1.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Natural Causes		

This assessment was conducted during drought conditions and additional data should be collected during the next watershed cycle.

Gunpowder Creek of Ohio River		Boone County	
From River Mile 18.9 to 21	1.6	Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Lick Run Creek of Ohio Ri	ver	Breckinridge County	

From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5	Segment Length: 3.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Production),
	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources - Pasture Grazing - Riparian)

Little Kentucky River of O	hio River	Henry County	
From River Mile 21.0 to 2 [°]	7.0	Segment Length:	6.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Organic Enrich	nment/Low DO	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources)	, Agriculture (Grazing-re	elated Sources)

Otter Creek of Ohio River		Meade County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.	7	Segment Length:	10.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban Ru	noff/Storm Sewers, Land	d Disposal,
	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es).	

Pond Creek of Ohio River From River Mile 0.0 to 1.5		Oldham County Segment Length:
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	6 6
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Chlorine	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources	
*	*	

This stream segment was listed because of impairment attributed to the River Bluffs WWTP. The listing was based on an in-stream biological assessment and the DMRs. The DMRs indicated that the limits for chlorine and ammonia were being met for most of 1999 and part of 2000, but were not being met for part of 2000 and most of 2001. See also UT to Pond Creek in 1st Priority listings.

1.5

Salt River Basin

Beech Fork of Rolling Ford From River Mile 39.5 to 49 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Nelson/Washington Co Segment Length:	ounties 10.2
Bullitt Lick Creek of Salt R From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>Civer</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Turbidity Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Erosi (Land Development), Habitat Mod Removal of Riparian Vegetation		
Cartwright Creek of Beech From River Mile 0.0 to 6.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Algal Growth/Chlorophy	ll_a
Cartwright Creek of Beech From River Mile 6.6 to 12. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Washington County Segment Length:	6.0

Cox Creek of Salt River		Nelson County	
From River Mile 11.2 to 15	5.5	Segment Length:	4.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Excessive Algal Growth	/Chlorophyll_a	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feed	ling Operations, and Gra	zing-related
	Sources)		

The 2002 303(d) Report erroneously showed the reach as also being in Bullitt County.

Guist Creek of Brashears C	<u>reek</u>	Shelby County	
From River Mile 15.4 to 27	<i>'</i> .6	Segment Length:	12.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO, Flow Alterations	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources) Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers, Hydr		, · ·

Jones Creek of North Rolling Fork		Marion County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.9		Segment Length:	3.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
D 1D CO . 110			
Road Run of Cartwright C	<u>reek</u>	Washington County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4		Segment Length:	3.4
		ę ;	3.4
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4		ę ;	3.4

Section 2.5.2.3 **Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs.**

Stream Segments Assessed As Impaired Based Solely on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)

Ohio River Basin

Mill Creek Branch of Mill	Creek Hardin County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.7	Segment Length:	0.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Ammonia (Un-ionized)	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants – Small Flows)	

DMR data showed significant noncompliance for ammonia. A Notice of Violation was issued on June 22, 2001 based on an inspection of May 31, 2001. Enforcement actions are continuing. Discussions have also been held with the City of Elizabethtown concerning the possibility of Elizabethtown incorporating this facility into its sewage treatment network.

UT of Carmon Creek at River Mile 2.4		Trimble/Henry Counties	
From River Mile 0.9 to 1.9		Segment Length:	1.0
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support		
Pollutant(s):	Chlorine		

Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Minor Municipal Point Source

129

Section 2.5.3 Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit

Section 2.5.3.1 1st Priority Listings

Lower Cumberland River Basin

Claylick Creek of Cumberland River		Crittenden/Livingston County	
From River mile 2.0 to 4.8		Segment Length:	2.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture		
Dry Creek of Cumberland F	River (Lake Barkley)	Trigg County	
From River Mile 4.9 to 7.4		Segment Length:	2.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Dry Fork Creek of Noah's S	Spring Branch	Christian County	
From River mile 5.0 to 5.8		Segment Length:	0.8
Impaired uses:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Eddy Creek of Cumberland	River (Lake Barkley)	Lyon County	
From River Mile 11.9 to 14		Segment Length:	2.2
Impaired Uses:	Swimming (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Elk Fork of Red River		Todd County	
From River Mile 22.0 to 29	.0	Segment Length:	7.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown Toxicity, Organic Enricht	ment/Low DO	
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Sources (Minor Mu		
Ferguson Creek of Cumberl	and River	Livingston County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1		Segment Length:	1.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Hickory Creek of Cumberla	nd River	Livingston County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.8		Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Uses:	Swimming (Nonsupport)	5 0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		

Little River of Cumberland From River Mile 33.1 to 34	L.4	Trigg County Segment Length:	1.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo		
Suspected Source:	Habitat Modification (Other than H	lydromodification), Agrie	culture
Little River of Cumberland From River Mile 48.4 to 6		Christian County Segment Length:	12.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ning (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo	w), Siltation, Nutrients, I	Pathogens
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources)	, Municipal Point Source	S
Livingston Creek of Cumb	erland River	Crittenden/Lyon Count	ies
From River mile 4.6 to 7.0		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	e	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown, Unknown		
-			
Long Pond Branch of Mud		Trigg County	
From River Mile 2.7 to 3.1		Segment Length:	0.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Muddy Fork Little River of	f Little River	Trigg County	
From River Mile 14.5 to 20		Segment Length:	12.1
Impaired Uses:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	8 8	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
North Fork Little River of From River Mile 0.0 to 0.3		Christian County	0.2
		Segment Length:	0.3
Impaired Uses: Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimn Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo		Dethogona
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Sources, Agricultu		
Suspected Source.	Mullerpar Folit Sources, Agricult	ile, Olban Kunon/Storm	Seweis
North Fork Little River of		Christian County	4 7
From River Mile 6.9 to 11.	-	Segment Length:	4.7
Impaired Uses:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimn		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown Toxicity, Nutrients, Silta Municipal Point Sources, Agricultu		Source
Suspected Source:	wunicipal Point Sources, Agricult	ire, Orban Kunon/Storm	Sewers

North Fork Little River of I		Christian County	0.7
From River Mile 11.6 to 12		Segment Length:	0.7
Impaired Uses:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Flow Alterations, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow), Pathogens Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodification), Hydromodification		
Suspected Source.	(Channelization), Unknown	Tydromounication), Tryd	iomounication
North Fork Little River of I	Little River	Christian County	
From River Mile 12.3 to 18	3.6	Segment Length:	6.3
Impaired Uses:	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Pleasant Grove Creek of Re	ed River	Logan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2		Segment Length:	2.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swi	imming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Systems - Septic Tanks)	es), Land Disposal (Ons	ite Wastewater
Richland Creek of Cumber	and River	Livingston County	
From River Mile 0.6 to 5.3		Segment Length:	4.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Sandy Creek of Cumberlan	d River	Livingston County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3		Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Sinking Fork of Little River		Christian County	
From River Mile 13.6 to 16		Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Hab	-	
Suspected Source:	Habitat Modification (Other than H	lydromodification) - Rei	noval of Riparian
	Vegetation		
Skinframe Creek of Livings	ston Creek	Lyon County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.8		Segment Length:	4.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown, Unknown		
Skinner Creek of Casey Cre	eek	Trigg County	
From River mile 0.0 to 5.8		Segment Length:	5.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		

South Fork Little River of I		Christian County	40.5
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.		Segment Length:	10.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Nutrients	۹ ـ	
Suspected Source:	Agriculture, Urban Runoff/Storm S	bewers	
South Fork Little River of I		Christian County	
From River Mile 10.5 to 19		Segment Length:	9.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Nutrients		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture		
South Fork Little River of I	Little River	Christian County	
From River Mile 20.9 to 25		Segment Length:	4.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Spring Creek of Livingston	Creek	Lyon County	
From River Mile 3.0 to 3.7		Segment Length:	0.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	~ -88	
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo	w)	
Suspected Source:	Habitat Modification (Other than H Vegetation	,	noval of Riparian
Sugar Creek of Muddy Forl	k Little River	Christian County	
From River mile 1.0 to 1.4		Segment Length:	0.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Othe	r than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Agriculture		
	Mississippi River Basi	in	
Bayou de Chien of Mississi	ppi River	Graves/Hickman Coun	ties
From River Mile 14.0 to 25	5.9	Segment Length:	11.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		
Caldwell Creek of Terrapin	Creek	Graves County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1		Segment Length:	3.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	-	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat	t Alterations (Other than	Flow)
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Production - Nonirrigated Crop Production), Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Vegetation		

Cane Creek of Bayou de Ch	ien	Hickman County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.4		Segment Length:	5.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Flow)	v DO, Habitat Alterations	(Other than
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Modification (Other than Hydrome Vegetation	e i	<i>,</i> .

This listing is designated First Priority because it is an Outstanding State Resource Water (OSRW) containing a federally threatened or endangered species.

Central Creek of Truman C From River Mile 0.8 to 2.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>reek</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Unknown	Carlisle County Segment Length:	1.7
Cooley Creek of Mayfield (From River Mile 0.7 to 2.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>Creek</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Industrial Point Sources (Minor Ind	Graves County Segment Length: ustrial Point Sources)	1.6
Gilbert Creek of Mayfield (From River Mile 1.8 to 3.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Habitat Modification (Other than Hy Vegetation		1.7 noval of Riparian
Hazel Creek of Wetland Po From River Mile 0.0 to3.7 Impaired Uses: Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	nds (Axe Lake) Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Flow) Hydromodifications (Channelization		3.7 (Other than
Knob Creek of Blackamore From River Mile 1.1 to 2.2 Impaired Uses: Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	•	Graves County Segment Length:	1.1
Little Bayou de Chien of Ba From River Mile 10.1 to12. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:			2.2 Other than

Little Creek of Obion Creek	Carlisle/Hickman County
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.2	Segment Length: 6.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)
Suspected Source:	Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modification (Other than
-	Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Vegetation

The 2002 303(d) Report showed the ending river mile as 10.1 but it should have been 6.2.

Little Cypress Creek of	Obion Creek	Graves County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2	2.0	Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Mayfield Creek of Missi	ssippi River	Carlisle County	
From River Mile 8.2 to 13.5		Segment Length:	5.3
T ' 1 TT ()		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow), Siltation, Pathogens, Metals (Iron,
	Zinc, Copper)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Hydromodification (Channelization), Unknown

Mayfield Creek of Mississi	<u>ppi River</u>	Carlisle County	
From River Mile 13.5 to 14	1.8	Segment Length:	1.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	er than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Agriculture		

Mayfield Creek of Mississi	ppi River	Graves County	
From River Mile 34.9 to 3'	7.6	Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Copper), Habitat Alteration	ns (Other than Flow), Sil	tation
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Agriculture, Hydromod	ification (Channelization	.)

Mayfield Creek of Mississippi River		Calloway County	
From River Mile 57.7 to 5	9.8	Segment Length:	2.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources)		
_			

Mud Creek of Bayou de Ch	ien	Fulton County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.4		Segment Length:	6.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat	Alterations (Other than	Flow)
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	Nonirrigated Crop Prod	uction),
	Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modification (Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of R	Riparian Vegetation	

Obion Creek of Mississipp From River Mile 1.3 to 15.		Fulton County Segment Length:	14.5
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat (Iron)	Alterations (Other than	Flow), Metals
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Hydromodification (Channelization Habitat Modification (Other than H Vegetation, Unknown	n and Flow Regulations/I	Modifications),
Obion Creek of Mississipp From River Mile 38.6 to 42 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		,	3.4
Opossum Creek of Obion C From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2	Creek	Graves County	2.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Length:	2.2
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat Hydromodification (Channelization		Flow)
Shawnee Creek Slough of 1 From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Ballard County Segment Length:	3.0
The pollutant of concern is	metals (iron), which is tied to siltation	on.	
South Fork Bayou de Chier From River Mile 2.0 to 7.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>n of Bayou de Chien</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation Agriculture (Crop-related Sources)	Graves County Segment Length:	5.2
This stream segment is an	OSRW and contains a federally threa	ttened and endangered sp	pecies.
<u>UT of Mayfield Creek (Riv</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		McCracken County Segment Length: r than Flow)	1.0
UT of Mayfield Creek (Riv From River mile 1.1 to 3.5 Impaired Source: Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Othe Habitat Modification (Other than H Vegetation, Agriculture		2.4 noval of Riparian

UT of Obion Creek (River I	Mile 16.3)	Hickman County	
From River Mile 1.6 to 2.2		Segment Length:	0.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Flow Alterations, Habitat Alter	rations (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Habitat Modification (Other the	an Hydromodification) - Re	emoval of Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modifica	tion/Destabilization, Hydro	modification
	(Channelization)	-	

Ohio River Basin

Bayou Creek of Ohio River	McCracken County
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.5	Segment Length: 6.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport), Minimum Criteria
	(Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Mercury, Radiation, Metals
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Land Disposal

See Delisting Requests (for pH and Thermal Modifications).

The impairment created by radiation is more accurately defined as an impairment of the minimum criteria for all surface waters. Therefore, that impaired use has been included in this listing. The original listing for radiation was based on DMR data. There was no in-stream data available. Since that time, in-stream data has been collected at a few locations and the data indicate that there is not an in-stream water-column impairment for radiation. However, DMR data from several of the outfalls discharging to Bayou Creek show elevated values. Therefore, there is the potential that Bayou Creek immediately below those outfalls may be impaired because of radiation. This lack of information represents a data gap. The University of Kentucky has been awarded a grant to collect and report on data from Bayou Creek and to produce TMDLs for the pollutants of concern if warranted.

Clanton Creek of Humphre	<u>y Creek</u>	Ballard County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.9		Segment Length:	4.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Nutrients, Excessive Algal Growth/Chlorphyll_a, Flow Alterations,		
	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Production),		
	Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modification	(Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of R	Riparian Vegetation	

Excessive Algal Growth/Chlorphyll_a, has been added as a pollutant of concern.

Little Bayou Creek of Bayou Creek		McCracken County	
From River mile 0.0 to 6.5		Segment Length:	6.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Fish Consumption (Nonsupport), Minimum		
_	Criteria (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	PCB's, Metals, Radiation		
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Land Disp	osal	

See Approved TMDLs (for PCBs).

The impairment created by radiation is more accurately defined as an impairment of the minimum criteria for all surface waters. Therefore, that impaired use has been included in this listing. A compilation of available data is being conducted through a grant from the Department of Energy.

Tennessee River Basin

Angle Creek of Little Cypr From River Mile 0.0 to 0.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Marshall County Segment Length: life (Partial Support)	0.7
Bear Creek of Tennessee F From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:			3.2), Municipal Point
Bee Creek of Clarks River From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Unknown	Calloway County Segment Length:	1.8
Blizzard Pond of West For From River Mile 0.0 to 3.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		McCracken County Segment Length:	3.7
<u>Champion Creek of Island</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 1.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		McCracken County Segment Length:	1.5
		ation, Nutrients, Pathoge are (Crop-related Source segment to be 50.9 to 59	s)
<u>Clayton Creek of Clarks R</u> From River Mile 3.3 to 7.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>iver</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Unknown	Calloway County Segment Length:	3.8

Cypress Creek of Tennessee	e River	Marshall County	
From River Mile 6.3 to 7.7		Segment Length:	1.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow	v), Siltation, Organic En	richment/Low
	DO		
Suspected Source:	Habitat Modification (Other than Hy Vegetation	ydromodification) - Rem	oval of Riparian
Cypress Creek of Tennessee	River	Marshall County	
From River Mile 7.7 to 9.7		Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Lengui.	2.0
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Suspected Source.	Chikhowh		
Damon Creek of West Fork	Clarks River	Calloway County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8		Segment Length:	1.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	0	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, Pathogens	ing (1 (onsepport)	
Suspected source:	Agriculture (Intense Animal Feedin	g Operation and Grazing	-related sources)
		6 • F • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,
Island Creek of Tennessee H	River	McCracken County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.5		Segment Length:	5.5
Impaired Uses:	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic L	0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown, Unknown		
I			
Little Cypress Creek of Cyp	oress Creek	Marshall County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4		Segment Length:	3.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown, Unknown		
I			
Little Cypress Creek of Cyp	oress Creek	Marshall County	
From River Mile 3.4 to 6.0		Segment Length:	2.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
I			
Middle Fork Clarks River o	f Clarks River	Calloway County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7		Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swir		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture		
I	0		
Middle Fork Creek of Clark	s River	Marshall County	
From River Mile 0.2 to 6.6		Segment Length:	6.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic L		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown, Unknown		
•			

UT to Old Beaver Dam Slo	ugh (River Mile 0.4)	Marshall County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.5	-	Segment Length:	0.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo	w)	
Suspected Source:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		
West Fork of Clarks River		Graves County	
From River Mile 12.8 to 16	5.8	Segment Length:	4.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	0 0	

Pathogens

Unknown

Upper Cumberland River Basin

Bailey Creek of Clover For	<u>k</u>	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5		Segment Length:	2.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
	Pipes)		

See Approved TMDLs.

Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:

Bear Creek of South Fork C	Cumberland River	McCreary County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2		Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimn	ning (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction (Surface and S	Subsurface Mining)	
	1	W1111 0	

Beck's Creek of Jellico Creek		Whitley County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5		Segment Length:	3.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		

This listing is the result of extirpation of *Phoxinus cumberlandensis* (blackside dace) from the stream segment since November 1975.

Big Indian Creek of Cumbe	erland River	Knox County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.1		Segment Length:	5.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	- Nonirrigated Crop Prod	uction),
_	Construction (Land Development)		

Brush Creek of Cumberland From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8		Knox County Segment Length:	2.8
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat	Alterations (Other than	Flow). Turbidity
Suspected Source:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoratio	•	· · · ·
	Extraction (Surface Mining, Subsur	0	0
	Inactive Mining), Habitat Modifica Removal of Riparian Vegetation an	· · ·	,
	Kemova of Kiparian vegetation an		staomzation
Brush Creek of Roundstone	e Creek	Rockcastle County	
From River Mile 1.1 to 7.5		Segment length:6.4	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite and/or Straight Pipes)	Wastewater System – S	eptic Tanks
Buck Creek of Cumberland	River	Pulaski County	
From River Mile 44.9 to 45.4		Segment Length:	0.5
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support))	
Pollutant(s):	Mercury		

This listing is designated First Priority because of the presence of a federally threatened and endangered species in this OSRW reach.

Bucks Branch of Jellico Cre	whitley/McCreary Counties
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3	Segment Length: 2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Low pH
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)

Atmospheric Deposition

See Delisting Requests.

Suspected Sources:

Cane Branch of Middle For	k (Beaver Creek)	McCreary County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.0		Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swit	mming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine	Drainage)	

See TMDLs Under Development.

Catron Creek of Martins Fo	<u>ork</u>	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.5		Segment Length:	8.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Pipes)	Systems - Septic Tanks	and/or Straight

See Approved TMDLs.

Clover Fork of Cumberland From River mile 0.0 to 29.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Harlan County Segment Length: Systems - Septic Tanks a	29.1 and/or Straight
See Approved TMDLs.			
Clover Fork of Cumberland From River mile 29.1 to 30 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:			1.2 and/or Straight
See Approved TMDLs.			
Clover Fork of Cumberland From River mile 30.3 to 34 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Harlan County Segment Length: Systems - Septic Tanks a	4.2 and/or Straight
See Approved TMDLs.			
Cloverlick Creek of Poor Fe From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Ork Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow Resource Extraction, Land Disposal Tanks and/or Straight Pipes)	w), Suspended Solids, Pa	
See Approved TMDLs.			
Copperas Fork of Cooper C From River Mile 0.0 to 3.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	reek Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm Low pH Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dr		3.8
See TMDLs Under Develop	oment.		
Cumberland River of the O From River Mile 649.6 to 6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Bell County Segment Length:	1.0 r Systems –
Suspected Source.	Septic Tanks and/or Straight Pipes).		

Cumberland River of the Ol	hio River	Bell County	
From River Mile 650.6 to 6	54.5	Segment Length:	3.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Sources, Land Disp		
	Septic Tanks and/or Straight Pipes)	, Collection System Failu	ire
See Approved TMDLs.			
Cumberland River of the Ol	hio River	Harlan County	
From River Mile 684.9 to 6	94.2	Segment Length:	9.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Sources, Land Disp Septic Tanks and/or Straight Pipes).		•
	Septie Tanks and/or Straight Fipes).	, Concetton System Pane	lic
See Approved TMDLs.			
Elk Spring Creek of Beaver	Creek	Wayne County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.8		Segment Length:	7.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Ewing Creek of Cumberland	d River	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7		Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Erosic	on and Sedimentation), R	lesource
-	Extraction (Surface Mining)		
Formin Fourth Croals of Morrow	whome Creek	Cumborland County	
Ferris Fork Creek of Marrov From River Mile 0.0 to 1.2	wbolle Cleek	Cumberland County Segment Length:	1.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Lengui.	1.2
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Habitat Modification (Other than H		oval of Rinarian
Suspected Source.	Vegetation, Agriculture (Grazing-re		ovar of Riparian
		,	
Jenneys Branch of Laurel C	<u>'reek</u>	McCreary County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4		Segment Length:	3.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Silviculture		

This listing is designated First Priority because of the presence of a federally threatened and endangered species in this OSRW reach.

Laurel Fork of Clear Fork		Whitley County	
From River Mile 10.3 to 1	3.9	Segment Length:	3.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	- Nonirrigated Crop Proc	duction),
	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration	on, Residue Managemen	t)

This listing is designated First Priority because of the presence of a federally threatened and endangered species in this OSRW reach.

Laurel River of Cumberland	d River	Laurel County	
From River Mile 36.6 to 46	5.3	Segment Length:	9.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources, Nonirrigated Crop Production), Agriculture (Grazing-related source, Pasture Grazing-riparian and/o Upland), Agriculture (Intense Animal Feeding Operations), Resource Extraction (Surface Mining)		in and/or

Left Fork of Straight Creek	Bell County
From River mile 0.0 to 13.0	Segment Length: 13.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Suspended Solids, Low pH
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Package Plants – Small Flows), Resource
	Extraction

See Approved TMDLs for Pathogens.

Little Clear Creek of Clear	Creek	Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.4	l .	Segment Length:	10.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin	mming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	pH, Habitat Alterations (Other than	Flow), Siltation	
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction, Silviculture		

This listing has been changed to First Priority as a result of the extirpation of *Phoxinus cumberlandensis* (blackside dace) from the stream since November 1975.

Little Laurel River of Laure	el River	Laurel County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.3		Segment Length:	8.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Source (Major Mu	nicipal Point Sources)	
Little Laurel River of Laure	el River	Laurel County	
From River Mile 8.3 to 12.4	4	Segment Length:	4.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I	Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Organic Enrichment/Lo	w DO, Siltation, Habitat	Alterations
	(Other than Flow)		
Suspected Source:	Construction (Land Development),	Municipal Point Sources	s, Agriculture

Little Laurel River of Laure From River Mile 12.4 to 14		Laurel County Segment Length: 2.1	2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I	6 6	_
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Organic Enric		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agricultu		
Little Laurel River of Laure	el River	Laurel County	
From River Mile 14.6 to 22	2.8	Segment Length: 8.1	2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source	es)	
Little South Fork of South	Fork Cumberland River	Wayne/McCreary Counties	s
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.1		Segment Length: 4.	1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction		

This listing is the result of extirpation of *Phoxinus cumberlandensis* (blackside dace) from the stream since November 1975.

Looney Creek of Poor Fork	<u> </u>	inty	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.5	Segment Le	ength: 5.5	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems - Se	ptic Tanks and/or Straight	
	Pipes)		

See Approved TMDLs.

Suspected Source:

Lynn Camp Creek of Laurel River		Laurel/Knox/Whitley	Counties
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.5		Segment Length:	4.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swim	nming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than F	low), Oil and Grease, Su	spended Solids,
	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Spills (Accidental), Urban Runof	f/Storm Sewers, Habitat	Modification
	(Other than Hydromodification)		
Marsh Creek of Cumberlan	d River	McCreary County	
From River Mile 13.3 to 16	.3	Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		

This stream segment is an OSRW containing a federally threatened and endangered species.

Silviculture

Marsh Creek of Cumberland River		McCreary County	
From River Mile 18.7 to 24	I.O	Segment Length:	5.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (C	ther than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction, Agricultur	re	

This stream segment is an OSRW and contains one or more federally threatened and endangered species.

Martins Fork of Clover For	<u>k</u>	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.	1	Segment Length:	10.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Sources, Land Dis	posal (Onsite Wastewate	r Systems –
	Septic Tanks and/or Straight Pipes)	1	

See Approved TMDLs.

Martins Fork of Clover For	k	Harlan County	
From River Mile 18.0 to 27	.4	Segment Length:	9.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Sw	imming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction		

A recent biological assessment shows that this segment now fully supports aquatic life use. However, no pH data have been collected. Therefore, this listing has been carried forward from the 1998 303(d) Report.

Mitchell Creek of Sinking	Creek	Laurel County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.6		Segment Length:	3.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Poor Fork of Cumberland H	River	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 14.	9	Segment Length:	14.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic Tanks	and/or Straight
	Pipes), Municipal Point Sources (M	linor Municipal Point Sc	ources), Municipal
	Point Sources (Package Plants - Sn	nall Flows)	

See Approved TMDLs.

Poor Fork of Cumberland R From River Mile 14.9 to 16 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):		Harlan County Segment Length: .ife (Partial Support)	1.4	
Suspected Source:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater & Pipes), Municipal Point Sources (M Point Sources (Package Plants – Sm	inor Municipal Point Sou	urces), Municipal	
See Approved TMDLs.				
Poor Fork of Cumberland R From River Mile 16.3 to 25 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Harlan County Segment Length:	8.8	
Suspected Source.	Pipes), Municipal Point Sources (M Point Sources (Package Plants – Sm	inor Municipal Point Sou		
See Approved TMDLs.	See Approved TMDLs.			
Poor Fork of Cumberland R From River Mile 25.1 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Harlan County Segment Length: Systems - Septic Tanks a	2.4 nd/or Straight	
	Pipes), Municipal Point Sources (M Point Sources (Package Plants – Sm		arces), Municipal	
Richland Creek of Cumberl From River Mile 0.0 to 6.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	and River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low		6.2	
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction (Surface Minim Construction), Construction (Land I	ng), Construction (Highw		
See Approved TMDLs.				
Richland Creek of Cumberl From River Mile 6.2 to 19.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Knox County Segment Length:	19.6	

See Approved TMDLs.

Roaring Paunch Creek of S	outh Fork Cumberland River	McCreary County
From River Mile 0.0 to 15.0	5	Segment Length: 15.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swi	imming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine	e Drainage)

The latest biological assessment information shows that the stream fully supports the aquatic life use. However, it will remain on the 303(d) list until more pH data are collected.

Roundstone Creek of Rock	castle River	Rockcastle County	
From River Mile 16.9 to 23	3.7	Segment Length:	6.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Hab	itat Alterations (Other tl	nan Flow), Flow
	Alterations		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Habitat Modification (Other than H		(Channelization),

This OSRW stream reach is designated First Priority because of the presence of a federally threatened and endangered species.

Ryans Creek of Jellico Cree	<u>ek</u> McCreary/Whitley Counti	ies
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.3	Segment Length: 5	.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH, Suspended Solids	
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

See TMDLs Under Development for pH.

Sims Fork of Left Fork Straight Creek		Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.2		Segment Length:	5.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		

This OSRW stream segment contains a federally threatened and endangered species.

South Fork Rockcastle Rive	er of Rockcastle River	Laurel County	
From River Mile 20.8 to 21	.5	Segment Length:	0.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources),		Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of R	Riparian Vegetation	

S	toney Fork of Bennetts Fo	<u>ork</u>	Bell County	
F	rom River Mile 0.0 to 5.2		Segment Length:	5.2
I	npaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Р	ollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat	Alterations (Other than	Flow), Turbidity
		Suspected Source: Silviculture (H	arvesting, Restoration, a	nd Residue
		Management), Habitat Modification	n (Other than Hydromod	ification) –
		Removal of Riparian Vegetation an	d Bank Modification/De	estabilization

Stoney Fork of Straight Cre	ek	Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.4		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat	Alterations (Other than H	Flow), Turbidity
Suspected Source:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration, and Residue Management), Habitat		
-	Modification (Other than Hydromo	÷	
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/		
	(Surface, Subsurface, Abandoned, a		
		Ċ,	
Straight Creek of Cumberla	nd River	Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.7		Segment Length:	1.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic l	e e	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic Tanks a	nd/or Straight
F	Pipes), Resource Extraction	- J	
See Approved TMDLs for p	pathogens.		
~ ~ ~			
Straight Creek of Cumberla		Bell/Harlan Counties	
From River Mile 1.7 to 23.5		Segment Length:	21.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater	Systems - Septic Tanks a	nd/or Straight
	Pipes)		
See Approved TMDLs.			
LIT of Language Duranch of Div		MaCrosser Country	
UT of Jennys Branch at Riv From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1	er Mile 5.4	McCreary County	1 1
	A motio Life (Negenerat)	Segment Length:	1.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Nutrients	n and Cadimantation) I	and Diamogal
Suspected Source:	Urban runoff/Storm Sewers (Erosic		
	(Onsite Wastewater System - Septio	c Tanks and/or Straight P	ipes)
UT of Little Laurel River at	River Mile 15 8	Laurel County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.4		Segment Length:	1.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Length.	1.1
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	r than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Habitat Modification (Other than H	,	oval of Rinarian
Suspected Source.	Vegetation	(yuroniounication) - Ken	ovar of Riparian
	vegetation		
White Oak Creek of Rock C	Creek	McCreary County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.2		Segment Length:	4.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	6 6	.—
Pollutant(s):	Metals, pH, Habitat Alterations	6 (- ···································	
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction		
Suspected Source.	Resource Entraction		

See TMDLs Under Development for pH.

White Oak Creek of Sinkin	<u>g Creek</u>	Laurel County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0		Segment Length:	1.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Suspended Solids, Turbidity		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	 Nonirrigated Crop Product 	uction),
	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source - Pasture Grazing - Riparian and		
	Upland), Urban Runoff/Storm Sew	ers (Erosion and Sedimer	ntation)
Whitley Branch of Little La	urel River	Laurel County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0		Segment Length:	1.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ning (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Collectio	n System Failure	
Whitley Branch of Little La	urel River	Laurel County	
From River Mile 1.0 to 2.5		Segment Length:	1.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Collection System Failure		
Wildcat Branch of Cumber	and River	Pulaski County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.1		Segment Length:	2.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)		
See TMDIs Under Develop	ment.		
Wolf Creek of Clear Fork		Whitley County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8		Segment Length:	1.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Extraction (Surface Mining)	Nonirrigated Crop Prod	uction) Resource
Yocum Creek of Clover Fo	rk	Harlan County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.5		Segment Length:	6.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	Segment Dengun	0.0
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Pipes)	Systems - Septic Tanks a	and/or Straight
See Approved TMDLs.			

Section 2.5.3.2 2nd Priority Listings

Lower Cumberland Basin

Casey Creek of Little River From River Mile 0.0 to 3.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation Sources Outside State Jurisdiction of	Trigg County Segment Length: or Borders	3.6
Donaldson Creek of Cumbe From River Mile 9.6 to 14.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		<i>,</i>	4.6
Dry Creek of Eddy Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown	Caldwell County Segment Length:	3.5
Eddy Creek of Cumberland From River Mile 16.9 to 19 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Caldwell County Segment Length:	2.8
Ferguson Creek of Cumberl From River Mile 1.1 to 2.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	and River Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown	Livingston County Segment Length:	1.1
Kenady Creek of Muddy Fo From River Mile 0.0 to 3.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	o <u>rk</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown	Trigg County Segment Length:	3.9
Little River of Cumberland From River Mile 23.6 to 33 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Ietals (Mercury)	9.5 pport)

Iron is associated with siltation.

Little River of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)Trigg/Christian CountiesFrom River Mile 34.4 to 48.4Segment Length:14.0			es 14.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin	5	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources),	Municipal Point Source	S
Livingston Creek of Cumber From River Mile 11.6 to 15		Crittenden/Lyon Count	
		Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
•			
Lyon County was added sir	ace the last report.		
Lower Branch of North For	k Little River	Christian County	
From River Mile 3.7 to 9.2		Segment Length:	5.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
North Fork Little River of I	Little River	Christian County	
From River Mile 0.3 to 6.9		Segment Length:	6.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin	mming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Sources, Agricultu	re, Urban Runoff/Storm	Sewers
Red River of Cumberland H	River	Logan County	
From River Mile 50.1 to 54	.2	Segment Length:	4.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Red River of Cumberland H	River	Simpson County	
From River Mile 73.5 to 80	0.5	Segment Length:	7.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Sinking Fork of Little River	<u>r</u>	Trigg County	
From River Mile 2.2 to 5.6		Segment Length:	3.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Sugar Creek of Cumberland	1 River	Livingston County	
From River mile 2.1 to 6.7		Segment Length:	4.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
_			

Mississippi River Basin

Brush Creek of Obion Cree	<u>k</u>	Graves County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.3		Segment Length:	8.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture, Hydromodification (C	Channelization and Dredgi	ing)

Brush Creek of Obion Creek	K Hickman County
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.0	Segment Length: 6.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow), Excessive
	Algal Growth/ Chlorophyll_a
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Production),
	Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modification (Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Vegetation

Cane Creek of Shawnee Cre	<u>eek</u>	Ballard County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.8		Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
_			
		a a	

Goose Creek of Wilson Cr	eek Graves County
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4	Segment Length: 4.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow), Flow Alterations
Suspected Source:	Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modification (Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Vegetation

Hurricane Creek of Obion	<u>Creek</u>	Carlisle County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.7		Segment Length:	3.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat	Alterations (Other than	Flow)
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	Nonirrigated Crop Proc	duction),
	Hydromodification (Channelization	n), Habitat Modification	(Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of H	Riparian Vegetation	

Little Bayou de Chien of E From River Mile 0.0 to 2.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Hickman/Fulton Coun Segment Length: (Other than Hydromodif	2.1
Fulton County has been ad	ded to the listing.		
Little Mud Creek of Bayou From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:			1.8 duction)
Mayfield Creek of Mississ From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Carlisle/Ballard Count Segment Length: ow), Flow Alterations	ties 3.4
Mayfield Creek of Mississ From River Mile 14.8 to 1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Carlisle County Segment Length: er than Flow)	2.6
Mayfield Creek of Mississ From River Mile 17.4 to 3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		n), Habitat Modification	
Mayfield Creek of Mississ From River Mile 32.9 to 3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>+ +</u>	n), Habitat Modification	2.0 (Other than
Mayfield Creek of Mississ From River Mile 37.6 to 4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		n), Habitat Modification	3.2 (Other than

Obion Creek of Mississippi From River Mile 42.0 to 47 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:			5.6
Obion Creek of Mississippi From River Mile 47.6 to 56 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Graves County Segment Length:	8.4
Running Slough of Obion F From River Mile 0.0 to 15.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Fulton County Segment Length:	15.3
Shawnee Creek of Mississi From River Mile 8.9 to 17.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:			
	Ohio River Basin		
Humphrey Creek of Ohio F From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>River</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alterations (Other than Flor Habitat Modification (Other than H	·	3.4
Humphrey Creek of Ohio F From River Mile 3.4 to 11.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Ballard County Segment Length:	7.6
Massac Creek of Ohio Rive From River Mile 3.6 to 4.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	er Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation , Habitat Alterations (Othe Urban Runoff/ Storm Sewers (High Sedimentation), Resource Extractio (Other than Hydromodification) - R	way/Road/Bridge Runof n (Dredge Mining), Hab	itat Modification

Tennessee River Basin

Camp Creek of West Fork C	Clarks River	McCracken County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.4		Segment Length:	5.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support), Aquat	ic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown, Unknown		
Chestnut Creek of Clarks R	iver	Marshall County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0		Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support), Aquat	0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown, Unknown		
Clarks River of Tennessee I	River	McCracken County	
From River Mile 5.0 to 12.7		Segment Length:	7.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	~-88	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Clarks River of Tennessee I	liver	Calloway County	
From River Mile 59.9 to 61		Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support), Aquati		2.0
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Unknown	ie Elie (i artial Support)	
Suspected Source:	Unknown, Unknown		
Suspected Source.	Ulkilowii, Ulkilowii		
Clayton Creek of Clarks Riv	ver	Calloway County	
From River Mile 0.8 to 3.3		Segment Length:	2.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Guess Creek of Tennessee I	River	Livingston County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.6		Segment Length:	2.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Island Creek of Tennessee I	River	McCracken County	
From River Mile 5.5 to 10.3		Segment Length:	4.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	~-88	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
*			
Jonathan Creek of Tennesse		Calloway/Marshall Cou	
From River Mile 6.2 to 18.0		Segment Length:	11.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		

Middle Fork Clarks River of Clarks River		Calloway County	
From River Mile 2.7 to 4.9		Segment Length:	2.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Siltat	ion	
Suspected Source:	Agriculture		
Reeves Branch of Sugar Cre	eek	Marshall County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.3		Segment Length:	0.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Spring Creek of West Fork	Clarks River	Graves County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.8		Segment Length:	1.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	c c	
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Siltat	tion, Flow Alteration	
Suspected Source:	Channelization, Draining and Filling	g of Wetlands	
West Fork of Clarks River		Graves County	
From River Mile 2.6 to 10.1		Segment Length:	7.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
West Fork of Clarks River		Marshall County	
West Fork of Clarks River From River Mile 19.7 to 22	.7	Marshall County Segment Length:	3.0
From River Mile 19.7 to 22		Marshall County Segment Length:	3.0
	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	•	3.0
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s):		•	3.0
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury)	Segment Length:	3.0
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown	Segment Length: Calloway County	3.0 4.6
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown	Segment Length:	
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: West Fork of Clarks River	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support)	Segment Length: Calloway County	
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown	Segment Length: Calloway County	
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length:	
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Calloway County	
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 33.1 to 37	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length:	4.6
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 33.1 to 37 Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown .2	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Calloway County	4.6
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 33.1 to 37	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown .2 Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Calloway County	4.6
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 33.1 to 37 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown .2 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length:	4.6
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 33.1 to 37 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown .2 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown old channel)	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Graves County	4.6
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 33.1 to 37 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River (</u>	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown .2 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown old channel)	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length:	4.6
From River Mile 19.7 to 22 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 22.7 to 27 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River</u> From River Mile 33.1 to 37 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source: <u>West Fork of Clarks River (</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 13.8	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Unknown .3 Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown .2 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Unknown Unknown Old channel)	Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Calloway County Segment Length: Graves County	4.6

West Fork of Clarks River ((old channel)	Marshall County	
From River Mile 19.7 to 22	2.7	Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Mercury)		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
	Upper Cumberland River	Basin	
Bennetts Fork of Yellow Cr	reek Bynass	Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.5	<u>cer Dypass</u>	Segment Length:	7.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Dengun	110
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
D's Dense Carela of Carela	de est D'esses	Court of Courts	
Big Renox Creek of Cumbe	erland River	Cumberland County	5 0
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.8		Segment Length:	5.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Briary Creek of Buck Creek	<u>×</u>	Pulaski County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4		Segment Length:	4.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Extraction (Dredge Mining), Recrea Vehicles)	e i	
Crocus Creek of Cumberlar	nd River	Cumberland/Adair Cou	nties
From River Mile 4.8 to 16.9		Segment Length:	12.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (-	cation) - Removal
	of Riparian Vegetation and Bank M		
Crooked Creek of Roundsto	one Creek	Rockcastle County	
From River Mile 1.0 to 6.4	<u>she creek</u>	Segment Length:	5.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)	Segment Dengun	5.1
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite and/or Straight Pipes)	Wastewater Systems – S	Septic Tanks
Cumberland River of Ohio	River	Harlan County	
From River Mile 660.1 to 6		Segment Length:	6.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	2 0	
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Iron)		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		

The pollutant of concern is metals (iron), but is more correctly tied to siltation.

East Fork Lynn Camp Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 4.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		Knox/Whitley Counties Segment Length:	⁵ 4.5
Gilmore Creek of Crab Ord From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:			es 4.7
Goodin Creek of Cumberla From River Mile 2.1 to 2.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:			0.2 val of Riparian
Greasy Creek of Cumberlan From River Mile 0.0 to 11. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Bell County Segment Length: Systems - Septic Tanks	11.4 and/or Straight
See Approved TMDLs. <u>Hatchell Branch of Eagle C</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		McCreary County Segment Length:	1.0
Indian Creek of Buck Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 4.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	<u>k</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Othe Resource Extraction (Dredge Minir		4.1
Little Poplar Creek of Cum From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:		÷ .	

Lynn Camp Creek of Laure From River Mile 4.6 to 10.		Knox/Whitley Countie Segment Length:	s 6.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	2 oginene Zengen	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO	
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources		luction).
	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc		
	Construction (Highway/Roads/Brid	e j	
	Development), Urban Runoff/Storr		
		~	,
Marrowbone Creek of Cun	nberland Creek	Cumberland County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8		Segment Length:	2.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo	w)	
Suspected Source:	Habitat Modification (Other than H Vegetation	lydromodification) - Rer	noval of Riparian
Martins Fork of Clover For	r <u>k</u>	Harlan County	
From River Mile 10.1 to 17	7.0	Segment Length:	6.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Meadow Creek of Cumber		Whitley/Knox Countie	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.8		Segment Length:	6.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources		
	Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc	es - Pasture Grazing-Up	land), Resource
	Extraction (Surface Mining)		
Middle Fork of Richland C	'reek	Knox County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.2		Segment Length:	1.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	2 oginene Zengen	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Resource Extraction (Surface Mini	ng), Construction (Highy	way/Road/Bridge
	Construction and Land Developme		•
	Nonirrigated Crop Production)	-	
Mud Creek of Clear Fork		Whitley County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.1		Segment Length:	5.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Source:	Construction (Highway/Road/Bridg		-
	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	- Nonirrigated Crop Pro	duction)
Pitman Creek of Cumberla	nd River	Pulaski County	
From River Mile 4.0 to 5.7		Segment Length:	1.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Sogment Longth.	1.1
Pollutant(s):	Unknown Toxicity		
Suspected Source:	Municipal Point Sources (Major M	unicipal Point Sources)	

Puckett Creek of Cumberla From River Mile 0.0 to 10.		Bell/Harlan Counties Segment Length:	10.0
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater		s and/or Straight
Suspected Source.	Pipes)	Systems Septe Funk	s und of Struight
See Approved TMDLs.			
Raccoon Creek of South For From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7		Laurel County Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Habi	itat Alterations (Other th	an Flow)
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources), Silviculture, Resource Extraction		
Renfro Creek of Roundstor		Rockcastle County	2.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0 Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Length:	3.0
Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Municipal Point Source (Package P		
	Runoff/Storm Sewers, Hydromodif	ication (Upstream Impou	indment)
Rock Creek of South Fork From River Mile 0.0 to 4.1	Cumberland River	McCreary County Segment Length:	4.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin		7.1
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Low pH Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dr	ainage)	
See TMDLs Under Develo	pment.	-	
Rock Creek of South Fork		McCreary County	
From River Mile 16.6 to 21 Impaired Use(s):	1.9 Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	Segment Length:	5.3
Pollutant(s):	Mercury		
Suspected Source:	Unknown		
Sam Branch of Fishing Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 0.5	<u>ek</u>	Pulaski County Segment Length:	0.5
Impaired Source:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	beginent Length.	0.5
Pollutant(s): Suspected Source:	Siltation Habitat Modification (Other than H	vdromodification) - Rem	oval of Rinarian
Suspected Source.	Vegetation, Agriculture	yuromounication) - Ken	loval of Riparian
Skegg Creek of Rockcastle		Rockcastle County	2.2
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.2 Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Length:	3.2
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low		
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Extraction (Surface Mining), Urban Permitted)		

South Fork of Rockcastle R From River Mile 21.5 to 25		Laurel County Segment Length:	4.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Length.	4.0
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Alterations (Other than Flow)	DO, Flow Alterations, H	Iabitat
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources), Hydromodification (Channelization Hydromodification) - Removal of F Modification/Destabilization), Habitat Modification (Other than
Stinking Creek of Cumberl	and River	Knox County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.1		Segment Length:	2.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, pH, Habitat Alterations (C	Other than Flow), Oil and	l Grease
Suspected Source:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	Nonirrigated Crop Prod	uction), Resource
	Extraction (Surface and Abandoned	Mining, Petroleum Acti	ivities),
	Hydromodification (Channelization		
Yellow Creek of Cumberla	nd River	Bell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.8		Segment Length:	0.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Dengui.	0.0
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterati	ions (Other than Flow)	
	TDS/Chlorides/Salinity		
Suspected Source:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		
Suspected Source.			
Yellow Creek of Cumberland	nd River	Bell County	
From River Mile 0.8 to 8.9		Segment Length:	8.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterati	ons (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Source:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		
_			

Section 2.5.3.3 Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs

Stream Segments Assessed As Impaired Based Solely On Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs).

Lower Cumberland River Basin

Hammond Creek of Cumberland River	Lyon County	
From River Mile 2.0 to 2.2	Segment Length:	0.2

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (un-ionized), chlorine, organic enrichment/Low DO, and suspended solids.

West Fork Creek of Trenton Road	Todd County
From River Mile 0.6 to 1.6	Segment Length: 1.6

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates an aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (un-ionized), organic enrichment/Low DO, and suspended solids.

Mississippi River Basin

Cane Creek of Obion Creek	Graves County
From River Mile 3.2 to 4.0	Segment Length: 0.8

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized), organic enrichment/Low DO, and chlorine.

Long Creek of Hurricane Creek	Carlisle County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.8	Segment Length:	0.8

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized), organic enrichment/Low DO, chlorine, and suspended solids.

Shawnee Creek of Mississippi River	Ballard County	
From River Mile 7.9 to 8.9	Segment Length:	1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized), organic enrichment/Low DO, and chlorine.

Torian Creek of Mayfield Creek	Graves County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.8	Segment Length: 0).8

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant – Small Flow) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized).

Truman Creek of Mayfield Creek	Carlisle County	
From River Mile 2.0 to 3.0	Segment Length:	1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized) and organic enrichment/Low DO.

Ohio River Basin

Humphrey Creek of Ohio River	Ballard County	
From River Mile 11.0 to 12.2	Segment Length: 1.2	

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of organic enrichment/Low DO and suspended solids.

UT of Humphrey Branch at River Mile 1.6	Ballard County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.3	Segment Length:	1.3

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized). The aquatic life listing was not shown in the 2002 303(d) Report but should have been.

UT of Massac Creek at River Mile 5.2	McCracken County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.4	Segment Length:	0.4

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant - Small Flows) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized), organic enrichment/Low DO and suspended solids.

UT of Massac Creek at River Mile 7.0	McCracken County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.7	Segment Length: 0.7	7

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant - Small Flows) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized), organic enrichment/Low DO and suspended solids.

UT of West Fork Massac Creek at River Mile 1.6	McCracken County
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.8	Segment Length: 0.8

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant – Small Flow) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of organic enrichment/Low DO.

West Fork Massac Creek	McCracken County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.3	Segment Length:	0.3

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant – Small Flow) indicates an aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (un-ionized) and organic enrichment/Low DO.

Tennessee River Basin

Bear Creek of West Fork Clarks River	Graves County	
From River Mile 0.6 to 1.6	Segment Length: 1.0	

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized) and organic enrichment/Low DO.

Blizzard Pond of West Fork Clarks River	McCracken County	
From River Mile 4.5 to 5.5	Segment Length: 1.0	

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant – Small Flow) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized), organic enrichment/Low DO, and suspended solids.

East Fork Clarks River	Calloway County	
From River Mile 5.7 to 6.7	Segment Length: 1	.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens.

Little White Oak Creek of Tennessee River	Marshall County
From River Mile 0.9 to 1.9	Segment Length: 1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant – Small Flow) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of organic enrichment/Low DO.

Martin Creek of Clarks River	Marshall County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.9	Segment Length:	0.9

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized) and organic enrichment/Low DO.

UT of Chestnut Creek at River Mile 2.8	Marshall County
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.7	Segment Length: 0.7

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized), organic enrichment/Low DO, and suspended solids.

Upper Cumberland River Basin

Clear Fork Branch of Spring Creek	Clinton County	
From River Mile 2.6 to 3.6	Segment Length:	1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens.

Dry Branch of Pitman Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 0.3	Pulaski County Segment Length:	0.3
DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Pl use impairment because of ammonia (un-ionized).	ant – Small Flow) indica	ates an aquatic life
Moore Branch of Cannon Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 0.4	Bell County Segment Length:	0.4
DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package F use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic lif ionized), pH, and organic enrichment/Low DO.		
<u>UT of Bridge Fork (River Mile 5.5)</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 0.1	McCreary County Segment Length:	0.1
DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates an aquatic life use impairment because of organic enrichment/Low DO.		
UT of Clifty Creek (River Mile 6.4) From River Mile 0.0 to 0.5	Pulaski County Segment Length:	0.5
DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens.		
UT of Pond Creek (River Mile 6.0) From River Mile 0.0 to 0.2	Jackson County Segment Length:	0.2
DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant – Small Flow) indicates an aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (un-ionized).		
UT of Pond Creek (River Mile 7.6) From River Mile 0.0 to 0.2	Jackson County Segment Length:	0.2
DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant – Small Flow) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (unionized).		
Whitley Branch of Little Laurel River From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0	Laurel County Segment Length:	1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Major Municipal Point Source) indicates an aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (un-ionized).

Other Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs

Lower Cumberland River Basin

Little River of Cumberland River (Lake Barkley)		Trigg County	
From River Mile 20.4	to 23.6	Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Uses:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Flow Alterations		
Suspected Sources:	Hydromodification (Dam Construction	on, Flow Regulations/Mo	dification)

Tennessee River Basin

Tennessee River of Ohio R	liver	Marshall County	
From River Mile 21.1 to 2	2.4	Segment Length:	1.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support		
Pollutant(s):	Flow Alterations		
Suspected Source:	Hydromodification (Upstream In	npoundment)	

The impairment is a result of fish kills from nitrogen embolism because of large spillway releases from Kentucky Dam. This is pollution, not a pollutant.

Upper Cumberland River Basin

Cranks Creek of Martins F	ork	Harlan County	
From River Mile 1.9 to 2.5		Segment Length:	0.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Flow Alterations		
Suspected Source:	Hydromodification (Upstream Imp	poundment)	

A TMDL is not required because this is impairment is defined as being caused by pollution and not a pollutant.

Laurel River of Cumberland River		Laurel County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3		Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Flow Alterations, Habitat Alterations	s (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Hydromodification (Upstream Impor	undment)	

A TMDL is not required because this impairment is defined as being caused by pollution and not a pollutant.

Laurel River of Cumberlan	d River	Laurel County	
From River Mile 24.9 to 27	7.9	Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Flow Alterations		
Suspected Sources:	Hydromodification (Upstream Imp	poundment)	

A TMDL is not required because this impairment is defined as being caused by pollution and not a pollutant.

Section 2.5.4 Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit

Section 2.5.4.1 1st Priority Listings

Green River Basin

Bacon Creek of Nolin Rive	<u>r</u>	Hart/Larue Counties	
From River Mile 0.0 to 17.	2	Segment Length:	17.2
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite Tanks)	Wastewater Treatment S	Systems – Septic

Some data collection for pathogens has been done throughout the watershed by Western Kentucky University to define the areas of the watershed contributing to the impairment.

Bacon Creek of Nolin River	<u>r</u> Hart/Lar	rue Counties
From River Mile 17.2 to 26	5.3 Segment	t Length: 9.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Parti	al Support)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewa Tanks)	ater Treatment Systems – Septic

Some data collection for pathogens has been done throughout the watershed by Western Kentucky University to define the areas of the watershed contributing to the impairment.

Bacon Creek of Nolin Rive	<u>r</u>	Hart/Larue Counties	
From River Mile 26.3 to 31	1.2	Segment Length:	4.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite Tanks)	Wastewater Treatment	Systems – Septic

Some data collection for pathogens has been done throughout the watershed by Western Kentucky University to define the areas of the watershed contributing to the impairment.

Barren River of Green River		Allen/Monroe Counties	ties	
From Mile 110.0 to 124.3		Segment Length:	14.3	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)			
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens			
Suspected Sources:	Unknown			
-				
Bear Creek of Green River		Grayson County		
From River Mile 14.5 to 22	.2	Segment Length:	7.7	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)			
Pollutant(s):	Unknown			
Suspected Sources:	Unknown			

Beech Creek of Pond Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Impaired Use(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm Low pH Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dra	ing (Nonsupport)	3.4
See TMDLs Under Develo	pment.		
Big Creek of Russell Creek From River Mile 3.0 to 8.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic L Pathogens, Siltation, Habitat Alterat Unknown, Habitat Modifications (C	ions (Other than Flow)	ations)
Big Reedy Creek of Green From River Mile 7.5 to 13.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		ions (Other than Flow)	nties 6.1
Billy Creek of Valley Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 5.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic L Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Flow)		5.9 (Other than
Brier Creek of Pond River From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm Low pH Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dra		4.7
See Approved TMDLs.			
Brush Fork of Long Falls C From River Mile 0.0 to 3.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	reek Aquatic life (Nonsupport), Swimmin Siltation, pH, Habitat Alterations (C Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Resource Extraction (Surface Minin Habitat Modifications (Other than H Riparian Vegetation	Other than Flow) Nonirrigated and Irrigat g), Hydromodification (3.8 red Crop Prod), (Channelization),

Buck Creek of Green River From River Mile 0.0 to 8.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	McLean County Segment Length: Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic life (Partial Support) Siltation, Flow Alterations, Organic Enrichment/Low DO Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Prod (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations - Concentrated Anim Operations), Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat I (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Veg	al Feeding Modifications
Buck Fork of Pond River	Christian/Todd County	
From River Mile 14.0 to 20	5	6.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Habitat Modifications (Other than Hydromodific	ation)
Butler Fork of Russell Cree	k Adair County	
From River Mile 2.3 to 4.0	Segment Length:	1.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Habitat Modifications (Other than Hydromodific	ation)
Caney Creek of Pond Creek	Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 1.3 to 3.6	Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	2.0
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Salinity/TDS/Chlorides, Habitat Altera	tions (Other than
	Flow)	× ×
Suspected Sources:	Collection System Failure, Agriculture (Crop-related Source and Irrigated Crop Production), Hydromodification (Channe Modifications (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Vegetation, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Other Urban Runo and Sedimentation), Resource Extraction (Petroleum Activity	elization), Habitat f Riparian off and Erosion

The Greenville WWTP is under an Agreed Order (AO) to remedy the situation and is currently under a sewer sanction. Greenville WWTP has conducted extensive remediation of the system in complying with the AO. The remaining activity is the remediation of the East Depot Rd. lift station. KDOW anticipates full compliance of the AO in late 2004 or early 2005.

Caney Creek of Pond Creek		Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 3.6 to 5.5		Segment Length:	1.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Collection System Failure		

The Greenville WWTP is under an Agreed Order and is currently under a sewer sanction. Greenville WWTP has conducted extensive remediation of the system in complying with the AO. The remaining activity is the remediation of the East Depot Rd. lift station. KDOW anticipates full compliance of the AO in late 2004 or early 2005.

<u>Claylick Creek of Green Ri</u> From River Mile 2.0 to 3.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>ver</u> Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I Pathogens, Siltation, Habitat Altera Unknown, Habitat Modifications (O	tions (Other than Flow)	1.1 cation)
Craborchard Creek of Drak From River Mile 0.0 to 4.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) pH, Siltation, Total Dissolved Solic Resource Extraction (Surface Minin Modifications (Other than Hydrome	ng, Petroleum Activities) odification)	, Habitat
Craborchard Creek of Drak From River Mile 4.6 to 7.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm Low pH Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dr	Hopkins County Segment Length: ning (Nonsupport)	DLs for pH.
See Under Approved TMD <u>Crooked Creek of Panther (</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Daviess Co. Segment Length:	2.9
Cypress Creek of Pond Riv From River Mile 25.0 to 33 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			8.3
See TMDLs Under Develop Additional Assessment info	pment (for pH). prmation has recently been collected	indicating that TDS is al	so a problem.
Deer Creek of Green River From River Mile 8.2 to 17. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Webster County Segment Length:	9.3
Deserter Creek of South Fo From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	rk Panther Creek Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I Pathogens, Siltation, Flow Alteratic Unknown, Habitat Modifications (C Hydromodification (Channelizatior	ons, Habitat Alterations (Other than Hydromodific	

Dorsey Run of Sinks of Nolin River From River Mile 1.9 to 3.7		
	Segment Length:	1.8
Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterati	ons (Other than Flow), A	Algal
Growth/Chlorophyll_a		-
Upland), Habitat Modifications (Ot	her than Hydromodificat	ion) - Removal
•	Hopkins County	
-	Segment Length:	8.5
Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Low pH		
Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dr	ainage)	
See TMDLs Under Development.		
	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterati Growth/Chlorophyll_a Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland), Habitat Modifications (Ot of Riparian Vegetation, Urban Rund Sedimentation) Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm Low pH Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dr	Segment Length: Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow), A Growth/Chlorophyll_a Agriculture (Grazing-related Sources - Pasture Grazing - Rij Upland), Habitat Modifications (Other than Hydromodificat of Riparian Vegetation, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Erosic Sedimentation) Hopkins County Segment Length: Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport) Low pH Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)

East Fork Deer Creek	Webster County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.8	Segment Length: 6.8	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Production),	
	Agriculture (Intensive Animal Feeding Operations, Concentrated Animal	
	Feeding Operations)	

Elk Creek of Pond River		Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.4		Segment Length:	5.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	- Nonirrigated Crop Pro	duction),Urban
	runoff/storm sewers, Habitat Modifications (Other than Hydromodification) -		
	Removal of Riparian Vegetation, H	Hydromodification (Char	nnelization)

Elk Creek of Pond River		Hopkins County	
From River Mile 7.8 to 10.9	9	Segment Length:	3.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Collection System Failure		

The City of Madisonville is under an interim Agreed Order to make corrections to its system. Extensive work is underway to categorize the sources (mostly SSOs), and a Sanitary Sewer Overflow Plan (SSOP) has been developed. Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) study work is ongoing. Final AO is being routed for signature. Once signed, AO will be closed out.

Elk Pond Creek of Pond Ri	ver Muhlenburg County
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.5	Segment Length: 4.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Habitat Modifications (Other than Hydromodification)

Flat Creek of Pond River	Hopkins County
From River Mile 0.0 to 10.0	Segment Length: 10.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Low pH, Siltation, Total Dissolved Solids
Suspected Sources:	Construction (Hwy/Rd/Bridge Construction and Land Development), Urban
	Runoff/Storm Sewers (Industrial Permitted, Other Urban Runoff, Illicit
	Hook-ups, Hwy/Rd/Bridge Runoff, and Erosion and Sedimentation),
	Resource Extraction (Surface Mining, Petroleum Activities, and Acid Mine
	Drainage)

The 2002 303(d) Report incorrectly listed this segment as Flat Creek of Pond Creek. The TMDL for low pH is currently being developed by the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute.

Gilles Ditch of Rhodes Cre	<u>ek</u>	Daviess County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.9		Segment Length:	4.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Glens Fork of Russell Cree	<u>k</u>	Adair County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.0		Segment Length:	8.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Habitat Altera	ations (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Agriculture (Grazing-re	lated Sources - Pasture C	Brazing - Riparian

Grassy Creek of Rough Riv	ver	Ohio County	
From River Mile 0.8 to 2.9		Segment Length:	2.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Oth	er than Flow), Flow Alt	erations
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Surface Min and Dredging)	ing), Hydromodification	n (Channelization

Hart/Edmonson/Green Counties

66.7

Segment Length:

Green River of Ohio River		
From River Mile 183.5 to 250.2		
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture	

and/or Upland)

See Delisting Requests.

Groves Creek of Green Riv	Webster/Henderson Counties	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.2	Segment Length: 6.2	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Production), Hab	itat
	Modifications (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian	
	Vegetation	

Isaacs Creek of Pond River From River Mile 0.0 to 7.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimmi Siltation, pH Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dra		7.4
Suspected Sources.	Resource Extraction (Acid Mille Dia	amage and mactive winn	ng)
Jarrels Creek of Pond River From River Mile 0.0 to 1.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		ns, Habitat Alterations (C ther than Hydromodifica	
Jarrett Fork of Caney Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low I Agriculture (Crop-related Sources, C Animal Feeding Operations - Conce Hydromodification (Upstream Impor	Grazing-related Sources, ntrated Animal Feeding	
Jenny Hollow Branch of Ho From River Mile 0.0 to 2.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	rse Branch Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat A Agriculture (Grazing-related Source and Dredging), Habitat Modification Removal of Riparian Vegetation and	es), Hydromodification (as (Other than Hydromod	lification) –
Knoblick Creek of Deer Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 9.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low I Flow), Total Dissolved Solids Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland), Habitat Modifications (Oth of Riparian Vegetation	Nonirrigated Crop Prod es, Pasture Grazing - Rip	uction), arian and/or
Based on new data the list	ing has been changed from partial su	inport to nonsupport A	lso the previous

Based on new data, the listing has been changed from partial support to nonsupport. Also, the previous listing should have had Deer Creek as the receiving stream, not the Green River.

Knoblick Creek of Panther Creek		Daviess County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.1		Segment Length:	2.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Lick Creek of Green River From River Mile 0.0 to 3.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	Henderson County Segment Length: - Nonirrigated Crop Prod	3.7 luction)
Lick Creek of Green River From River Mile 4.9 to 13.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	7 Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation Hydromodification (Channelization	Henderson County Segment Length:	8.8
Little Muddy Creek of Gree From River Mile 4.9 to 6.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	en River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Unknown	Butler County Segment Length:	1.5
Little Pitman Creek of Big 1 From River Mile 5.9 to 10.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Taylor/Green Counties Segment Length: unicipal Point Sources)	4.2
Long Falls Creek of Green From River Mile 0.0 to 7.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>River</u> Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Pathogens Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Production), Resource Extraction (S Hydromodification (Channelization	r than Flow), Total Disso - Nonirrigated and Irriga Surface Mining and Petro	ted Crop

Based on new data, the two listings for Long Falls Creek replace the previous listing that was partial support for swimming for the segment 2.0 to 11.7.

Long Falls Creek of Green	River	McLean County	
From River Mile 7.5 to 11.8	3	Segment Length:	4.3
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, pH, Total Dissolved Solid	ds, Pathogens	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	- Nonirrigated Crop Pro	duction),
	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine D	rainage, Hydromodificat	tion
	(Channelization), Habitat Modifica	tions (Other than Hydro	modifications) -
	Removal of Riparian Vegetation		

Based on new data, the two listings for Long Falls Creek replace the previous listing that was partial support for swimming for the segment 2.0 to 11.7.

Long Lick Creek of Rough	River	Breckinridge County	2.4
From River Mile 4.5 to 6.9		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alteration Growth/Chlorophyll_a	ons (Other than Flow), A	Algal
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related and Graz	ing-related Sources) Ha	hitat
Suspected Sources.	Modifications (Other than Hydromo		
	Vegetation	diffeations) - Kemovar	
	· egetation		
Mill Creek of Smith Creek		Ohio County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.8		Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
1			
Mud River of Green River		Muhlenberg/Butler Cou	unties
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.0		Segment Length:	9.0
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	PCBs		
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources		
			~ ·
Mud River of Green River		Muhlenburg/Butler/Log	-
From River Mile 9.0 to 30.5		Segment Length:	21.5
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Mercury		
Suspected Sources:	Industrial Point Sources, Unknown		
Mud River of Green River		Logan County	
From River Mile 30.5 to 64	8	Segment Length:	34.4
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Nonsupport)	Segment Length.	54.4
Pollutant(s):	PCBs		
	Industrial Point Sources		
Suspected Source:	Industrial Point Sources		
Muddy Creek of Green Rive	er	Butler County	
From River Mile 8.3 to 12.1		Segment Length:	3.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow	V)	
Suspected Sources:	Hydromodification (Channelization)		
		, ,	
Muddy Creek of Rough Riv	ver	Ohio County	
From River Mile 1.9 to 3.9		Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Habitat Alterations (Other	r than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Hydromodification (Ch		
		W 11 G	
Narge Creek of Pond River		Hopkins County	1.7
From River Mile 2.2 to 3.9		Segment Length:	1.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Nolin River of Green River From River Mile 44.0 to 93 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	2.2 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens	Hart/Hardin/Grayson C Segment Length:	Counties 49.2
Suspected Sources: <u>North Branch of South Forl</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 12.4 Impaired Use(s):		Hancock/Ohio Countier Segment Length:	s 12.4
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Unknown Unknown		
North Fork Panther Creek of From River Mile 4.2 to 6.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	of Panther Creek Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I Pathogens, Habitat Alterations (Oth Unknown, Hydromodification (Cha	er than Flow), Flow Alte	1.8 erations
Based on new data, the prev	vious listing has been divided into se	veral segments.	
North Fork Panther Creek of From River Mile 6.0 to 9.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	of Panther Creek Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Habitat Alterations (Other than Flor Hydromodification (Channelization		3.5
Based on new data, the prev	vious listing has been divided into se	veral segments.	
Old Panther Creek of Panth From River Mile 0.4 to 5.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>er Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Unknown	Daviess County Segment Length:	5.3
Old Panther Creek of Panth From River Mile 5.7 to 8.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>er Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Unknown	Daviess County Segment Length:	2.6
Otter Creek of Pond River From River Mile 0.0 to 6.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Collection System Failure, Agricult Crop Production), Urban Runoff/St Hydromodification (Channelization	ure (Crop-related Sourc orm Sewers (Other Urba	es - Nonirrigated

Panther Creek of Green Riv From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		- Nonirrigated Crop Pro fication (Channelization)	, Habitat
Panther Creek of Green Riv		Daviess County.	
From River Mile 2.7 to 5.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture	Segment Length:	2.9
Panther Creek of Green Riv		Daviess County.	
From River Mile 17.1 to 19		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Nutrients (Phosphorus), Siltation, H Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Production), Agriculture (Grazing- Riparian and/or Upland), Hydromo Modification (Other than Hydromo Modification/Destabilization	- Nonirrigated and Irriga related Sources, Pasture odification (Channelizati	ated Crop Grazing -
Pettys Fork of Russell Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 6.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		ations elated Sources, Pasture (U 1
	and/or Optand), Habitat Modificat	ion (Other than Hydroine	Junication)
Pleasant Run of Drakes Cra From River Mile 0.0 to 2.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	eek Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimn Low pH, Siltation, Habitat Alterati Resource Extraction (Acid Mine D Hydromodification),	ons (Other than Flow)	2.1 cation (Other than

The pH TMDL has been approved by EPA Region 4. See Green/Tradewater Unit – Approved TMDLs. New assessment information has identified siltation and habitat alterations as pollutants of concern for this reach

Pleasant Run of Drakes Cre	ek Hopkins County	
From River Mile 2.1 to 7.9	Segment Length:	5.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage)	

The pH TMDL has been approved by EPA Region 4. See Green/Tradewater Unit – Approved TMDLs.

Plum Creek of Pond Creek	Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5	Segment Length: 2	2.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides	
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Inappropriate Waste Disposal/Wildcat Dumping	ng)

The Primary Recovery Facility site at Drakesboro has undergone remediation, which was completed in the fall 2000. The contaminated material has been removed and the site revegetated. Monitoring for chlorides is underway to determine the effectiveness of the remediation efforts. See Green/Tradewater Unit 1st Priority Listings - Pond Creek of Green River (RM 0.0 to 9.4).

Plum Creek of Pond Creek	č ;
From River Mile 2.5 to 4.3	Segment Length: 1.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodification)

Pond Creek of Green River	Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7	Segment Length:	4.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides	
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Inappropriate Waste Disposal/Wildcat Dur	iping)

Pond Creek of Green River	Muhlenburg County
From River Mile 4.7 to 9.4	Segment Length: 4.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Chlorides, Total Dissolved Solids, Siltation
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Inappropriate Waste Disposal/Wildcat Dumping), Resource
	Extraction (Surface Mining and Petroleum Activities), Hydromodification
	(Channelization), Habitat Modifications (Other than Hydromodification) -
	Bank Modification/Destabilization, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Erosion
	and Sedimentation).

This is the modified listing based on the most recent assessment information. The Primary Recovery Facility site at Drakesboro has undergone remediation, which was completed in the fall 2000. The contaminated material has been removed and the site revegetated. Monitoring for chlorides is continuing to determine the effectiveness of the remediation efforts.

Pond Creek of Green River	Muhlenburg County
From River Mile 9.4 to 13.6	Segment Length: 4.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Total Dissolved Solids, Siltation, pH, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Surface Mining, Petroleum Activities, and Acid Mine
	Drainage), Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modifications
	(Other than Hydromodification) - Bank Modification/Destabilization.

The low pH TMDL is currently being developed by the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute. See Green/Tradewater Unit - TMDLs Under Development.

Pond Creek of Green River		Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 13.6 to 10	5.3	Segment Length:	2.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimi	ming (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH, Habitat Alterations (Othe	er than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine D	Drainage)	

The low pH TMDL is currently being developed by the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute. See Green/Tradewater Unit - TMDLs Under Development.

Pond Creek of Green River		Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 16.3 to 20	0.0	Segment Length:	3.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dr	ainage), Hydromodifica	tion
(Channelization), Habitat Mod		tions (Other than Hydro	modification) -
	Removal of Riparian Vegetation, Un	rban Runoff/Storm Sewe	ers (Erosion and
	Sedimentation), Agriculture (Crop-	related Sources - Nonirr	rigated and
	Irrigated Crop Production)		-

The low pH TMDL is currently being developed by the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute. See Green/Tradewater Unit - TMDLs Under Development.

Pond Creek of Green River	Muhlenburg County
From River Mile 20.0 to 23	.8 Segment Length: 3.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s): Low pH, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources: Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage), Habitat Modification	
	than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Vegetation and Bank
	Modification/Destabilization

The low pH TMDL is currently being developed by the Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute. See Green/Tradewater Unit - TMDLs Under Development.

Poplar Grove Branch of Big Brush Creek		Taylor/Green Counties	
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0		Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Render Creek of Lewis Cre	ekOhio County
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.3	Segment Length: 3.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimming (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Low pH, Total Dissolved Solids, Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other
	than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Drainage), Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers
	(Erosion and Sedimentation), Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat
	Modifications (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian
	Vegetation

See TMDLs Under Development.

Rhodes Creek of Panther C	reek Davis County
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.3	Segment Length: 7.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alteration (other than flow), Siltation, Nutrients (Phosphorus)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Irrigated and nonirrigated crop production, Hydromodification
_	(channelization), Habitat modification, Removal of Riparian vegetation.

(channelization), Habitat modification, Removal of Riparian vegetation. New assessments divided the creek onto two segments. RM 0.0 to 6.4 and RM segment 6.4 to 7.3. This listing combines the two segments.

Richland Slough of Green River		Henderson/Daviess Cou	unties
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.2		Segment Length:	6.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	Nonirrigated and Irrigat	ed Crop
	Production), Hydromodification (C	hannelization)	
Russell Creek of Green Riv	<u>er</u>	Adair County	

Russen Creek of Oreen	River	ridun County		
From River Mile 40.0 to 41.5		Segment Length:	1.5	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)			
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens			
Suspected Sources:	Unknown			
Salt Lick Creek of Gasper River		Warren County.		
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.3		Segment Length:	1.3	

From River Mile 0.0 to 1.3	Segment Length: 1.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Habitat Modification (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Vegetation

South Fork Panther Creek of Panther Creek		Daviess County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.5		Segment Length:	9.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo	ow), Flow Alterations	
Suspected Sources:	Hydromodification (Channelizatio	n)	

South Fork Panther Creek of From River Mile 9.5 to 13. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		bitat Alterations, Flow A	
South Fork Russell Creek -	- See UT to South Fork Russell Cree	k.	
Sycamore Branch of Bear O From River Mile 0.0 to 1.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Edmonson County. Segment Length:	1.5
Taylor Fork of Bear Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 4.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Othe Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourd Upland), Urban Runoff/Storm Sew	ces, Pasture Grazing - Ri	4.0 parian and/or
Three Lick Fork of Muddy From River Mile 0.0 to 3.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		- Nonirrigated Crop Prong), Hydromodification	duction), (Channelization),
Town Branch of Mud Rive From River Mile 0.0 to 6.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Logan County Segment Length:	6.7
UT of Cool Springs Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 1.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			1.6 cation) - Removal

UT of Elk Creek at River M	<u>lile 8.8</u>	Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0		Segment Length:	1.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Collection System Failure		

The City of Madisonville is under an Agreed Order to make corrections to its system. Extensive work is under way to categorize the sources (mostly SSOs), and a Sanitary Sewer Overflow Plan (SSOP) has been developed. Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) study work is also ongoing.

<u>UT of Flat Creek at River M</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1	<u>Mile 1.9</u>	Hopkins County Segment Length:	3.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
<u>UT of Flat Creek at River M</u> From River Mile 3.1 to 4.1 Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	Hopkins County Segment Length:	1.0
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		

Collection System Failure

Suspected Sources:

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The City of Madisonville is under an interim Agreed Order (AO) to make corrections to its system. Extensive work is under way to categorize the sources (mostly SSOs), and a Sanitary Sewer Overflow Plan (SSOP) has been developed. Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) study work is also ongoing. Final AO is forthcoming.

UT of Pond Creek at River From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>Mile 8.8</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Unknown	Muhlenberg County Segment Length:	2.3
UT of South Fork Russell C From River Mile 0.0 to 0.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Green County Segment Length: tivities)	0.6
UT of West Fork Lewis Cro From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	eek at River Mile 1.4 Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Unknown	Ohio County Segment Length:	2.2
UT of Wiggington Creek at From River Mile 0.9 to 1.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>t River Mile 3.5</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Unknown	Logan County Segment Length:	1.0

Valley Creek of Nolin Rive From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	e <u>r</u> Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I Pathogens, Unknown Unknown, Unknown	Hardin County Segment Length: Life (Partial Support)	3.5
Valley Creek of Nolin Rive From River Mile 8.0 to 10.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		odification (Other than Riparian Vegetation and culture (Crop-related So	Bank urces),
Valley Creek of Nolin Rive From River Mile 10.3 to 11 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Hardin County Segment Length:	1.5
West Fork of Pond River From River Mile 19.6 to 26 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	5.0 Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Unknown	Christian/Hopkins Cou Segment Length:	nties 26.4
	Ohio River Basin		
Bayou Creek of Ohio River From River Mile 0.0 to 17.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			
Bear Run of Clover Creek From River Mile 1.5 to 1.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Flow) Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Upland), Silviculture (Harvesting, I Habitat Modification (Other than H Vegetation	ees, Pasture Grazing - Ri Restoration, Residue Ma	parian and/or nagement),

Butchers Branch of Blackfor	ord Creek	Hancock County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3		Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm	ing (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dr	rainage)	
See TMDLs Under Develop	pment.		
Casey Creek of Highland C	reek	Union County	
From River Mile 0.6 to 9.5		Segment Length:	8.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Total Dissolved Solids, Habitat Alte		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Hydromodification (Channelization (Petroleum Activities)	÷ .	
Crooked Creek of Ohio Riv	<u>er</u>	Crittenden County	
From River Mile 22.3 to 23	.3	Segment Length:	1.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Collection System Failure		
The City of Marion's waste	water treatment plant and collection	system are being upgrade	ed.
Deer Creek of Ohio River		Livingston/Crittenden C	Counties
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.9		Segment Length:	7.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Goose Pond Ditch/Wardens		Union County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 14.0		Segment Length:	14.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Highland Creek of Ohio Riv	ver	Union County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.1		Segment Length:	7.1
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic I	Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Hydromodification (Br		
	Modifications (Other than Hydrome		Riparian
	Vegetation and Bank Modification/	Destabilization)	
Sugg Creek of Cypress Cree	ek/Dennis O'Nan Ditch	Union County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.4		Segment Length:	1.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Habitat Alterati		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	e i	
	Hydromodification (Channelization Hydromodification) - Removal of R		(Other than

Tradewater River Basin

Caney Creek of Donaldson From River Mile 0.0 to 3.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Modifications (Other than Hydron	s - Nonirrigated Crop Pro	
<u>Caney Creek of Tradewate</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 8.8 Impaired Use Pollutant of Concern Suspected Sources:		abitat Alterations (Other ing and Acid Mine Drain n), Habitat Modifications	age),
<u>Clear Creek of Tradewater</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	River	Hopkins County Segment Length:	2.7
<u>Clear Creek of Tradewater</u> From River Mile 25.5 to 26 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Hopkins County Segment Length:	1.0
<u>Copper Creek of Richland</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Hopkins County Segment Length: s (Iron, Zinc)	1.1
<u>Copperas Creek of Caney</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 3.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Hopkins County Segment Length: s (Iron, Zinc, Cadmium,	3.1 Nickel)
Craborchard Creek of Trad From River Mile 1.4 to 8.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Webster County Segment Length:	7.4

Cypress Creek of Tradewat From River Mile 0.0 to 2.25		Union County Segment Length:	2.25
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport)	Segment Dengen	2.20
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Hurricane Creek of Tradew	ater River	Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.7 to 2.2		Segment Length:	1.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Swimm		
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Metals (Iron, Zinc), pH, Total Disso Unknown	Solids	
Suspected Sources.	Ulikilowii		
Lick Creek of Clear Creek		Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 12.	1	Segment Length:	12.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Surface Minir	ng)	
Richland Creek of Clear Cr	<u>eek</u>	Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.4		Segment Length:	4.4
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	r than Flow) Flow Altor	ations
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source		
Suspected Sources.	Modification (Other than Hydromo		
	Vegetation, Hydromodification (Ch		Inpullui
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	······································	
Tradewater River of Ohio F	<u>River</u>	Union County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.		Union County Segment Length:	16.7
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.7 Impaired Use(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport)		16.7
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.' Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens		16.7
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.7 Impaired Use(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport)		16.7
From River Mile 0.0 to 16. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture	Segment Length:	16.7
From River Mile 0.0 to 16. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Tyson Branch of Tradewate	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture	Segment Length: Caldwell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 16. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Tyson Branch of Tradewate</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River	Segment Length:	16.7 2.5
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.' Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Tyson Branch of Tradewate</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5 Impaired Use(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture	Segment Length: Caldwell County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 16. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Tyson Branch of Tradewate</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er <u>River</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length:	
From River Mile 0.0 to 16. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Tyson Branch of Tradewate</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er <u>River</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length:	
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.' Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Tyson Branch of Tradewate</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>UT of Clear Creek (River M</u>	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture e <u>r River</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County	2.5
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River MFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>file 24.4)</u>	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification)	
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River MFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture e <u>r River</u> Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Adile 24.4)</u> Swimming (Nonsupport)	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County	2.5
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River NFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Iile 24.4)</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length:	2.5 2.2
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River MFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Adile 24.4)</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Collection System Failure, Municip	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length:	2.5 2.2
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River NFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Iile 24.4)</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length:	2.5 2.2
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.' Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Tyson Branch of Tradewate</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>UT of Clear Creek (River N</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Additional Actions</u> Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Additional Actions</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Collection System Failure, Municip Flows)	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length: al Point Sources (Packag	2.5 2.2 ge Plants - Small
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.' Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Tyson Branch of Tradewate</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>UT of Clear Creek (River N</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Adile 24.4)</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Collection System Failure, Municip	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length: bal Point Sources (Packag <u>Mile 3.4)</u> Webster Count	2.5 2.2 ge Plants - Small
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Suspected Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River MFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River MFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Unnamed Ditch (River M)From River Mile 0.2 to 1.2	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Additional Actions</u> Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Additional Actions</u> Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Collection System Failure, Municip Flows)	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length: al Point Sources (Packag	2.5 2.2 ge Plants - Small y
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Impaired Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River NFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River NFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Unnamed Ditch (River N)	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Additional Methods</u> Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Additional Methods</u> (Nonsupport) Pathogens Collection System Failure, Municip Flows) Fer Mile 0.2) of Slover Creek (River Methods)	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length: val Point Sources (Packaş <u>Mile 3.4)</u> Webster Count Segment Length:	2.5 2.2 ge Plants - Small y
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Impaired Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River MFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Unnamed Ditch (River MFrom River Mile 0.2 to 1.2Impaired Use(s):	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Aduatic Life (Nonsupport)</u> Pathogens Collection System Failure, Municip Flows) er Mile 0.2) of Slover Creek (River M Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Salinity/TDS/Chlorides, F Agriculture, Resource Extraction (S	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length: oal Point Sources (Packag <u>Mile 3.4)</u> Webster Count Segment Length: Flow Alterations	2.5 2.2 ge Plants - Small y 1.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 16.'Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:Suspected Sources:Tyson Branch of TradewateFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.5Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Clear Creek (River MFrom River Mile 0.0 to 2.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:UT of Unnamed Ditch (River MFrom River Mile 0.2 to 1.2Impaired Use(s):Pollutant(s):Suspected Sources:	7 Swimming (Nonsupport) Pathogens Agriculture er River Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Unknown Habitat Modifications (Other than I <u>Aduatic Life (Nonsupport)</u> Pathogens Collection System Failure, Municip Flows) er Mile 0.2) of Slover Creek (River M Aquatic Life (Nonsupport) Siltation, Salinity/TDS/Chlorides, F	Segment Length: Caldwell County Segment Length: Hydromodification) Hopkins County Segment Length: oal Point Sources (Packag <u>Mile 3.4)</u> Webster Count Segment Length: Flow Alterations	2.5 2.2 ge Plants - Small y 1.0

Ward Creek of Flynn Fork From River Mile 4.9 to 10. Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	Caldwell County Segment Length:	5.2
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Weirs Creek of Clear Creek	<u> </u>	Hopkins County	5.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.0		Segment Length:	5.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO, Turbidity, Habitat	Alterations
	(Other than Flow)		
Suspected Sources:	Habitat Modification (Other than H		
	Vegetation, Agriculture (Crop-rela	ted Sources - Nonirrigate	ed Crop
	Production), Hydromodification (C	hannelization)	
	D.		
Wolf Creek of Tradewater	River	Crittenden County	1.0
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.2		Segment Length:	1.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo	,	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Modifications (Other than Hydrome Vegetation	e i	

Section 2.5.4.2 2nd Priority Listings

Green River Basin

Adams Fork of Rough Rive From River Mile 0.0 to 4.6		Ohio County Segment Length	4.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Lengur	
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Barren River of Green Rive	er	Warren County	
Barren River of Green River From River Mile 29.4 to 35		Warren County Segment Length:	5.6
		•	5.6
From River Mile 29.4 to 35	5.0	•	5.6
From River Mile 29.4 to 35 Impaired Use(s):	.0 Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	•	5.6

See Delisting Requests and Approved Delistings.

Barren River of Green Rive	<u>er</u>	Warren County	
From River Mile 35.0 to 43	3.6	Segment Length:	14.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Lead)		
Suspected Sources:	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers		

See Delisting Requests and Approved Delistings.

Bat East Creek of Pond Cre	Muhlenberg County
From River Mile 0.0 to 3.3	Segment Length: 3.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Total Dissolved Solids, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow), Siltation
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related sources - Nonirrigated and Irrigated Crop
	Production), Resource Extraction (Surface Mining and Petroleum Activities),
	Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modifications (Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian Vegetation

Muhlenberg County
Segment Length 3.8
quatic Life (Partial Support)
otal Dissolved Solids, Unknown
esource Extraction (Surface Mining and Petroleum Activities), Unknown
(

Bear Creek of Green River		Grayson County	
From River Mile 22.3 to 31	.7	Segment Length:	9.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		

Big Pitman Creek of Green From River Mile 0.0 to 13.		Green County Segment Length:	13.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)	Segment Length.	15.0
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Big Pitman Creek of Green		Taylor County	
From River Mile 26.9 to 32		Segment Length:	5.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	TT 1 ' / A 1/ /' //	
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Siltation, Nutrients, Flow Alteration Agriculture (Crop-related Sources) Resource Extraction (Dredge Minin Habitat Modifications (Other than I Riparian Vegetation and Bank Mod), Agriculture (Grazing- ng), Hydromodification (Hydromodification) - Re	related Sources), Dredging), moval of
Brush Creek of Green Rive	r	Casey County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.2		Segment Length:	6.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation		1 \
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland)	e i	, · ·
Buck Creek of Buck Fork of	of Pond River	Christian County	
From River Mile 1.3 to 7.4		Segment Length:	6.1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other		
Suspected Sources:	Habitat Modifications (Other than 1	Hydromodification)	
Burnett Fork of North Fork	Panther Creek	Daviess County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.3		Segment Length:	1.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterat		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	e e	
	Production), Hydromodification (C (Other than Hydromodification) - F		
	Modifications/Destabilization	contoval of Repartant Veg	cution and Dank
Calhoun Creek of Green R		Casey County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8		Segment Length:	2.8
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source		parian and /or
	Upland)	in the second stability, for	r und / or
	• '		

Cane Run of South Fork Pa From River Mile 0.0 to 3.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		- Nonirrigated and Irriga	
Caney Creek of Pond Creel From River Mile 0.0 to 1.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Salinity/TDS/Chlorides, H Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Production), Hydromodification (C (Other than Hydromodification) - F Runoff/Storm Sewers (Other Urbar Resource Extraction (Petroleum Ac	- Nonirrigated and Irriga hannelization), Habitat M Removal of Riparian Veg n Runoff and Erosion and	ated Crop Modifications getation, Urban
Casey Creek of Green Rive From River Mile 3.7 to 4.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	r Swimming (Partial Support) Pathogens Unknown	Adair County Segment Length:	1.0
Cash Creek of Green River From River Mile 0.0 to 5.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		÷ .	
	e		
Claylick Creek of South Fo From River Mile 4.1 to 5.3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	C C	ces - Pasture Grazing - R her than Hydromodifica	

<u>Cypress Creek of Pond Riv</u> From River Mile 22.9 to 25 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			2.1
See TMDLs Under Develo	pment.		
Daniels Creek of Rock Licl From River Mile 0.0 to 5.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>« Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alterations (Other than Flo Habitat Modification (Other than F		5.7
Drakes Creek of Barren Riv From River Mile 0.0 to 23. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	5 Fish Consumption (Partial Support PCBs Industrial Point Sources	Warren County Segment Length:	23.5
The listing was downgrade	d to 2 nd Priority.		
Dry Creek of Casey Creek From River Mile 0.0 to 3.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation	Adair/Casey Counties Segment Length:	3.7
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland)	÷ .	
East Branch of West Fork of From River Mile 0.0 to 2.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		,	2.0
Ford Ditch of Rhodes Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 2.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		- Irrigated and Nonirriga hannelization and Dredg	ted Crop

Green River of Ohio River From River Mile 71.3 to 10 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		McLean/Ohio/Butler/N Counties Segment Length:	Auhlenburg 37.3
See Delisting Requests.	8		
<u>Green River of Ohio River</u> From River Mile 207.8 to 2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):		McLean/Ohio/Butler/M Counties Segment Length:	Auhlenberg/Hart 38.6
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Havana Creek of Deer Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 1.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):		Webster County Segment Length:	1.9
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Modifications (Other than Hydrom Vegetation, Hydromodification (Ch	odification) - Removal of	
Indian Camp Creek of Gree From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			3.0 Other than
Indian Camp Creek of Gree From River Mile 3.9 to 10. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Butler County Segment Length: , Habitat Modifications	6.3 (Other than
Joes Branch of North Fork From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		- Nonirrigated and Irriga related Sources, Pasture dification (Channelizatio	Grazing - on), Habitat

Joes Run of North Fork Pan	ther Creek	Daviess County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.4		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow	-	1.0
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Production), Agriculture (Grazing-r Riparian and/or Upland), Hydromod Modifications (Other than Hydromod Vegetation	elated Sources, Pasture Clification (Channelization	Grazing - n), Habitat
Lewis Creek of Green River	r	Ohio County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 11.8		Segment Length:	11.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	6 6	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Surface Minin Hydromodifications)	g), Habitat Modification	s (Other than
Habitat Alterations has been	added as a pollutant of concern.		
Lindy Creek of Lynn Camp	Creek	Hart County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.9	CICCR	Segment Length:	0.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Segment Length.	0.9
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alteration	ons (Other than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Grazing-related Source Upland), Hydromodification (Dredg	es, Pasture Grazing - Rip	arian and/or
Little Barren River of Green	n River	Green/Hart Counties	
From River Mile 0.0 to 8.8		Segment Length:	8.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Little Beaverdam Creek of C	Green River	Warren County	
From River Mile 10.7 to 11		Segment Length:	0.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Silviculture (Harvesting, Restoration Modifications (Other than Hydrome Vegetation		
Little Cypress Creek of Pon	d River	Muhlenberg County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 9.2		Segment Length:	9.2
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Production), Urban Runoff/Storm S Hwy/Rd/Bridge Runoff), Recreation Extraction (Surface Mining and Petr (Channelization)	than Flow), Total Dissol Nonirrigated and Irrigat ewers (Other Urban Run and Tourism (Golf Cou	ed Crop off and rses), Resource

Little Muddy Creek of Gree From River Mile 6.4 to 12. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:			
	Vegetation	ounioutions) Removal	or repartain
McGrady Creek of Cane C From River Mile 0.0 to 2.0 Impaired Use(s):		Ohio County Segment Length:	2.0
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Othe Habitat Modifications (Other than 1		
Muddy Creek of Caney Cre From River Mile 0.0 to 6.1 Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	Ohio County Segment Length:	6.1
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Habitat Modifications (Other than 1	-	
Muddy Creek of Green Riv From River Mile 12.1 to 14	4.9	Butler County Segment Length:	2.8
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Modifications (Other than Hydrom Vegetation	- Nonirrigated Crop Prod	
Muddy Creek of Rough Ri From River Mile 5.9 to 9.1		Ohio County Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Agriculture (Intensive Animal Fee Feeding Operations), Hydromodifi	DO, Flow Alterations - Nonirrigated Crop Pro ding Operations - Conce	duction),
North Fork Barnett Creek of From River Mile 0.0 to 2.8 Impaired Use(s):		Ohio County Segment Length:	2.8
Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Siltation Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Hydromodification (Channelization Hydromodification) - Removal of H	n), Habitat Modification	

North Fork Panther Creek of		Daviess County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.2		Segment Length:	4.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	- Nonirrigated and Irriga	ted Crop
	Production), Agriculture (Grazing-	related Sources, Pasture	Grazing -
	Riparian and/or Upland), Hydromo	dification (Channelizatio	on)

Based on new data, the previous listing has been divided into several segments.

North Fork Panther Creek of	of Panther Creek	Daviess County	
From River Mile 9.5 to 12.	7	Segment Length:	3.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients (Phosphorus), Habitat Al	terations	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	- Nonirrigated and Irriga	ated Crop
-	Production), Hydromodification (C	Channelization)	_

Based on new data, the previous listing has been divided into several segments.

Pigeon Creek of Muddy C From River Mile 0.0 to 2.9		Ohio County Segment Length:	2.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Salinity/TDS/Chlorides		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	- Nonirrigated Crop Pro	oduction),
	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine D	rainage)	

Pond Creek of Green River		Muhlenburg County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 4.7		Segment Length:	4.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Pond Drain of Cypress Cre	<u>ek</u>	McLean County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.0		Segment Length:	2.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Salinity/TDS/Chlorides		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources	- Nonirrigated Crop Proc	duction); Habitat
	Modification (Other than Hydromo	dification) - Removal of	Riparian
	Vegetation		_
Pond River of Green River		McLean/Muhlenburg/H	Hopkins Counties
From River Mile 1.0 to 20.	8	Segment Length:	19.8

Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow), Salinity/TDS/Chlorides
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction

The 2002 listing (RM 1.0 to 31.1) has been divided into several segments based on recent monitoring.

Pond River of Green River From River Mile 20.8 to 31 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	.1 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Resource Extraction	Muhlenburg/Hopkins C Segment Length: than Flow)	Counties 10.3
Pond River of Green River From River Mile 69.1 to 79 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	9.7 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Habitat Modifications (Other than H		10.6
Rhodes Creek of Green Riv From River Mile 0.0 to 1.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>er</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Municipal Point Sources (Major Ma Runoff/Storm Sewers (Other Urban	unicipal Point Sources),	
Sandlick Creek of Pond Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 3.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	eek Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Upland), Habitat Modification (Oth Riparian Vegetation	es - Pasture Grazing - Ri	
South Fork Beaver Creek o From River Mile 1.2 to 5.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>f Beaver Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Flow Alterations Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (Hwy/ Modifications (Other than Hydromo Vegetation		
Sputzman Creek of Green H From River Mile 1.0 to 4.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Sunfish Creek of Bear Cree From River Mile 6.6 to 9.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Flow Alterations Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Hydromodification (Flow Regulation	on/Modification) Grayson/Edmonson Co Segment Length: than Flow) (Other than Hydromodifi	unties 3.1 ication) -

Sweepstake Branch of Sour From River Mile 1.0 to 3.8 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	<u>h Fork Panther Creek</u> Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Hab Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Production), Hydromodification (C (Other than Hydromodification) - R Modification/Destabilization	Nonirrigated and Irrigated hannelization), Habitat N	ted Crop Modifications
UT of Butler Branch at Riv From River Mile 0.0 to 1.7 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	er Mile 1.3 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Agriculture (Grazing-related Sourc Upland), Habitat Modification (Oth Riparian Vegetation	es, Pasture Grazing - Ri	
<u>UT of Cypress Creek at Riv</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 1.6 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Ver Mile 28.4 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other Habitat Modification (Other than H Vegetation, Agriculture (Crop-rela Crop Production), Agriculture (Gra Riparian and/or Upland), Urban Ru	ydromodification) - Ren ted Sources - Nonirrigat azing-related Sources, Pa	ed and Irrigated asture Grazing -
West Fork Drakes Creek of From River Mile 0.0 to 23. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		Warren/Simpson Coun Segment Length:	ties 23.4
Declining PCB levels in fis <u>West Fork Pond River of P</u> From River Mile 1.6 to 8.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s):		n 1 st to 2 nd Priority. Christian/Hopkins Cou Segment Length:	nties 7.3
Suspected Sources: <u>Wolf Branch Ditch of Rhoo</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 4.1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Unknown les Creek Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients (Phosphorus), Siltation, C Alterations (Other than Flow) Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Production), Hydromodification (C (Other than Hydromodification) - R	- Nonirrigated and Irriga hannelization), Habitat N	nted Crop Modifications

Wolf Lick Creek of Mud Ri	ver	Logan County	
River Mile 3.3 to 13.7		Segment Length:	10.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Habitat Alterations (Other	than Flow)	
Suspected Sources:	Habitat Modifications (Other than H	Hydromodification)	
	Ohio River Basin		
Blackford Creek of Ohio Ri	ver	Daviess/Hancock Count	ties
From River Mile 3.6 to 8.0		Segment Length:	4.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow	-	
Suspected Sources:	Hydromodifications (Channelization	n)	
Clover Creek of Ohio River		Breckinridge County	
From River Mile 7.8 to 9.2		Segment Length:	1.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources a	-	rces),
	Hydromodification (Flow Regulation	on/Modification)	
Crooked Creek of Ohio Riv		Crittenden County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 11.7	7	Segment Length:	11.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Unknown		
Rush Creek of Crooked Cre	<u>ek</u>	Crittenden County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.3		Segment Length:	1.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients		
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Urban Ru	noff/Storm Sewers	
	Tradewater River Basi	n	
Buffalo Creek of Tradewate	er River	Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 6.7		Segment Length:	6.7
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	0 0	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Salinity/TDS/Chlorides, F	Flow Alterations, Habitat	Alterations,
	Excessive Algal Growth/Chlorophy	'll_a	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -	- Nonirrigated Crop Prod	uction),
	Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modifications	(Other than
	Hydromodification) - Removal of R	liparian Vegetation	
Bull Creek of Slover Creek		Webster County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.0		Segment Length:	1.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	-	
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources		
	Hydromodification (Channelization), Habitat Modifications	(Other than
	Hydromodification)		

<u>Cane Run of Caney Creek</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 3.4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swi Low pH Resource Extraction (Acid Mine D		3.4
The TMDL for low pH has	been approved by EPA Region 4		
Caney Fork of Craborchard From River Mile 3.5 to 7.9 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		ents	-
Castleberry Creek of Trade From River Mile 0.0 to 2.2 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		oidity es - Pasture Grazing - Ri	parian and/or
<u>Clear Creek of Tradewater</u> From River Mile 19.1 to 2: Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: <u>Craborchard Creek of Trad</u> From River Mile 13.2 to 15	5.5 Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Siltation, Habitat Alterat Noxious Aquatic Plants Resource Extraction (Surface Mini ewater River_		
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat Algal Growth/Chlorophyll_a, Nutr Agriculture (Crop-related Sources Modifications (Other than Hydrom Vegetation, Hydromodification (Ch	Alterations (Other than lients - Nonirrigated Crop Proc odification) - Removal o	luction), Habitat
Lambs Creek of Clear Cree From River Mile 0.0 to 3.5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		w Alterations, Noxious A yll_a ng), Hydromodification (quatic Plants, Channelization),

Lynn Fork of Craborchard	Creek	Webster County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.4		Segment Length:	2.4
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Flow Alterations, Habitat		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture (Crop-related Sources -		
	Hydromodification (Channelization		(Other than
	Hydromodifications) - Removal of I	Riparian Vegetation	
Pigeon Roost Creek of Trad	lewater River	Crittenden County	
From River Mile 0.9 to 3.9		Segment Length:	3.0
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		
Pond Creek of Clear Creek		Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.5		Segment Length:	5.5
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Turbidity, Habitat Alterati	ons (Other than Flow), H	Flow Alterations
Suspected Sources: Agriculture (Crop-related Sources - Nonirrigated Crop Production)		uction); Habitat	
	Modification (Other than Hydromodification) - Removal of Riparian		
	Vegetation, Resource Extraction (Se	urface Mining), Hydrom	odification
	(Channelization)		
Sugar Creek of Clear Creek		Hopkins County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 5.3		Segment Length:	5.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swin	nming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Low pH		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Acid Mine Dr	ainage)	

The TMDL for low pH has been approved by EPA Region 4

Tradewater River of Ohio River

From River Mile 63.0 to 92.2Impaired Use(s):Aquatic Life (Partial Support)Pollutant(s):SiltationSuspected Sources:Resource Extraction

Hopkins/Caldwell Counties Segment Length: 29.2

Section 2.5.4.3 Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs

Stream Segments Assessed As Impaired Based Solely On Discharge Monitoring Reports.

Green River Basin

Austin Creek of Mud River	Logan County	
From River Mile 2.6 to 3.6	Segment Length:	1.0

DMR information from an Industrial Point Source indicates an aquatic life use impairment because of unknown toxicity. Updated TRE WET analysis performed by the Industrial Point Source indicates that the discharge is passing toxicity limits in 2003. Reassessment of the segment will be done to provide additional stream data

Black Lick Creek of Clear Fork Creek	Logan County	
From River Mile 11.2 to 12.2	Segment Length: 1.0	

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Minor Municipal Point Source) indicates an aquatic life use impairment because of ammonia (un-ionized), organic enrichment/Low DO, and suspended solids.

Ohio River Basin

Lead Creek of Ohio River	Hancock County
From River Mile 3.5 to 4.5	Segment Length 1.0

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source (Package Plant - Small Flows) indicates a swimming use impairment because of pathogens and a possible aquatic life use impairment because of nutrients and organic enrichment/Low DO.

Section 2.5.5 Big Sandy/Little Sandy/Tygarts River Basin Unit

Section 2.5.5.1 1st Priority Listings

Big Sandy River Basin

Beaver Creek of Levisa Fo From River Mile 0.0 to 7.0 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		7.0 ystems – Septic
Levisa Fork of Big Sandy I From River Mile 1.0 to 38. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		37.9
Levisa Fork of Big Sandy I From River Mile 65.0 to 97 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		32.3 and Disposal
Levisa Fork of Big Sandy I From River Mile 116.2 to 1 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:		8.4 stems – Septic
<u>Tug Fork of Big Sandy Riv</u> From River Mile 0.0 to 10. Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Lawrence County Segment Length: Systems – Septic Tanks	10.2 and/or Straight
Tug Fork of Big Sandy Riv From River Mile 10.2 to 4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	hment/Low DO Systems – Septic Tanks	31.4

Little Sandy River Basin

East Fork Little Sandy RiverBoyd CountyFrom River Mile 19.0 to 25.0Segment Length:6.0Impaired Use(s):Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)6.0Pollutant(s):Organic Enrichment/Low DOSuspected Sources:Municipal Point SourcesMunicipal Point Sources

See Approved TMDLs. Most of the small WWTPs whose flow impacted this stream segment have been eliminated. The flow now goes to regional facilities on the Ohio River.

Newcombe Creek of Little	Sandy River	Elliott County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 11.9		Segment Length:	11.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Salinity/Chlorides/TDS		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Petroleum Ac	ctivities)	

See Approved TMDLs.

Tygarts Creek Basin

Hood Creek of Ohio River	Boyd County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 0.8	Segment Length:	0.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)	
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO	
Suspected Sources:	Collection System Failure	
White Oak Creek of Tygart	s Creek Greenup County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1	Segment Length:	1.1

Hinte our of the fait			
From River Mile 0.0 to 1.1	S	egment Length:	1
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Habitat Alterations (Other than Flow)		
Suspected Sources:	Hydromodifications (Bridge Construc	tion)	

Section 2.5.5.2 2nd Priority Listings

Big Sandy River Basin

Big Sandy River of Ohio R	iver	Lawrence County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 26.	8	Segment Length:	26.8
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Metals (Lead)		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction, Hydromodifi	cation (Dredging)	

Knox Creek of Tug Fork	Pike County
From River Mile 0.0 to 7.6	Segment Length: 7.6
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Swimming (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Siltation, Pathogens
Suspected Sources:	Unknown Source, Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems – Septic
_	Tanks and/or Straight Pipes)

Little Sandy River Basin

26.0

Little Sandy River of Ohio River		Greenup/Carter Cour	nties
From River Mile 11.7 to 37	.7	Segment Length:	20
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

In the 2002 303(d) Report the listing was included as 2nd Priority, but incorrectly showed the impairment as being 'Nonsupport' instead of 'Partial Support.' The correction has been made in this listing.

Tygarts Creek Basin

Tygarts Creek of Ohio River		Greenup County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 45.7		Segment Length:	45.7
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Land Disposal		

In the 2002 303(d) Report the listing was included as 2nd Priority, but incorrectly showed the impairment as being 'Nonsupport' instead of 'Partial Support.'

Section 2.5.5.3 Impaired Waters Not Requiring TMDLs

Stream Segments Assessed As Impaired Based Solely on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)

Abbott Creek of Levisa Fork	Floyd County	
From River Mile 0.0 to 2.3	Segment Length:	2.3

DMR information from a Municipal Point Source indicated a swimming use impairment because of pathogens.

Section 2.5.6 Ohio River Main Stem

Section 2.5.6.1 1st Priority Listings

Note: More recent assessment information indicates that the fish consumption use is partially impaired because of PCBs and dioxin for the entire length of the Ohio River along Kentucky's border.

Ohio River		Greenup County	
From River Mile 317.1 to 3	332.5	Segment Length:	15.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish C	onsumption (Partial Supp	port)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urt	oan Runoff/Storm Sewer	s, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sou	rces, Industrial Point So	urces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedim	ent Resuspension).	
<u>Ohio River</u>		Greenup/Lewis Cour	nties
From River Mile 356.5 to 3	361.0	Segment Length:	4.5
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish C	onsumption (Partial Supp	port), Aquatic Life
	(Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, PCBs, Dioxin, Unkno	own	
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urt	oan Runoff/Storm Sewer	s, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sou	rces, Industrial Point So	urces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedim	ent Resuspension).	

See Delisting Requests (for Aquatic Life).

Ohio River		Lewis County	
From River Mile 361.0 to 3	69.8	Segment Length:	8.8
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish Con	sumption (Partial Suppo	ort)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban	n Runoff/Storm Sewers,	Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc	es, Industrial Point Sour	ces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedimen	t Resuspension).	

Ohio River		Campbell/Kenton/Bo	one Counties
From River Mile 462.6 to 4	498.0	Segment Length:	35.4
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish Cor	sumption (Partial Supp	oort)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urba	n Runoff/Storm Sewers	s, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Source	ces, Industrial Point Sou	urces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension)	

Ohio River		Jefferson County	
From River Mile 606.8 t	o 609.7	Segment Length:	2.9
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish Co	onsumption (Partial Supp	port)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urb	an Runoff/Storm Sewers	s, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sou	rces, Industrial Point Sou	urces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedime	ent Resuspension).	

Ohio River		Jefferson County	
From River Mile 617.6 to 6	529.9	Segment Length:	10.6
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish Con	sumption (Partial Suppo	ort), Domestic
	Water Supply (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban	n Runoff/Storm Sewers,	Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Source	-	rces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedimen	t Resuspension).	

ORSANCO carries the drinking water impairment for pathogens from 615.0 to 629.9

Ohio River		Henderson County	
From River Mile 791.5 to 7	798.4	Segment Length:	6.9
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Fish	Consumption (Partial S	upport),
	Swimming (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogen	IS	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Combined Sewer Overfl	ows, Urban Runoff/Stor	m Sewers, Land
_	Disposal, Agriculture, Municipal Po	oint Sources, Industrial H	Point Sources,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension).	

ORSANCO lists the swimming impairment from 791.5 to 797.3 in the 2004 305(b) report.

Ohio River		Henderson/Union Co	unties
From River Mile 798.4 to 846.0 Segment Length: 54.		54.5	
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Nonsupport), Fish Con	nsumption (Partial Supp	oort)
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urba	an Runoff/Storm Sewers	s, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sour	ces, Industrial Point So	urces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	nt Resuspension).	

The swimming impairment is a carry-over from the 2002 303(d) listings. ORSANCO called this reach not assessed in the 2004 305(b) report.

Section 2.5.6.2 2nd Priority Listings

Note: Chlordane was previously delisted for the entire length of the Ohio River along Kentucky's border. However, fish consumption use is still partially impaired because of PCBs and dioxin.

Ohio River From River Mile 332.5 to 3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens	Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land Disposal, es, Industrial Point Sources,
Ohio River From River Mile 341.0 to 3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens	Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land Disposal, es, Industrial Point Sources,
<u>Ohio River</u> From River Mile 369.8 to 3 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens	Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land Disposal, es, Industrial Point Sources,
<u>Ohio River</u> From River Mile 395.1 to 4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) PCBs, Dioxin	Lewis County Segment Length: 41.1 rces, Contaminated Sediments (Sediment
Ohio River From River Mile 436.2 to 4 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens Land Disposal, Industrial Point Sou	rces, Contaminated Sediments (Sediment Overflows, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers,

See Delisting Requests for pathogens.

Ohio River		Boone Counties	
From River Mile 498.0 to 5	510.0	Segment Length:	12.0
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	, Swimming (Partial Su	pport)
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal, Industrial Point Sou	rces, Contaminated Sed	liments (Sediment
	Resuspension). Combined Sewer C	Overflows, Urban Runof	f/Storm Sewers,
	Land Disposal, agriculture, Municip	oal Point Sources.	

See Delisting Requests for pathogens.

<u>Ohio River</u> From River Mile 510.0 to 5 Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) PCBs, Dioxin	Boone/Gallatin Counties Segment Length: 13.4 purces, Contaminated Sediments (Sediment
Suspected Sources:	Resuspension).	irces, Containinated Sediments (Sediment
Ohio River		Gallatin/Carroll Counties
From River Mile 523.4 to 5	538.5	Segment Length: 15.1
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	, Swimming (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc	
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedimen	t Resuspension).
Ohio River		Carroll County
From River Mile 538.5 to 5		Segment Length: 7.3
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	PCBs	
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal, Industrial Point Sou Resuspension).	arces, Contaminated Sediments (Sediment
Ohio River		Carroll/Trimble/Oldham/Jefferson
From River Mile 545.8 to 5	553.6	Counties
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Swimming (Partial Support), Aquat	
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens	
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urbar Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc	n Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land Disposal,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedimen	

See Delisting Requests for Aquatic Life and Swimming.

Ohio River		Carroll/Trimble Cour	nties
From River Mile 553.6 to 5	567.6	Segment Length:	4.0
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support), Fish	Consumption (Partial Second	upport), Aquatic
	Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Pathogens, PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urba	an Runoff/Storm Sewers	, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sour	ces, Industrial Point Sou	irces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedime	nt Resuspension).	

See Delisting Requests for Aquatic Life.

Ohio River		Trimble/Oldham/Jeffer	son Counties
From River Mile 567.6 to 6	506.8	Segment Length:	39.2
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Fish	Consumption (Partial S	upport),
	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban	n Runoff/Storm Sewers,	Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Source	es, Industrial Point Sour	ces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension).	

See Delisting Requests for Aquatic Life and Swimming.

Ohio River		Jefferson County	
From River Mile 609.7 to 6	517.6	Segment Length:	12.5
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)), Swimming (Partial Su	ipport)
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban	n Runoff/Storm Sewers	, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc	es, Industrial Point Sou	irces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedimen	t Resuspension).	

See Delisting Requests for Swimming. ORSANCO carries drinking water impairment from 615.0 to 629.9 for pathogens.

<u>Ohio River</u> From River Mile 629.9 to 7	730.2	Hardin/Meade/Brecking Counties	ridge/Hancock
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	estimes	90.6
1		Segment Length.	90.0
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal, Industrial Point Sou	rces, Contaminated Sedi	ments (Sediment
	Resuspension).		
Ohio River		Hancock County	
From River Mile 730.2 to 7	/31.5	Segment Length:	1.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Fish	Consumption (Partial Se	upport)
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, PCBs, Dioxin	_	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Land Disposal, Industria	1 Point Sources, Contam	inated Sediments
•	(Sediment Resuspension).		

Ohio River		Hancock/Daviess/Her	nderson Counties
From River Mile 731.5 to 7	76.1	Segment Length:	55.4
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support))	
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal, Industrial Point Sou	rces, Contaminated Se	diments (Sediment
-	Resuspension).		

Ohio River	Henderson County	
From River Mile 776.1 to 7	788.1 Segment Length:	12.0
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support), Swimming (Partial S	Support)
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogens	
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban Runoff/Storm Sewer	s, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sources, Industrial Point So	urces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment Resuspension).	

The swimming impairment is a carry-over from the 2002 303(d) listings. ORSANCO called it not assessed in the 2004 305(b) report.

Ohio River		Henderson County	
From River Mile 788.1 to 7	/91.5	Segment Length:	1.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Fish	Consumption (Partial S	upport),
	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, PCBs, Dioxin, Pathogen	S	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Combined Sewer Overfl	ows, Urban Runoff/Stor	m Sewers, Land
	Disposal, Agriculture, Municipal Po	oint Sources, Industrial I	Point Sources,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension).	

The swimming impairment is a carry-over from the 2002 303(d) listings. ORSANCO called this reach not assessed in the 2004 305(b) report.

Ohio River		Union/Crittenden/Liv	ingston Counties
From River Mile 846.0 to 9	18.5	Segment Length:	70.5
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Mercury		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal, Municipal Point Sou	rces, Industrial Point S	Sources,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	Resuspension), Atmos	spheric Deposition

<u>Ohio River</u>		Livingston County	
From River Mile 918.5 to 9	920.4	Segment Length:	1.9
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support))	
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal, Industrial Point Sou	rces, Contaminated Sec	liments (Sediment
	Resuspension).		

Ohio River		Livingston/McCracken Countie	S
From River Mile 920.4 to 9	934.5	Segment Length: 14.1	
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	, Swimming (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Pathogens, Dioxin		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urbar	n Runoff/Storm Sewers, Land Dis	sposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc	es, Industrial Point Sources,	
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension).	

The listing for swimming is a carry-over from previous listings. ORSANCO's 2004 303(d) report calls this reach not assessed for swimming use.

Ohio River		McCracken County	
From River Mile 934.5 to 9	939.8	Segment Length:	5.3
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	, Swimming (Partial Suj	pport)
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Mercury, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urbar	Runoff/Storm Sewers,	Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc	es, Industrial Point Sour	ces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension).	

The listing for swimming is a carry-over from previous listings. ORSANCO's 2004 303(d) report calls this reach not assessed for swimming use.

Ohio River		McCracken County	
From River Mile 939.8 to 9	941.1	Segment Length:	2.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Fish	Consumption (Partial S	Support),
	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, PCBs, Dioxin, Mercury,	Pathogens	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Combined Sewer Overfl	ows, Urban Runoff/Stor	rm Sewers, Land
	Disposal, Agriculture, Municipal Po	oint Sources, Industrial	Point Sources,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension).	

Ohio River		McCracken/Ballard C	Counties
From River Mile 941.1 to 976.8		Segment Length:	35.7
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	, Swimming (Partial Su	upport)
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Mercury, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban	n Runoff/Storm Sewers	, Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Sourc	es, Industrial Point Sou	irces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension).	

The listing for swimming is a carry-over from previous listings. ORSANCO's 2004 303(d) report calls this reach not assessed for swimming use.

<u>Ohio River</u>		Ballard County	
From River Mile 976.8 to 9	978.1	Segment Length:	1.3
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Fish	Consumption (Partial	Support),
	Swimming (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Unknown, PCBs, Dioxin, Mercury,	Pathogens	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown, Combined Sewer Overfl		
	Disposal, Agriculture, Municipal Po		Point Sources,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sedimen	t Resuspension).	

Ohio River		Ballard County	
From River Mile 978.1 to 9	981.0	Segment Length:	2.9
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	, Swimming (Partial Sup	oport)
Pollutant(s):	PCBs, Dioxin, Mercury, Pathogens		
Suspected Sources:	Combined Sewer Overflows, Urban	Runoff/Storm Sewers, 1	Land Disposal,
	Agriculture, Municipal Point Source	es, Industrial Point Sour	ces,
	Contaminated Sediments (Sediment	t Resuspension).	

The listing for swimming is a carry-over from previous listings. ORSANCO's 2004 303(d) report calls this reach not assessed for swimming use.

Section 2.5.7 Lakes and Reservoirs

Section 2.5.7.1 1st Priority Listings

Kentucky River Basin Unit

Kentucky River Basin

Herrington Lake	Garrard/Boyle/Mercer Counties Acres: 2,940
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Nutrients, Mercury Municipal Point Sources, Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems - Septic Tanks), Atmospheric Deposition

See TMDLs Under Development.

Panbowl Lake

	Acres: 98
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal, Septage Disposal, Internal Nutrient Cycling

Salt/Licking River Basin Unit

Salt River Basin

Breathitt County

Guist Creek Lake	Shelby County
	Acres: 317
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport), Drinking Water Supply (Partial Support),
	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Metals (Manganese and Mercury), Organic Enrichment/Low DO
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems – Septic Tanks),
	Atmospheric Deposition, and Natural Causes

This listing for aquatic life (originally partial support) and drinking water supply because of nutrients and metals (manganese) is from the 1998 303(d) Report. Atmoshperic deposition is the source of mercury for the fish consumption impairment and natural causes being manganese release form the anoxic sediments that cause drinking water impairment after the lake mixes in the fall. The latest assessment information indicates that Guist Creek is now in nonsupport of aquatic life, and shows that fish consumption (metals – mercury) is also impaired.

Taylorsville Lake	Spencer County Acres: 3,050
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, High Phosphorus Content in Soils

See Approved TMDLs.

Ohio River Basin

Jericho Lake

Henry County Acres: 137

Impaired Use(s):Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)Pollutant(s):NutrientsSuspected Sources:Agriculture

This listing replaces the one that was in 1998 303(d) Report. The latest assessment information indicates that the lake is now in nonsupport of the aquatic life designated use instead of partial support.

Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit

Mississippi River Basin

Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)

Organic Enrichment/Low DO

Agriculture, Natural Sources

Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Ballard County Acres: 193

Upper Cumberland River Basin

Corbin City Reservoir	Laurel County
	Acres: 139
Impaired Use(s):	Drinking Water Supply (Nonsupport), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Taste and Odor, Algal
	Growth/Chlorophyll_a
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources (Major Municipal Point Sources), Agriculture,
	Internal Nutrient Cycling

The listing of the impairment of drinking water supply because of nutrients is from the 1998 303(d) Report. More recent information shows that the aquatic life designated use is also impaired because of organic enrichment/low DO and algal growth/chlorophyll_a. Also, Taste and Odor is a cause for Drinking Water Supply impairment. Some data collection has been done in the watershed to define what areas of the watershed are contributing most to the impairments.

Lower Cumberland River Basin

Hematite Lake		Trigg C	County
County		Acres:	90
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Nonsupport)		
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO		
Suspected Sources:	Natural Sources		

Section 2.5.7.2 2nd Priority Listings

Kentucky River Basin Unit

Kentucky River Basin

Buckhorn Lake	Perry County	
	Acres: 1,230	
Impaired Use(s):	Secondary Contact Recreation (Partial Support), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Suspended Solids, Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO	
Suspected Sources:	Municipal Point Sources, Agriculture, Resource Extraction	

This listing for secondary contact recreation due to suspended solids is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The most recent assessment also indicates an impairment of the aquatic life use because of siltation and organic enrichment/Low DO.

Carr Fork Lake (Reservoir)	Knott County	
	Acres: 710	
Impaired Use(s):	Secondary Contact Recreation (Partial Support), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Suspended Solids, Siltation, Organic Enrichment/Low DO	
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Surface Mining), Unknown Source	

The listing for secondary contact recreation due to suspended solids is from the 1998 303(d) Report. More recent information shows that the aquatic life use is impaired due to siltation and organic enrichment/Low DO. Suspected causes are Resource Extraction and unknown source respectively.

Elmer Davis Lake		Owen (County
		Acres:	149
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low	DO	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture		

General Butler State Park Lake

Carroll County Acres: 29

Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Organic Enrichment/Low DO, Nutrients
Suspected Sources:	Internal Nutrient Cycling

More recent information (2003) indicates the lake meets designated uses.

Stanford (City Lake) Reserv	<u>voir</u> Lincoln County
	Acres: 43
Impaired Use(s):	Drinking Water Supply (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Taste and Odor, Nutrients
Suspected Sources:	Unknown

Wilgreen Lake Madison County	
	Acres: 169
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Secondary Contact Recreation (Partial
	Support)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems – Septic Tanks)

Salt/Licking River Basin Unit

Licking River Basin

Cave Run Lake

Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Atmospheric Deposition

Doe Run Lake

Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients Unknown

Greenbriar Lake

Impaired Use(s):

Suspected Sources:

Pollutant(s):

Kincaid Lake

Pollutant(s):

Impaired Use(s):

Suspected Sources:

Montgomery County Acres: 66

Acres: 8,270

Kenton County Acres: 51

Bath/Rowan/Morgan/Menifee Counties

Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low DO Agriculture

> Pendleton County Acres: 183

Aquatic Life (Partial Support) Nutrients Unknown

Sand Lick Creek Lake

Impaired Use(s):

Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:

Fleming County Acres: 74 Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Secondary Contact Recreation (Partial

Support) Nutrients, Shallow Lake Basin, Organic Enrichment/Low DO Agriculture, Internal Nutrient Cycling

Salt River Basin

Lake Shelby	Shelby County
	Acres: 17
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Internal Nutrient Cycling

Lake Shelby has been drained (late 2003) and dredged to remove excess sediment. Therefore, the assessment information that was used to produced this listing is no longer applicable. An assessment is scheduled for the lake for 2004. However, because the lake will have just recently been filled, an assessment may not be done.

Marion County Sportman L	ake	Marion County Acres: 21
Impaired Use(s):	Recreation (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients	
Suspected Sources:	Lake Fertilization	

According to the April, 2003 monthly report by KDFW the lake was fertilized during monitoring.

McNeely Lake	Jefferson County	
	Acres: 51	
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Fish Consumption (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Metals (Mercury)	
Suspected Sources:	Internal Nutrient Cycling, Atmospheric Deposition	

The listing for the aquatic life impairment due to nutrients is from the 1998 303(d) Report. More recent information indicates that the lake is also impaired for fish consumption due to mercury from air deposition.

Tennessee/Mississippi/Cumberland River Basin Unit

Ohio River Basin

Metropolis Lake		McCra Acres:	cken County 36
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Metals (Mercury)		
Suspected Sources:	Atmospheric Deposition		

219

Upper Cumberland River Basin

Cranks Creek Lake	Harlan County
	Acres: 219
Impaired Use(s):	Swimming (Partial Support), Secondary Contact Recreation (Partial
	Support), Aquatic Life (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	рН
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Abandoned Mining)

The latest assessment information indicates that the pH impairment occurred only during the fall period and only in the deepest portions of the lake.

Lake Cumberland	Clinton/Pulaski/Russell/Wayne Countie Acres: 50,250	s
Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources:	Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Metals (Mercury) Atmospheric Deposition	
Wood Creek Lake	Laurel County	
	Acres: 672	
Impaired Use(s):	Drinking Water Supply (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Taste and Odor, Nutrients	
Suspected Sources:	Land Disposal (Onsite Wastewater Systems – Septic Tanks)	

Green/Tradewater River Basin Unit

Green River Basin

Barren River Lake (Reserve	<u>pir)</u>	Allan/H	Barren Counties
		Acres:	10,000
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Mercury		
Suspected Sources:	Atmospheric Deposition		

Campbellsville City Lake	(Reservoir) Taylor County
	Acres: 63
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support), Secondary Contact Recreation (Partial
	Support)
Pollutant(s):	Shallow Lake Basin
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture, Natural Sources

Caneyville (City) Reserv	voir Grayson County
	Acres: 75
Impaired Use(s):	Secondary Contact Recreation. Drinking Water Supply.
	(Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients, Shallow Lake Basin
Suspected Sources:	Natural Sources

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The City of Caneyville no longer uses the Caneyville Reservoir for water supply. The City purchases water from the Grayson County Water District, which has just recently completed a new facility which uses Rough River Lake as the raw water source.

Grapevine Lake	Hopkins County	
*	Acres: 50	
Impaired Use(s):	Drinking Water Supply (Partial Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients	

This listing is from the 1998 303(d) Report. The lake is no longer used for water supply by the City of Madisonville, which now gets raw water from the Green River.

Green River LakeTaylor/Adair Counties
Acres: 8,210Impaired Use(s):Fish Consumption (Partial Support)Pollutant(s):PCBs, MercurySuspected Sources:Industrial Point Source, Atmospheric Deposition

Unknown

Suspected Sources:

This listing for fish consumption due to Priority Organics (PCBs) is from the 1998 303(d) Report. More recent fish tissue data indicates that the lake is also impaired for fish consumption because of mercury from atmospheric deposition.

Luzerne Lake		Muhlenburg County Acres: 55
Impaired Use(s):	Drinking Water Supply (Partial Sup	
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown	
Suspected Sources.	UIKIIOWII	
Rough River Lake		Breckinridge/Grayson Counties
		Acres: 5,100
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support))
Pollutant(s):	Mercury	
Suspected Sources:	Unknown	
Salem Lake		Larue County
<u>Sultin Lunit</u>		Acres: 99
Impaired Use(s):	Secondary Contact Recreation (Part	
Pollutant(s):	Shallow Lake Basin, Other Habitat	
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture	/ inclutions
Suspected Sources.	Agriculture	
<u>Spa Lake</u>		Logan County
-		Acres: 240
Impaired Use(s):	Secondary Contact Recreation (Part	ial
•	Support)	
Pollutant(s):	Algae Growth, Shallow Lake Basin	, Other Habitat Alterations
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture	
1	6	
	Ohio River Basin	
Scenic Lake		Henderson County
		Acres: 18
Impaired Use(s):	Aquatic Life (Partial Support)	-
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients	
Suspected Sources:	Internal Nutrient Cycling	
Suspected Sources.	internar routient Cyching	

Tradewater River Basin

Lake Pewee	Hopkins County
	Acres: 360
Impaired Use(s):	Drinking Water Supply (Partial Support)
Pollutant(s):	Nutrients
Suspected Sources:	Agriculture

Big Sandy/Little Sandy River Basin Unit

Big Sandy River Basin

Dewey	Lake

		Acres:	1,100
Impaired Use(s):	Secondary Contact Recreation (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Suspended Solids		
Suspected Sources:	Resource Extraction (Surface Minin	g)	
Paintsville Reservoir		Johnson Acres:	n/Morgan Counties 1,139
Impaired Use(s):	Fish Consumption (Partial Support)		
Pollutant(s):	Mercury		
Suspected Sources:	Atmospheric Deposition		
	Little Sandy River Basi	n	

Grayson Lake

Carter/Elliot Counties Acres: 1,512

Floyd County

Impaired Use(s): Pollutant(s): Suspected Sources: Fish Consumption (Partial Support) Mercury Atmospheric Deposition